

1 | **Influence of Sole and Combined Application Of NPK (15:15:15) Fertilizer**
2 | **and Poultry Manure on Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus***
3 | **L.) Varieties in Aliero, Kebbi state, Nigeria**

4 | **ABSTRACT**

5 | Field trials were conducted at the University Orchard Aliero, Kebbi state University of Science
6 | and Technology Aliero, during the 2017 and 2018 dry seasons, to study the Growth and Yield of
7 | Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) Varieties as Influenced by Sole and combined
8 | application of NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure. The treatments consisted of factorial
9 | combination of three Okra varieties: LD88, NHAE47-4 and Dogo; and three level of nutrients:
10 | 800kg NPK (15:15:15) ha⁻¹, 100%PM ha⁻¹ equivalent to 6.6t ha⁻¹ and 50%NPK+50%PM ha⁻¹
11 | (400kg of NPK [15:15:15] + 3.3t of PM ha⁻¹) and the untreated control, each designed to supply
12 | the recommended dose of 120kg N ha⁻¹ using a compound fertilizer NPK (15:15:15) and poultry
13 | manure and cow dung. Results revealed that plant height, number of leaves, number of pods per
14 | plant, mean pod weight (g), mean pod length (cm), pod yield per hectare were significantly
15 | increased when the recommended nitrogen-N dose of 120kg N ha⁻¹ was applied using only NPK
16 | sole (800kg NPK [15:15:15 ha⁻¹]) or a combination of NPK+PM at 50:50 ratio in conjunction
17 | with variety NHAE47-4. Based on the results of this study, it could be concluded that the
18 | integration of organic and inorganic fertilizers in form of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure at
19 | 50:50 ratio in conjunction with variety NHAE47-4, could be adopted for higher pod yield.

20 | **Keywords:** Okra; *Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench, NPK (15:15:15), Poultry manure,
21 | Varieties, Okra pod yield

Comment [H1]: Use keywords that aren't in the article title to increase the possibility of your work being found on the Internet.

22 | **1. INTRODUCTION**

23 | Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) is an important vegetable crop consumed worldwide. It is a
24 | member of the *Malvaceae* family. ~~The crop is~~ widely cultivated in the tropics and subtropics for its
25 | immature edible green fruits which are consumed as a vegetable (Iyagba *et al.*, 2013). In Nigeria, okra
26 | is usually grown in home gardens and fields both during the wet and dry seasons, with the dry season
27 | production being carried out under irrigation (Wamanda, 2007). It has a great demand because it
28 | forms an essential part of human diet. It is grown mainly for its young tender fruits and can be
29 | found in most markets in Africa (Rahman *et al.*, 2012). It is produced and consumed all over the
30 | country for the mucilaginous or “draw” property of the fruit that aid easy consumption of the
31 | staple food products. Nutritionally, tender green fruits of okra are important sources of vitamins
32 | and minerals such as vitamins A, B₁, B₃, B₆, C and K, folic acid, potassium, magnesium, calcium
33 | and trace elements such as copper, manganese, iron, zinc, nickel, and iodine (Lee *et al.*, 2000),
34 | which are often lacking in the diet of people in most developing countries. On the average,

35 young green pod contains 86.1% moisture, 9.7% carbohydrate, 2.2% protein, 0.2% fat, 1.0%
36 fiber and 0.8% ash (Saifullah and Rabbani, 2009). Its importance ranked above most other
37 vegetables including cabbage, amaranths, and lettuce (Kolawole *et al.*, 2008).

38 Vegetable crop producers in the tropics are bedeviled with the problem of maintaining soil
39 fertility. This is because the native fertility of most agricultural soils in this region is low and
40 cannot support suitable crop production over a long period without the use of fertilizers. This
41 problem is ~~further~~ compounded by the scarcity and high cost of inorganic fertilizers which has
42 forced farmers to make use of fertilizer rates that are lower than the optimum with its resultant
43 reduction in yield. The scarcity of inorganic fertilizer associated with high cost, has created a lot of
44 problems in arable crop production in Nigeria. In the past, farm yard manure has been used to improve
45 and supplement soil nutrients (Adeleye *et al.*, 2010), but with the advent of inorganic fertilizer, there was
46 a reduction in the use of ~~has reduced the use of~~ organic manure by farmers as-as-a source of plant
47 nutrients and soil improvement, because of ~~its~~ relative ease of application and quick results with inorganic
48 fertilizer application.

Comment [H2]: use fertilizers with less than adequate nutrient

49 The scarcity of inorganic fertilizer associated with high cost, has created a lot of problems in arable crop
50 production in Nigeria. In the past, farm yard manure has been used to improve and supplement soil
51 nutrients (Sylvester *et al.*, 2014), but the advent of inorganic fertilizer has reduced the use of organic
52 manure by farmers as a source of plant nutrients and soil improvement because of its relative ease of
53 application and quick results. On the other hand, organic manures generally improve the soil physical,
54 chemical and biological properties along with conserving the moisture holding capacity of soil and thus
55 resulting in enhanced crop productivity along with maintaining the quality of crop produce (Singh *et al.*,
56 2015).

Comment [H3]: Is repeating what is already in the previous paragraph

57 Although the organic manures contain plant nutrients in small quantities as compared to the inorganic
58 fertilizers, the presence of growth promoting principles like enzymes and hormones, besides plant
59 nutrients makes them fertility soil enhancers essential for improvement of soil fertility and productivity
60 (Onyango *et al.*, 2012). Despite the beneficial qualities of poultry manure, high rate may be required to
61 ensure adequate soil coverage especially in fields with low fertility and those that have been subjected to
62 inorganic fertilization for many years (Olaniyi *et al.*, 2010). A lot of work has been done ~~on~~ with okra
63 and other related vegetables but not much has been reported on the influence of NPK (15:15:15) and
64 poultry manure on development of Okra varieties. Therefore, the objective of this study ~~is~~ was to assess

65 the performance of okra varieties under the sole and combined application of NPK (15:15:15) and poultry
66 manure in Aliero, on the Kebbi state of Nigeria.

67

68 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

69 2.1 Experimental site

70 The research was carried out in two dry seasons of 2017 and 2018 at Kebbi state University of
71 Science and Technology Aliero, Orchard (lat. 12°18.64'N; long. 4°29.85'E; 262 above sea level).
72 Aliero is located at in Sudan Savanna ecological zone of Nigeria. The area have a long dry
73 season that is characterized by cool dry air (harmattan) that prevails from November to February;
74 and hot dry air extending from March to May. The locations were used for cultivation of
75 vegetable and cereal crops.

Comment [H4]: Before to this experiment?

76 2.2 Land Preparation and Field Layout

77 The site was ploughed and harrowed to obtain good tilth. The lands-soil was leveled and
78 constructed into seed beds; water channels were constructed to facilitate free and efficient water
79 movement and uniform distribution on the plots. The plot size was 2.5 x 3m (7.5m²). Space
80 measuring 1.5m was left between blocks and 0.5m between plots. The net plot area consisted of
81 the two middle rows (2.5 x 1.0m = 2.5 m²). Organic manures (Poultry manure and Cow dung)
82 was then applied evenly into the nursery-seed bed according to treatment in order to improve its
83 fertility status and then watered. The nursery-seed bed left for 5 days with daily watering to
84 stimulate the release the nutrients from manure applied.

85 2.3 Plant Materials

86 Two varieties of okra (LD88, and NHA47-4) were sourced from the National Horticultural
87 Research Institute (NIHORT) Bagauda sub-station, Kano. W-while a local-variety *Dogo* was
88 sourced locally from Jega.

89 2.4 Soil and Organic manure Analysis

90 Soil samples were randomly collected from the depth of 0-30 cm across the experimental sites.
91 The samples were bulked to form a composite sample and sub-samples about 200g were
92 collected using coning and quartering method. The samples were air dried, grounded, sieved and
93 analyzed for physical and chemical properties (Table 1). Poultry manure sample was collected
94 and analyzed for chemical characteristics (Table 2).

95 **2.5 Treatment and Experimental Design**

96 The treatments consist of three (3) okra varieties (LD 88, NHAE47-4 and Dogo variety) and
97 three (3) levels of Organic and Inorganic fertilizers, each designed to supply 120 kg N ha⁻¹ using
98 NPK (15:15:15) and poultry manure. The treatments were: 800kg NPK (15:15:15) ha⁻¹;
99 100%PM ha⁻¹ equivalent to 6.6t ha⁻¹; 50%NPK+50%PM ha⁻¹ (400kg of NPK [15:15:15] + 3.3t
100 of PM ha⁻¹) and the untreated control. The experiments were laid out in a Randomized Complete
101 Block Design (RCBD) with three (3) replications.

Comment [H5]: There are few replications.

102 **2.6 Seed treatment and Sowing**

103 Prior to sowing, the seeds were treated with Apron star at the rate of 10g of the chemical per 4.0
104 kg of seed, to protect the seeds from soil borne diseases and pests. Seeds were dibbled at an intra
105 and inter row spacing of 50 x 50 cm.

106 **2.9 Pesticide Application**

107 Okra plants were protected against insect pests and diseases by regular spraying of appropriate
108 mixture of Cypermethrin plus dimethoate at the rate of 4ml L⁻¹ of water at 10 days interval prior
109 to flowering and 5 days interval continuously after flowering till maturity.

111 **2.7 Irrigation**

112 Water pump machine was used to draw water from the source (tube-well) to the experimental
113 field through the constructed water channels. Irrigation was scheduled at 3 - 4 days interval
114 depending on the crop's need.

Comment [H6]: ??? each 3-4 days?

115 **2.8 Weeding**

116 Weeds were controlled manually using hand hoe at 3 and 6 WAP and occasional hand pulling
117 when necessary to ensure weed free plots.

118 ~~**2.9 Pesticide Application**~~

119 ~~Okra plants were protected against insect pests and diseases by regular spraying of appropriate
120 mixture of *Cypermethrin* plus dimethoate at the rate of 4ml L⁻¹ of water at 10 days interval prior
121 to flowering and 5 days interval continuously after flowering till maturity.~~

122 **2.10 Harvesting**

123 Harvesting was done by picking fresh tender pods. Pods were snapped off or cut with sharp
124 knife.

125 **2.11 Data Collection**

126 Data were collected on the following yield parameters:

127 **2.11.1 Plant Height (cm)**

128 Plant height of 5 tagged plants was recorded at 6 and 8WAP. This was achieved by measuring
129 the plant from ground level to the tallest growing point using a measuring tape. The mean was
130 thereafter determined and recorded.

131 **2.11.2 Number of leaves**

132 Number of leaves of 5 tagged plants was counted and the mean number per stand was recorded
133 for each plot at 6 and 8WAP

134 **2.11.3 Pods plant⁻¹**

135 The number of green pods per plant was counted at every picking day from 5 randomly selected
136 and tagged plants in each plot. The total number of pods obtained from the selected plants was
137 divided to get the average number of pods per plant.

138 **2.11.4 Mean pod weight (g)**

139 Average fresh pod weights from 5 randomly taken pods from each net plot area were measured
140 using a digital balance and the mean was recorded.

141 2.11.5 Pod mean length (cm)

142 The lengths of 5 fresh pods collected from sample plants were measured and the mean was
143 recorded.

144 2.11.6 Pod yield (t ha⁻¹)

145 Fresh pods weight per plot was extrapolated to tons per hectare ~~in tons~~.

146 Data Analysis

147 The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The treatment means were
148 separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of significance.

149 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

150 3.1 Varietal Response

151 Results revealed significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) ~~in relation to height and of variety~~ on plant height at
152 6 and 8 weeks after planting in both years (Table 3). Dogo variety ~~presented had~~ the highest
153 plant height (36.16cm and 43.90cm) in 2017 season at 6 and 8WAP respectively which was
154 followed by LD88. Similar trend was observed in 2018 season. The higher values obtained from
155 Dogo variety could be due to genetic factor as Dogo is a characteristically tall okra variety.
156 These results correspond with the findings of Ojo *et al.* (2012) that Dogo variety produced taller
157 plants than the improved variety. NHAE47-4 had the lowest plant height at both 6 and 8WAP.

158 Significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of variety was observed as regards to the number of leaves produced
159 at 6 and 8WAP (Table 3). At 6WAP, Dogo variety and LD88 ~~had presented~~ the highest number
160 of leaves (18.62 and 18.25) while NHAE47-4 ~~had presented~~ the lowest leaves (12.72) in 2017
161 season. At 8WAP, LD88 also recorded the highest number of leaves (25.60) followed by Dogo
162 variety (23.61) whereas NHAE47-4 recorded the lowest number of leaves (15.40). Similar trend
163 was maintained during 2018 season. The higher number of leaves produced by LD88 and Dogo
164 variety could be attributed to their genetic make-up. This is in line with the assertion by Ayoub

Comment [H7]: In yours research, or in research of Ojo et al. (2012)?

165 and Afra (2014) who reported that differential growth of crops under similar environmental
166 conditions is normally the result of differences in the genetic make-up of the crops.

167 Significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of variety as regard to number of pods per plant was observed (Table
168 | 5). Dogo variety ~~had produced~~ the highest number of pods (13.12) which was followed by LD88
169 (11.98) and NHAE47-4 (11.73) in 2017 season. In 2018 season, NHAE47-4 (13.82) and Dogo
170 variety produced significantly higher number of pods per plant whereas LD88 produced the
171 lowest number of pods. The higher number of pods obtained from NHAE47-4 could be because
172 it is an improved variety and improved varieties are more efficient converters of photosynthetic
173 materials into yield. Ojo *et al.* (2012) reported similar trend on the okra varieties they worked
174 | with. Also, the higher number of pods obtained from Dogo variety; ~~disagrdisagreeing ee~~-with
175 | Ojo *et al.* (2012). ~~that~~ report that's said improved varieties are more efficient converters of
176 photosynthetic materials into yield.

177 Significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of variety was observed as regards to mean pod weight (Table 5).
178 NHAE47-4 had the highest pod weight (19.48g) and (20.44g) followed by Dogo variety
179 [(16.47g) and (17.55g)] and LD88 [(14.32g) and (17.97g)] for 2017 and 2018 dry seasons
180 respectively. The higher pod weight recorded by NHAE47-4 could be because it is an improved
181 variety therefore, more efficient in utilization of photosynthetic materials. This result is in
182 accordance with the findings of Ojo *et al.* (2012), who observed that Dogo variety produces
183 lighter pods compared to NH 47-4 (an improved variety).

Comment [H8]: For this is, more efficient in utilization of photosynthetic materials?

184 Significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) as regards to mean pod length was observed among the varieties in
185 both years (Table 5). Dogo variety and LD88 recorded significantly highest pod length [(6.32cm
186 and (7.21cm)] and [(5.97cm) and (7.18cm)]. NHAE47-4 had the lowest pod length [(5.40cm
187 and (5.76cm)] in 2017 and 2018. The longer pod length obtained from the LD88 could be as a
188 result of its improved nature. These results are in agreement with the findings of Jamala *et al.*
189 | (2011) in their work with local and improved varieties of okra. ~~they where they~~ reported that
190 local variety had the shortest pod length. Also the longer pod length obtained from the Dogo
191 variety could be due to genetic factor as Dogo is a characteristically tall okra variety and this
192 disagreed with the findings of Jamala *et al.* (2011).

193 Significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of variety as regards to pod yield of okra was observed (Table 5).
194 NHAE47-4 promoted had the highest pod yield [(5.62t ha⁻¹) and (6.80t ha⁻¹)] in 2017 and 2018
195 followed by Dogo variety [(5.28t ha⁻¹) and (5.61t ha⁻¹)] whereas LD88 produced the lowest pod
196 yield [(4.77t ha⁻¹) and (5.31t ha⁻¹)]. The highest pod yield was recorded in NHAE47-4 which was
197 significantly higher than the yield produced by Dogo and LD88. This result proved the
198 superiority of the improved cultivars over the local. Jamala *et al.* (2011) had reported a similar
199 observation.

Comment [H9]: But how do you explain these results?

200 3.2 Response of NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure

201 There was significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of plant height as observed during
202 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 3). In 2017, the height of plant, in dry season, at plant height at
203 6WAP, was tallest with the application of 50%NPK+50%PM (33.28cm) followed by the
204 application of 100%NPK (32.69cm) and 100%PM (32.04cm). Shortest plants were recorded by
205 the untreated control (23.90); but at 8WAP, plant height was similar irrespective of fertilizer
206 levels, except the untreated control, which gave significantly shorter plants (37.39cm). A similar
207 trend was maintained during 2018 dry season. The increase in plant height resulted from
208 improved soil nutrient, as a result of combined application of organic manure (poultry manure)
209 with inorganic fertilizer. This finding was buttressed the report of Bairwa (2009) that,
210 mineralization of manures aids in soil nutrient buildup that in turn leads to improved nutrient
211 availability to the growing okra.

212 Results indicated significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of fertilization in terms-relation toof number of
213 leaves. In 2017 trial, at 6WAP, application of 50%NPK+50%PM promoted, significantly, the
214 production of -produced more of leaves (18.08) than the application of 100%PM (17.68) and
215 100%NPK (17.06). The lowest number of leaves was by the untreated control (12.72). At
216 8WAP, all the fertilizer levels gave significantly the similar number of leaves which was higher
217 than the untreated control (15.98). A similar trend was maintained during 2018 trial. The
218 beneficial effect of application of organic manures along with inorganic fertilizers reflected in
219 enhanced vegetative growth of plant. This may be attributed to the synergistic effect of organic
220 manure in making available more plant nutrient by improving the soil physical and chemical
221 condition and solubilising the nutrients. Moreover, the organic manures are also significant

Comment [H10]: Is it media or number of leaves?

222 | sources of major and micronutrients ~~much~~ needed by plants (Tyagi *et al.*, 2016). Similar results
223 | have been reported by Sharma *et al.* (2015) in okra.

Comment [H11]: ??? Macronutrients?

224 | Significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of fertilization as regard to number of pods per plant was observed
225 | (Table 5). Application of 50%NPK+50%PM [(14.13) and (15.49)] and 100%NPK [(14.30) and
226 | (15.57)] had promoted the highest number of pods in 2017 and 2018 trials respectively followed
227 | by application of 100%PM [(13.08) and (14.11)], ~~which was~~ higher than the ~~untreated~~ control
228 | [(6.94) and (8.98)]. This could be attributed to the significant role played by NPK in the
229 | improvement of soil fertility, and them, nutrient uptake and in enhancement of crop yields. NPK
230 | fertilizers have been reported to cause significant effects on fruit weight, fruit number and yield
231 | of okra (Sarkar *et al.*, 2003). This also shows that poultry manure was readily available and in
232 | the best form for easy absorption by the plant roots, for this hence there ~~was is~~ a boost in the
233 | growth of the plant.

234 | There was significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of mean pod weight (g) as observed
235 | during 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 5). Maximum pod weight was recorded with the
236 | application 50%NPK+50%PM [(19.26g) and (20.86g)] and 100%NPK [(19.11g) and (21.38g)]
237 | followed by the application of 100%PM [(17.59g) and (19.31g)] in both 2017 and 2018 trials.
238 | The minimum pod weight was obtained from the ~~untreated~~ control [(9.66g) and (12.28g)]. This
239 | could be attributed to the significant role played by NPK in the improvement of soil fertility,
240 | nutrient uptake and enhancement of pod weight. This observation also agreed with that of Mal *et*
241 | *al.* (2013) who also observed better growth performance of crop with inorganic fertilizers of
242 | locally compounded NPK.

Comment [H12]: The same that was sad on paragraph before.

Comment [H13]: You can say that NPK provides nutrients faster than organic fertilizers. Organic fertilizers need to mineralize first to make nutrients available, while nutrients are already available in mineral fertilizers.

243 | Significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of mean pod length (cm) was observed during
244 | 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 5). In 2017 trial, highest mean pod length was obtained from the
245 | application of 100%NPK (7.07cm), ~~than the application of 50%NPK+50%PM (6.40cm) and~~
246 | ~~100%PM (6.13cm)~~ while the lowest mean pod length was recorded by the ~~untreated~~ control
247 | (4.48cm). In 2018 trial, plants submitted in application of 100%NPK (7.91cm) and
248 | 50%NPK+50%PM (7.91cm) presents significantly had highest mean pod length than the
249 | application of 100%PM (6.83CM) which in turn was higher than the ~~untreated~~ control (4.88cm).
250 | This could be attributed to consistent release of nutrients from both poultry manure and NPK.
251 | Similar results have been reported by Sharma *et al.* (2015) in okra.

Comment [H14]: What does poultry manure have different from other organic fertilizers?

252 There was significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of pod yield (t ha^{-1}) as observed
253 during 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 5). Application of 50%NPK+50%PM [(6.40t ha^{-1}) and
254 (7.04t ha^{-1})] and 100%NPK [(6.40t ha^{-1}) and (7.04t ha^{-1})] gave a significantly higher pod yield in
255 both 2017 and 2018 trials, followed by the application of 100%PM [(5.65t ha^{-1}) and (6.38t ha^{-1})]
256 while the ~~untreated~~-control recorded the lowest yield [(2.30t ha^{-1}) and (3.22t ha^{-1})]. This could be
257 attributed to the significant role played by NPK in the improvement of soil fertility, nutrient
258 uptake and enhancement of crop yields and poultry manure was readily available and in the best
259 form for easy absorption by the plant roots, hence there is a boost in the growth of the plant.
260 NPK fertilizers have been reported to cause significant effects on fruit weight, fruit number and
261 yield of okra (Sarkar *et al.*, 2003).

262 3.3 Effect of interaction

263 Significant interaction effect ($P \leq 0.05$) between variety and fertilization was observed as regards
264 to plant height at 6WAP during 2017 trial (Table 4). The highest value was obtained with Dogo
265 variety across all the levels of nutrients, while NHA47-4 in conjunction with the application of
266 100%NPK and 100%PM, promoted shorter plants. This has clearly indicated the
267 interdependence and complimentary role of fertilization and variety in influencing the
268 manifestation of the potentials of okra cultivars in terms of growth and development as reported
269 by Jamala *et al.* (2011).

270 Significant interaction effect ($P \leq 0.05$) between variety and fertilization was observed on number
271 of pods per plant during 2017 trial (Table 6). Highest number of pods per plant was obtained
272 from the application of 100%NPK and 50%NPK+50%PM across NHA47-4 (14.62 and 14.25)
273 and Dogo variety (14.98 and 14.63) while NHA47-4 in conjunction with the application of
274 100%PM (12.46) gave-promotedsignificantly lower number of pods per plant. This might be due
275 to quickly mineralized and higher nitrogen-N content of PM (Appendix 2) and abundant
276 availability of nutrients from both NPK and PM that enhanced the growth and development of
277 okra by increasing the rate of plant metabolic processes like photosynthesis and respiration,
278 which in-turn helped to build the plant tissue. Similar results were reported by Olaniyi *et al.*
279 (2010) and Akande *et al.* (2010).

280 Significant interaction effect ($P \leq 0.05$) between variety and fertilization was observed on pod
281 yield during 2017/2018 trials (Table 7). In 2017 trial, higher pod yield was obtained after
282 application of 50%NPK+50%PM (7.12t ha⁻¹) to from NHAE47-4 in conjunction with the
283 application of 50%NPK+50%PM (7.12t ha⁻¹) than the LD88 (5.45t ha⁻¹) and 100%PM to Dogo
284 variety (5.28t ha⁻¹) in conjunction with the application 100%PM. A similar trend was maintained
285 in 2018 trial. The pod yield generally optimized with the application of NPK+PM at 50:50 ratio
286 and NPK sole-only across all the varieties (Prasad and Naik, 2013). This could be due to quick
287 decomposition of PM and consistent release of nutrients by both PM and NPK, leading to higher
288 yield (Yadav *et al.*, 2006).

289 4.0 CONCLUSION

290 Based on the results of this study, it could be concluded thatThe variety NHAE47-4 should be
291 combined with the application of 50:50 ratio of NPK and PM for enhanced Okra production in
292 the study area.

Comment [H15]: And the other varieties.

293 5.0 RECOMMENDATION

294 It is therefore recommended that combination of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure at 50:50
295 ratios with NHAE47-4 could be adopted for higher Okra pod yield, considering the
296 complimentary role of poultry manure in improving the structure, chemistry, and biological
297 activity in the soil.

298

Table 1: Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil of the Experimental site during 2017/2018 dry session.

	2017	2018
	0-30cm depth	
Particles size Analysis		
Ph	6.60	6.11
Organic Carbon %	1.04	0.87
Organic Matter %	1.79	2.01
Total N %	0.084	0.093
P mg/kg	0.93	1.05
Ca Cmol/kg	0.50	0.78
Na Cmol/kg	0.52	0.62
Mg Cmol/kg	0.80	0.74
K Cmol/kg	1.95	2.56
CEC Cmol/kg	8.40	8.94
Sand %	63.3	61.7
Silt %	24.9	28.2
Clay %	11.8	10.1

299

300

Table 2: Chemical Composition of poultry manure (PM) during 2017/018 dry season

Character	Poultry manure	
	2017	2018
Organic carbon (gkg ⁻¹)	3.11	3.26
^{pH} pH	6.20	5.94
Total N (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.76	1.83
Na (mg kg ⁻¹)	140	138
K (mg kg ⁻¹)	2500	2500
Ca (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.44	0.55
P (mg kg ⁻¹)	7.83	8.04

301

302

303

304

305

306

Formatted Table

Table 3: Plant Height and Number of leaves of Okra varieties as Influenced by NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure during 2017/2018 dry season

Treatment	Plant Height (cm)				Number of leaves			
	2017		2018		2017		2018	
	6WAP	8WAP	6WAP	8WAP	6WAP	8WAP	6WAP	8WAP
Fertilizer								
Control	23.90c	27.39b	28.39b	32.64c	12.72c	15.98b	13.90b	16.76c
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	32.69ab	43.73a	37.72a	49.16ab	17.06b	22.80a	18.29a	24.55ab
100%PM	32.04ab	42.98a	36.73a	48.61ab	17.68ab	22.92a	18.26a	23.94ab
50%NPK+50%PM	33.28a	44.75a	38.06a	50.65a	18.08a	23.89a	18.66a	26.12a
SE±	0.448	1.127	0.841	0.961	0.293	0.844	0.541	0.864
Variety								
LD88	28.84b	42.15a	30.99b	47.56b	18.25a	25.60a	20.80a	31.79a
NHAE47-4	27.60c	36.18b	30.87b	38.63c	12.72b	15.40c	13.63c	17.13c
Dogo variety	36.16a	43.90a	44.92a	52.61a	18.62a	23.61b	17.65b	20.32b
SE±	0.292	0.739	0.551	0.629	0.192	0.553	0.354	0.566
Interaction								
Fert x Var	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Means followed by the same later (s) in a treatment group are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

Table 4: Interaction of Variety and Fertilizer on Plant Height at 6WAP during 2017 dry season.

Fertilizer	Variety		
	LD88	NHAE47-4	Dogo
Control	25.63d	21.48e	24.61de
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	30.33b	28.95cd	38.82ab
100%PM	29.42c	28.64cd	38.05ab
50%NPK+50%PM	31.21b	29.37c	39.26a
SE±	0.775		

Means followed by the same later (s) are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

Table 5: Pods plant⁻¹, Pod mean weight, Pod mean length and Pod yield of Okra varieties as Influenced by NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure during 2017/2018 dry season

Treatment	Pods Plant ⁻¹		Pod mean weight (g)		Pod mean length (cm)		Pod Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	
	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
Fertilizer								
Control	6.94c	8.98c	9.66c	12.28c	4.48d	4.88c	2.30c	3.22e
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	14.30a	15.57a	19.11a	21.38a	7.07a	7.91a	6.40a	7.56a
100%PM	13.08b	14.11b	17.59b	19.31b	6.13bc	6.83b	5.65b	6.38c
50%NPK+50%PM	14.13a	15.49a	19.26a	20.86a	6.40b	7.91a	6.40a	7.04b
SE±	0.157	0.813	0.389	0.415	0.214	0.233	0.070	0.110
Variety								
LD88	11.98b	13.06b	14.32c	17.97b	5.97a	7.18a	4.77c	5.31c
NHAE47-4	11.73b	13.82a	19.48a	20.44a	5.40b	5.76b	5.62a	6.80a
Dogo variety	13.12a	13.56a	16.47b	17.55b	6.32a	7.21a	5.28b	5.61b
SE±	0.103	0.173	0.255	0.272	0.140	0.152	0.046	0.073
Interaction								
Fert x Var	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	*

Means followed by the same later (s) in a treatment group are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

Table 6: Interaction of Variety and Fertilizer on Pods plant⁻¹ during 2017 dry season.

Fertilizer	Variety		
	LD88	NHAE47-4	Dogo variety
Control	7.09ef	6.34f	7.39e
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	13.29bc	14.62a	14.98a
100%PM	12.80c	12.46cd	13.97b
50%NPK+50%PM	13.52b	14.25ab	14.63a
SE±		0.271	

Means followed by the same later (s) are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

309

310

Table 7: Interaction of Variety and Fertilizer on Yield (t ha⁻¹) during 2017/2018 dry season.
2017

Fertilizer	Variety		
	LD88	NHAE47-4	Dogo variety
Control	1.94e	2.07de	2.89d
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	5.86bc	6.75ab	6.58ab
100%PM	5.45c	6.21b	5.28c
50%NPK+50%PM	5.66bc	7.12a	6.44ab
SE±		0.121	
	2018		
Control	2.20f	3.96e	3.51ef
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	7.48ab	7.96ab	7.24ab
100%PM	6.30bc	7.29ab	5.54d
50%NPK+50%PM	6.76b	8.17a	6.19bc
SE±		0.192	

Means followed by the same later (s) are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

311

312

UNDER PEER REVIEW

313

REFERENCES

314

315 Akande, M. O., Oluwatoyinbo, E. A., Makinde, E. A., Adepoju, A. S. and Adepoju, I. S. (2010).
316 Response of okra to organic and inorganic fertilization. *Journal of Natural Science*
317 8(11):261–266

318 Ayoub, Z. E. and Afra, A. A. (2014). Effect of cultivar and sowing date on okra (*Abelmoschus*
319 *esculentus* (L.) Moench) seed yield: *Universal Journal of Applied Science* 2(3): 64-67.

320 Bairwa, H. L., Shukla, A. K., Mahawer, L. N., Kaushik, R. A., Shukla, K. B. and Ameta, K. D.
321 (2009). Response of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and physico-
322 chemical characteristics of okra cv. Arka Anamika. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*.
323 66(3): 310-314.

324 Iyagba, A. G., Onuegbu, B. A. and Ibe, A. E. (2013). Growth and yield response of okra
325 (*Abelmoschus esulentus* (L.) Moench) to NPK fertilizer rates and weed interference in
326 South-eastern Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil*
327 *Science*. Vol. 3(9) pp. 328-335.

328 Jamala, G. Y., Boni, P. G., Abraham, P. and Musa, A. M. (2011). Soil status and yield response
329 of different varieties of okra (*Abelmoschus esulentus* (L.) Moench) grown at Mubi
330 Floodplain, North Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and*
331 *Sustainable Development* 3(7): 120-125.

332 Kolawole, G. O., Olapede, A. O., Alade, C. R. and Olaniyi, J. O. (2008). Response of okra
333 (*Abelmoschus esulentus*) varieties to NPK fertilizer in the South Guinea Savanna of
334 Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Horticulture*. Sci. 13: 99- 108.

335 Lee, K. H., Cho, C. Y., Yoon, S. T. and Park, S. K. (2000). The effect of nitrogen fertilizer, plant
336 density and sowing date on the yield of okra. *Korean Journal of Crop Science* 35: 179-
337 183.

- 338 Mal, B., Mahapatra, P., Mohanty, S. and Mishra, H. N. (2013). Growth and yield parameters of
339 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) influenced by diazotrophs and chemical fertilizers.
340 *Journal of Crop and Weed* 9(2): 109-112.
- 341 Ojo, G. O. S., Richard, B. I. and Jorlamen, T. (2012). Evaluation of Okra (*Abelmoschus*
342 *esculentus* (L.) Moench) cultivar for dry season ecology of Nigeria. *International*
343 *Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research* pp 13-18.
- 344 Olaniyi, J. O., Akanbi, W. B., Olaniran, O. A. and Ilupeju, O. A. (2010). The effect of organo-
345 mineral and inorganic fertilizers on the growth, fruit yield, quality and chemical
346 composition okra. *Journal of Animal and Plant Science*. 9(1): 1135-1140.
- 347 Onyango, C. M., Harbinson, J., Imungi, J. K., Shibairo S. S. and Van Kooten. O. (2012). Influence of
348 organic and mineral fertilization on germination, leaf nitrogen, nitrate accumulation and yield
349 of vegetable amaranth. *Journal Plant Nutrition*. 35(3): 342-365.
- 350 Prasad, P. H. and Naik, A. (2013). Effect of varying NPK levels and bio-fertilizers on growth
351 and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] under sustainable condition.
352 *Journal of Bioscience*. 6(2): 167- 169.
- 353 Rahman, K., Waseem, M., Kashif, M. S., Jilani, M. and Kiran, G. (2012). Performance of
354 different okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) cultivars under the agro-climatic conditions
355 of Defra Ismail Khan. *Pakistan Journal of Science* 64: 316-319.
- 356 Saifullah, M. and Rabbani, M. G. (2009). Evaluation and characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus*
357 *esculentus* L. Moench.) genotypes. *SAARC J Agric* 7: 91-98.
- 358 Sarkar, S., Singh, S.R. and Singh, R.P. (2003). The Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on
359 Soil Physical Condition and the Productivity of Rice-Lentil Cropping Sequence in
360 India. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 140 (4): 419-425.
- 361 Sharma, I. J., Samnotra, R. K., Kumar, V., Rai, A. P. and Dhotra, B. (2015). Effect of organic
362 and inorganic fertilizers on the growth and yield of okra under sub-tropical region. *Ann.*
363 *Plant and Soil Res*. 17(2): 215-218.

- 364 Singh, K. V., Anuj, K., Kumar, M., Soni, S., Kumar, A. and Singh, M. K. (2015). Response of
365 different organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus*
366 *esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Ann. Hort.* 8(1): 107-109.
- 367 Sylvester, R., Nuga, B. O., Lale, N. E. S. and Osayi, R. N. (2014). Effect of organic and
368 inorganic fertilizers on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) production and
369 incidence of insect pests in the Humid Tropics. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary*
370 *Science.* 7(4): 25-30.
- 371 Tyagi, S. K., Shukla, A., Mittoliya, V. K., Sharma, M. L., Khire, A. R. and Jain, Y. K. (2016).
372 Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth yield and economics of okra under
373 nimar valley condition of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Tropical*
374 *Agriculture.* 34(2): 415-419.
- 375 Wamanda, D. T. (2007). Inheritance studies in collected local okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.
376 Moench) cultivars. In: Combining ability analysis and heterosis on diallel cross of okra.
377 *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 5: 2108-2155.
- 378 Yadav, P., Singh, P. and Yadav, R. L. (2006). Effect of organic manures and nitrogen levels on
379 growth, yield and quality of okra. *Indian Journal of Horticulture.* 63(2): 215-217.

380

381

382