



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_46732</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A review on different factors of large cardamom decline in Nepal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Some corrections need to be made. First, the review is based only on cardamom cultivation practices and not on declining productivity in Nepal. To be a review on factors of the decline in productivity of cardamom we need historical data on how much each cited factor contributed to the productivity decline in the years in question, addressing the anti and the post. The factors cited are of a general nature of the crop and not of the grow conditions found in Nepal. In my opinion, research is needed that involves the quantification of the reduction that each factor generates in cardamom productivity. For example, research on the influence of each disease, its incidence in Nepal and the productivity response in response to the percentage of occurrence of these diseases. Only then will there be subsidy for a survey as a bibliographical review on the subject. Proof of this is its bibliographic reference, in that 23 surveys are cited, yet only four are from journals.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is not possible to publish a review on a particular subject based on the work presented in a workshop. It is necessary to search for articles on the topic addressed from the perspective that I commented and to rewrite the review. If there are no articles in the literature, this is an opportunity for research involving inoculation and control of the abiotic factors that affect productivity, with their respective influence on the crop, be it cardamom or another.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Hidelblandi Farias de Melo</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Brazil</i></b>