SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_49132
Title of the Manuscript:	The morphology of some allergenic pollens of the Leguminosae Family in Kermanshah province (West of Iran)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work must be reviewed and corrected to be considered for publication, including changes in form and substance.	
	Authors must correct different writing errors that the paper has (see attached file).	
	The allergenicity characteristic of the selected species seems to be disconnected with the description of the pollen morphology. I suggest that the authors explain the connection between allergenicity and why they selected these species for a palynological study (they pretend to help with their identification or to explore pollen characters and their air mobility, etc.)	
Minor REVISION comments	The summary should include the objectives set with the work	
	It is not correct grammatically to start an idea with a number of a reference or an abbreviation, they must put the name of the author or another word and then give the reference number. Example: "Many studies in Ethiopia have pointed out that excluding of human and animal interferences from the degraded hillside areas can contribute to advance rehabilitation of degraded lands and socio-economic benefits to the local communities [7,9-13]."	
Optional/General comments	After having these modifications in a new version, the paper can be evaluated in greater detail for possible publication.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Alzate
Department, University & Country	University of Antioquia, Colombia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)