



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_47635</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance evaluation of some rice varieties under the System of planting in Egypt</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is important as it provides information to improve the management of rice cultivation, its performance and quality in Egypt</p> <p>The materials and methods are clearly developed. The presentation of the results would be clearer if letters or symbols were included to indicate significant differences between treatments, so it would also be important to include the probability values of each variable and the interactions in the ANOVA, not just the significant minimum difference. This will allow to know if the effects there are significant effects of interaction between type of sowing, varieties and fertilizer doses. The conclusions should be reviewed since the results obtained are described again and the results are not concluded.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The research is very well conducted, it contributes a great amount of information, which is not very clearly presented and it would be very important that the conclusions are of weight and not a new description of the results</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Martín María Silva Rossi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Estudio Agronómico, Argentina</b>