



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_47932
Title of the Manuscript:	Influenced of Sole and Combined Application Of NPK (15:15:15) Fertilizer and Poultry Manure on Growth and Yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus Esculentus</i> L.) Varieties in Aliero, Kebbi state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is important because it provides information on fertilization technologies in Okra crops.</p> <p>The objective of work is clear and precise. The materials and methods and the experimental design are presented in a clear and extremely complete manner. The results are well presented and correctly analyzed, facilitate the interpretation of the same and read the incorporation of figures to graph the main results. For those variables in which a significant effect of interaction between variety and fertilization is observed, it should be emphasized even more and not take into account the individual effects. The conclusions are poor, for the results obtained.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The research is well planned and the results correctly analyzed and interpreted. It would improve the quality of the paper and would facilitate its interpretation by including figures where the main results are plotted. The conclusions should be revised and expanded</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Martín María Silva Rossi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Estudio Agronómico, Argentina</i>