SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_47711
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROSCOPIC ILLUSTRATION OF PELARGONIUM HORTORUM
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wit
		part in the manuscript. It is manda
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The paper includes microscopic analysis of a single species (<i>Pelargonium hortorum</i> L.H. Bailey). Dried and powdered organs of the plant were examined under a microscope. The introduction, result and discussion and conclusion parts of the study are very weak. The anatomical and morphological studies related to the species investigated were not mentioned into introduction. In addition; studies that have done with the same species or similar species are not included in the discussion section. Rereferences are not enough. Figures of anatomical section (root, leave and stem) aren't good quality. there are errors in the designation of cells and tissues (eg. Endodermis) 	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Necessary correction is shown on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Unfortunately, your manuscript "MICROSCOPIC ILLUSTRATION OF PELARGONIUM HORTORUM" couldn't have accepted to publish for have very poor content and scientific mistake.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w part in the manuscript. It is m here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Selami Selvi
Department, University & Country	Balıkesir University, Turkey

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that datory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

I with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback