

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_47225
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Paddy Stem Borers Population Dynamics and Influencing Environmental Factors through Light Trap
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract -Which pests should give their name, -This sentence should remove from this part and it should add part of introduction. -his should be removed from this. It should be added" Results of study" -The authors should give information of material and methods about this study. Then should be given results of the study. -The authors should add order and family about this pes where mentioned first, Lep.: Crambidae: Schoenobiinae, - The authors should add about damage of this pest on padyy. -Information about the results of this is given in detail, this part should be abbreviated. -The authors should give information about rice production in Pakistan, -if there are any other pests in rice, should be added this part. -The authors should add one sentence about the aim of this study. -Both of figure 1 a and b should be given separated and removed from this part, and added part of results. -The authors should give tables, the number of catch on trap with the date of counts. -Figure 2 should be changed, them should be given by one by according to years, -The authors should add more than literature related to this work The authors should be summarized, All opinions given above are shown in the text.	
Optional/General comments		

er, correct the manuscript is mandatory that authors



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pervin Erdogan
Department, University & Country	Plant Protection Central Research Institute, Turkey

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her