

Original Research Article

The Morbidities Experienced During and After the 2017 Flood in Port Harcourt

ABSTRACT

Background: Floods are a type of natural phenomena, identified as the world most common natural disaster with a major ravaging impact claiming lives, causing property damage, destruction of environment and infrastructure, and increasing health impacts. Port Harcourt Metropolis, the capital of Rivers State was greatly affected by the 2017 flood. Hence this study aims to determine the morbidities experienced during and after the 2017 flood.

Materials and Methods: The study was a combination of quantitative and qualitative research for effective triangulation. A cross-sectional study was carried out. Data were collected through self-administered semi-structured questionnaires and key informant interviews. Data was analysed using Microsoft excel for editing, SPSS version 20 for quantitative analysis and Nvivo version 12.0 was used for qualitative analysis.

Results: A total of 210 respondents were administered questionnaires but had response rate of 96.67% and a total of 3 key informants were interviewed with a 100% response rate. Amongst these respondents were 44.8% male and 55.2% female, with respondents' mean age of 35.96±11.15. The frequencies of occurrence of morbidity experiences of the residents and the 2017 flood were analyzed using percentage and chi-square test and the result showed a statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) between both variables.

Conclusion: The findings showed that the morbidities experienced during the flood had higher prevalence than post flood morbidities, but an exception was dark urine. These flood risks and morbidity outcomes can however be controlled through adequate preventive measures and recommendable interventions.

Keywords:

Floods, morbidities, experiences and health.

INTRODUCTION

Floods stand as the generally known and critical catastrophic event occurring in most global countries [1], which have resulted in loss of human life and sources of livelihood, prolonged health impact, damage and deterioration of the environment, as well as retardation to development and economic losses [2, 3]. Flooding is the most frequent global natural hazard, in terms of occurrence, with incidence and impact on the increase worldwide with a trend that is set to continue to increase in frequency and intensity due to climate change accompanied by rising sea levels and more frequent and extreme precipitation [4, 5].

The Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) has defined flooding as "a significant rise of water level in a stream, lake, reservoir or coastal region" [6]. Though floods are of mainly three types (flash flood, river flood and coastal flood), their occurrence are influenced by natural phenomena and human involvement as the events and factors that precipitate flood events are diverse, multifaceted, and interrelated. Some of the factors asare attributed to the Wweather conditions include; heavy or sustained precipitation, snowmelts, or storm surges from cyclones while some of the important human factors include structural failures of dams, alteration of absorptive land cover with impervious surfaces and inadequate drainage systems.

In tropical regions, such as the Asia and the Pacific regions, flooding of high magnitude that has resulted in serious consequences has been caused by heavy rainstorms, hurricanes, snow melt and dam failures. The United

Comment [b1]: Please recast sentence

Comment [b2]: Replace with lives

Comment [b3]: Delete

Comment [b4]: Please recast

Comment [b5]: This is a categorical statement, please substantiate with reference(s)

Comment [b6]: Support with reference(s)

44 Kingdom National Risk Register of Civil Emergencies [7] puts the winter season of 2015/2016 as the second
45 wettest winter on record as a series of storms (including 'Desmond' and 'Eva') resulted in heavy and sustained
46 rainfall which resulted in flooding of about 17,600 UK properties and an estimated £1.6 billion Economic
47 damage. In Nigeria, the incident of floods is becoming a reoccurring decimal in most rural and urban areas
48 leading to colossal loss of properties and lives [8]. This could be said to be evident in the two days of heavy
49 down pour of rainfall in August 2008 in Benue state threw the residents of Makurdi out of their residences and
50 their farmlands [7]. Also, the 2012 rainy season between August and September, has been the worst than earlier
51 years as it led to serious floods which inundated most part of the country [3]. By September 29 of the same year,
52 the floods became so drastic that it affected over 134,371 people, displaced 64,473, injured 202 and killed 148;
53 hence it was declared a national disaster. By the end of October, more than 7.7 million people had been affected
54 by the floods, and more than 2.1 registered as Internally Displaced People (IDP). About 363 people were
55 reported dead; almost 600,000 houses had been damaged, submerged or destroyed. Out of Nigeria's 36 states, 30
56 were affected by the floods [3]. The states affected with this bitter experience were those located within the
57 Mangrove and Fresh water belts such as Rivers, Lagos, Delta, and Bayelsa [3,7]. In Port-Harcourt, which is the
58 capital of Rivers State, the depth of flood water in affected areas has escalated significantly in the past-previous
59 years due to the combined effects of uncoordinated, uncontrolled rapid urbanization, development of swamps,
60 flood plains and poor drainage channels [8].

Comment [b7]: Replace with previous

Comment [b8]: Substantiate with references

Comment [b9]: Delete and replace with "among which are"

61 Port Harcourt Metropolis, which like most urban areas of the third world, has in most times experienced
62 accelerated population growth that has led to changes in the land use activities. The city is faced with a number
63 of environmental challenges, ~~among which and among one of such challenges~~ is flooding. Floods are major
64 natural events that may not only lead to immediate loss of life and property but may have caused physical
65 disability and severe psychological trauma among survivors. As a result of fears and actions taken to protect
66 family or belongings, experience of flooding and long-term uncertainties around insurance [9-11], often result in
67 reduction in quality of life [12,13]. The IPCC in 2001 stated that the consequence of persistent rise in sea level
68 and altered patterns of precipitation as a result of climate change are expected to increase the frequency and
69 intensity of floods in many regions of the world. This also agrees with the assertion of Parker et al. [14], who
70 stated that the incidence of flood disasters is growing globally as a result of various factors such as; population
71 growth in areas at risk of flooding, climate change (which increases the variability and severity of weather, such
72 as record-breaking rainfall and possibly more severe tropical cyclones) as well as changes to catchments (such
73 as deforestation or urbanisation) that lead to increased run-off [15]. This thereby increases the impact of flood
74 on health of the populace.

Comment [b10]: Substantiate with a reference

Comment [b11]: Please reconstruct this portion to flow in as an introduction and not as discussion

75 In the first study of one year follow-up on flood participants which was spear headed by Waite and colleagues in
76 2015, they reported a high prevalence of possible mental-morbidity like anxiety 28.3%, depression 20.1%,
77 PTSD 36.2% [11]. A Follow up on this report, was conducted by Jermacane et al; [5]. In 2016, they
78 communicated the flood participants of the investigation to know if mental-morbidity impact still persists after
79 two years and discovered the mental-morbidity prevalence remained elevated amongst flooded participants
80 (anxiety 13.6%, depression 10.6%, PTSD 24.5%), thereby, showing a continuance of possible mental disorder
81 morbidity following floods exposure for at least 2 years. They recommended that measures to resolve the
82 persistent damage to homes ought to be made as this may lessen probable risk of psychological morbidity. On
83 the contrary, Udoimuk et al., researched flood-hazards influence on health in the State of Cross River [16]. The
84 study adopted a descriptive survey method. The result revealed that flood has no relative effect or wellbeing
85 implication of those residing in such areas. This means that health implications and flood had no significant
86 relationship. Also, the vulnerability due to occurrence of flood in low-resource/income countries according to
87 Assanangkornchai et al., Ahern et al. and Fundter et al., will increase the global burden of disease, morbidity,
88 mortality, social and economic disruptions, and will place a continuing stress on health services [17-19].

Comment [b12]: Please move this to substantiate your results under discussion

89 Taking a look at the situation that presents itself in Port Harcourt during the 2017 flood, one could easily
90 identify certain above mentioned point leading to the assertion that the 2017 flood events may likely have
91 affected the health of the populace in the community, thereby leading to morbidity (as the focus of this study),
92 owing to the fact that morbidity in total is commonly defined as "departure from an overall state of health," but
93 more specifically often referred to as the effect of illness, disease or injury in a population [20]. This paper
94 hence aims to determine the morbidities experienced during and after the 2017 flood, so as to establish timely
95 and adequate preventive measures and recommendable interventions to reduce the risks of flood and flood-
96 related morbidity outcomes. Regarding the aim of this paper, the following questions and the answers that will
97 be provided, forms the fundamentals and focus of this paper: What was the prevalence of morbidities
98 experienced during and after the 2017 flood in Port Harcourt? Is there an association between the 2017 flood
99 and the morbidities experienced in Port Harcourt?

Comment [b13]: Kindly filter properly this part of the introduction into the concluding part, and send the remaining to discussion

101 **METHODOLGY**

102 **STUDY LOCATION**

103 This study was conducted within the metropolis of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, and South-South region of
104 Nigeria. It is situated along the Bonny River and is located in the Niger Delta. As of 2016, the Port Harcourt
105 urban area has an estimated population of 1,865,000 inhabitants, up from 1,382,592 as of 2006 (21). A
106 descriptive, cross-sectional study design was employed in this study. In carrying out this study, the study
107 populations were heads of households aged ≥ 18 years residing in the flood affected areas/quarters of the
108 community.

Comment [b14]: Please put this under a separate sub-heading (STUDY DESIGN)

109 **SAMPLE SIZE**

Formatted: Font: Bold

110 The minimum sample size was derived using the Fisher's formula: $n = \frac{(Z^2) \times pq}{(d^2)}$ [22].

111 Where: p = proportion of group p = 14.0% which was assumed because there is no similar study done so far. p=
112 $14 \div 100 = 0.14$; d = error margin = $5\% = (1 \times 5) \div 100 = 0.05$; z = corresponding value to C.I (z = 1.96); q = non-
113 proportion of group = $1 - p = 1 - 0.14 = 0.86$. Thus, $n = (1.96)^2 (0.14) (0.86) / (0.05)^2 = 185$. Considering a 15%
114 non-response rate = $15\% \times 185 = 27.75 = 185 + 27.75 = 212.75 = 210$ (2 s. f); a final sample size of two hundred
115 and ten (210) sample size was selected. A multistage sampling was conducted. The first stage was a clustered
116 sampling of a centralized flood affected area. This made homogeneity and recruitment of sampling unit (houses)
117 achievable. The second stage of sampling in this paper involved a systematic sampling of the sampling units
118 which was achieved by deriving the sampling interval given
119 as: = $\frac{\text{estimated number of houses in clustered streets}}{\text{allocated number of respondents}}$

120 In the case where eligible participants were unavailable during the data collection, the next individual in charge
121 of the house aged ≥ 18 years was administered the questionnaire and whereby there were non-available, the next
122 immediate household was taken.

123 The research data was primarily generated through the use of both quantitative and qualitative research methods.
124 For the quantitative method, the study instrument used was the semi-structure self-administered questionnaire.
125 This was designed to ensure ease of answers, taking into cognizance, the differences in assimilation of various
126 respondents. The questionnaire was made up of both close and unrestricted questions which is grouped into
127 sections, namely; socio-demographic characteristics, the 2017 flood experience, and the morbidity experiences
128 (during and after the flood). In the qualitative method, the key informant interview was employed. The survey
129 was supported with direct observation. During data collection, an official permission from the appropriate
130 community leaders such as the community development committee chairman was first sorted for after
131 presentation of ethical clearance, and then the selected respondents were enlightened with the study objectives
132 before finally administering the questionnaires to the respondents and interviewing the community key
133 informants. The quality of the data was assured by giving emphasis in designing the data collection tool, pre-
134 testing the data and training the data collectors.

Comment [b15]: Put this under the sub-heading DATA COLLECTION

135 **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

136 After data collection, the obtained field data was entered into the computer and then edited using Microsoft
137 Excel to ensure order of the information. After entering and editing, the Statistical Package for Social Sciences
138 (SPSS) version 20 was used to analyse the quantitative data while Nvivo version 12.0 was used for qualitative
139 analysis to get statistical results displayed using tables. Analysis of the output/data involved descriptive and
140 inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics was done by deriving mean, frequency, percentage and standard
141 deviation of the data and the inferential statistics include chi-square test which was used to check if the
142 differences that exist between variables are statistically significant. Meaningful conclusions from the study were
143 drawn from these tests.

144

145

146

147 **RESULTS**

148 A total of 210 questionnaires were administered and 203 were sufficiently completed, remaining 7
149 questionnaires, this gave a response rate of 96.67%.

150 | The socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents that ~~are~~ were interpreted (as seen in table 1) include;
151 | sex, age, marital status and education. Under the sex composition of the respondents, a total of 55.2% female
152 | and 44.8% male were involved in the survey. The age distribution of the respondents ~~on~~ in table 1 showed that,
153 | majority of the respondents fell between the ages group of 26-35 years, while the age group with the least
154 | participants' number were ~~between the ages~~ 66 and above, and the respondents mean age was 35.96±11.15. It
155 | was indicated that 116 (57%) were married, while 87 (43%) were unmarried. And based on the level of
156 | education of the respondents, majority of the respondents (55.2%) had secondary education while minority
157 | (3.4%) of the respondents had no education.

158 | Quantitative findings

159 | Morbidity Experiences Frequencies of occurrence of morbidities

160 | Table 2, shows the prevalence of morbidities experienced during and after the 2017 flood in Port Harcourt.
161 | 188(92.6%) indicated participants had morbidity experiences throughout the flood, while 73(36.0%) indicated
162 | participants had morbidity experiences after the flood. Amongst those who had morbidity experiences during
163 | and after/post the 2017 flood, the prevalence of the observed symptoms during the flood which include fever
164 | (85.8%), shaking chills (64.9%), body pains (54.0%), limb weakness (36.4%), diarrhea (53.2), Rice-water stool
165 | (9.0%), blood in stool (12.8%), fatigue (35.1%), nausea (32.4%), vomiting (34.6%), loss of appetite (56.6%),
166 | headache (63.3%), catarrh (68.9%), dry cough (38.6%), breathing difficulty (70.9%), sore throat (70.7%), ~~rashes~~
167 | ~~(59.8%)~~, rashes (59.8), yellow skin (19.7%) and yellow eyes (15.5%), were higher compared to the observed
168 | symptoms after the flood. An exception of a higher prevalence of observed symptoms during flood as compared
169 | to after the flood is dark urine. The prevalence of dark urine is higher (56.2%) compared to that of during the
170 | flood (31.9%). For experienced injuries such as ~~bruice~~, fracture and cut ~~respectively~~, the prevalence during the
171 | flood were higher (26.1%, 18.6, 20.2% respectively) compared to the experienced injuries after the flood. The
172 | prevalence of the psychological morbidity during the 2017 flood which include; anxiety (81.5%) and stress
173 | (85.3%), were higher compared to psychological morbidity prevalence after the flood. Unlike the prevalence of
174 | anxiety and stress, worried of loss (79.9%) during the flood has a lower prevalence as compared to after the
175 | 2017 flood. Other morbidity experiences such as shock, sprain/strain, foot sores, object pierce, chilblains and
176 | bites indicated by the respondents were higher (54.5%) in prevalence during the flood, compared with after the
177 | flood (47.9%)

Comment [b16]: What do you mean? Is it
bruise?

178 | Table 3, displays the chi-square test of association between the 2017 flood and the morbidities experienced in
179 | Port Harcourt. The result showed that the 2017 flood was significantly associated with the morbidity experiences
180 | of the respondents during and after ~~post~~ the flood occurrence at p-value = 0.00 ($X^2 = 141.88$; 95% C.I: 12.26,
181 | 40.63). Under the observed symptoms, flooding was significantly associated with an increased number of fever
182 | cases during the flood which was 5.14 times higher than the fever case after the flood ($X^2 = 29.59$, p-value
183 | <0.05). For shaking chills, the odds amongst respondents with morbidity experiences during the flood were 2.01
184 | times significantly higher than the cases after the flood, with a 95% C.I ranging from 1.16 to 3.47 ($X^2 = 6.30$, p-
185 | value <0.05). For cases of dark urine, the number after the flood had 0.37 times significant higher odds than the
186 | cases during the flood, with a 95% C.I ranging from 0.21 to 0.64 ($X^2 = 13.03$, p-value <0.05). For diarrhoea
187 | cases, the odds amongst respondents during the flood were 1.72 times significantly higher than the cases after
188 | the flood, with a 95% C.I ranging from 1.00 to 2.99 ($X^2 = 3.81$, p-value <0.05). Also respondents with cases of
189 | catarrh during the flood had 8.89 times significantly higher odds than the catarrh cases after the flood, with a
190 | displayed 95% C.I ranging from 4.65 to 16,98 ($X^2 = 51.50$, p-value <0.05). The odds for the cough cases during
191 | the floods were identified to be 3.23 times significantly higher than the cough cases after the flood, and the 95%
192 | C.I ranged from 1.63 to 6.40 ($X^2 = 12.00$, p-value <0.05). Based on the cases of breathing difficulty, a
193 | significantly higher odd of 3.79 were identified during the flood as compared to the cases following the flood
194 | ($X^2 = 45.80$, p-value <0.05).

195 | Similar to the breathing difficulty cases, the odds of sore throat cases during the flood were 6.87 times
196 | significantly higher than the cases of sore throat after the flood and this showed a 95% C.I ranging between 3.73
197 | and 12.65 ($X^2 = 43.23$, p-value <0.05). Finally under the observed morbidity symptoms, the odd cases of rashes
198 | were 5.83 times significantly higher during the flood than after the flood with a 95% C.I ranging from 3.08 to
199 | 11.03 ($X^2 = 32.93$, p-value <0.05). Under the morbidity experienced injuries, table 3 indicated that there was no
200 | statistical significance in the chi-square association between the 2017 flood and the morbidity experiences.
201 | Based on the psychological morbidity experiences of the respondents, table 3 indicated a statistical significance
202 | of association between the 2017 flood and morbidity experiences (at p-value <0.05); where the odd cases of
203 | anxiety during the flood were 0.26 times significantly higher than the anxiety case after the flood ($X^2 = 6.72$, p-
204 | value <0.05); and the odd cases of respondents worried of loss during the flood were 0.17 times significantly
205 | higher than the cases after the flood with a 95% C.I ranging from 3.08 to 11.03 and $X^2 = 32.93$ (p-value <0.05).
206 | Other morbidity experiences (such as sprain/strain, chilblains, foot sores, bites and shock) identified by the

207 respondents, were not statistically significant (as shown in table 3), but the odd cases were 1.32 times higher
208 during the flood than after the flood.

209 Qualitative findings

210 The qualitative finding of this study is presented on table 4. This was obtained after an interview (guided by the
211 study objectives) with three (3) key informants in Port Harcourt.

212 The key informants that were interviewed identified several morbidities experienced during and after the 2017
213 flood. The morbidities experienced during the flood were water borne and vector borne diseases (11), physical
214 injuries (1) while trying to evacuate, and social and psychological conditions (5). The very few identified
215 morbidity experiences after the flood were post flood conditions (3), such as high blood pressure.

Comment [b17]: Please recast

216 The responsibilities assumed by the local authorities so as to manage the flood effects were recognized as
217 intervention and advocacy (2), flood management strategies (2) such as encouraging affected residents,
218 evacuation and provision of relief materials, and flood prevention strategies (2); such as encouraging settlements
219 outside flood prone areas and education on waste discarding appropriateness.

220 The responsibilities that the Government, NGOs and other relevant bodies assumed in an attempt to curb the
221 flood damages caused were noted as provision of relief materials (3), like food and mattresses, and public
222 shelter (1).

223 The opinions/recommendations given by the key informants on how to control flood menace include; proper
224 environmental management (3) to control environmental abuse (such as disposal of waste in drainages and
225 building of structures at flood prone areas), improved attitudes and implementation of effective policies relating
226 to flood (3), provision of effective and prompt flood warning systems against flood events (1), effective
227 intervention or management of flood proceedings (2) by government and other agencies/ institutions.
228

229 DISCUSSION

230 Morbidities Experienced

231 Morbidities experienced of flood-affected population are a major public health concern. This study provides
232 detailed morbidities experienced during and after the 2017 flood in Port Harcourt, which were majorly
233 categorized into; illness, injuries and psychological effects (table 2 and 3), similar to several studies from
234 Germany [22], England and Wales [23]. WHO in conformity to this study, reported that the health effects
235 observed during and after floods include injuries, infections, and poisoning and greater mental-health problems
236 [1]. Generally as revealed by this study, the prevalence of morbidities experienced amongst flood-affected
237 respondents were significantly higher (92.6%), during the flood as compared to after the flood, with increased
238 odds of 22.32 and $X^2 = 141.88$ (at $p < 0.05$). Hence, this study rejects the null hypothesis (H_0) and retains the
239 alternate hypothesis (H_1) which states that: there is an association between the 2017 flood and the morbidities
240 experienced in Port Harcourt, at $p < 0.05$. This study finding corroborates to the study of Landoh et al., and
241 Carroll et al., [24, 25].

Comment [b18]: Please substantiate with a reference

Comment [b19]: Please recast, I think it should be the other way round; that this study in conformity with WHO.....

Comment [b20]: Please recast as: The findings of this study corroborate the submissions of.....

242

243 > Illness

244 A number of illnesses experienced during and after the 2017 flood have been identified in this study (table 2 and
245 3). These illnesses were caused by varying different agents (such as viral, bacteria, fungi and protozoa), due to
246 unhygienic flood-water exposure which can occur through various routes of infections; such as inhalation,
247 ingestion and insect transmission and infections. These illnesses may also have resulted from the disruption of
248 sewage disposal and flood water depth. The prevalence of these illnesses during the flood was higher when
249 compared to the illnesses experienced after the 2017 flood, and the illness with the highest frequency during the
250 flood was fever (85.8%); but an exception of the prevalence of these illnesses is dark urine with a higher
251 prevalence post-flood event, compared to during flood event. Of these illnesses experienced, the odds ratio of
252 some of them (including fever, shaking chills, dark urine, diarrhea, catarrh, cough, difficulty in breathing, sore
253 throat and rashes) was significantly high (at $p < 0.05$), indicating an association between floods and morbidity
254 illnesses (table 3). This study is concurrent to other studies like in Germany [22,26] and to the multicentre
255 research of Obanga [27] in Ahoada East and Ahoada West Local government area. Also, fever which could be

Comment [b21]: Please reconstruct sentence.

Comment [b22]: You did not give reasons why these findings are like this. Please discuss this in details and support with references

Comment [b23]: Please move to the result section

Comment [b24]: What do you mean by "concurrent". I cannot comprehend

256 seen as a major symptom of malaria and other vector borne diseases was seen to be the highest occurring
257 decimal according to the survey. [This could be corroborated by the study carried out by Ahern and colleagues in
258 2005 which revealed that there is a potential for increased vector-borne illnesses and endemic levels of diarrheal
259 disease, especially in areas with poor sanitation. Also, the 2012 study of Oriji on the flooding that occurred that
260 same year in Rivers state also outlined fever and gastrointestinal disorders (cholera, dysentery and diarrhoea) as
261 the most occurring morbidities, and attributed them to results from contaminated drinking-water and exposure to
262 waste water facilities-exposure [28]. These findings were not too far from that of Obanga [27] when he studied
263 the effects of flooding menace on health and housing in two communities of Ahoada east and west local
264 government areas of Rivers state. Although his result showed that the morbidity with the highest prevalence
265 was Cough (45%), it was closely followed by malaria/fever (44%), in supporting the outcome of the present
266 study. These illnesses may have led to certain general illnesses reported by US [23] and Germany [26], which
267 are detailed as: respiratory illness, gastrointestinal illness, skin and eye irritation and infection].

Comment [b25]: Please reconstruct. I think your finding should corroborate Ahern et al., 2005, rather than the other way round

Comment [b26]: Which findings, is it your findings or those of Oriji? Please clear the air better

Comment [b27]: Please reconstruct sentence to show that you had similar results with the findings of Obanga

Comment [b28]: Which illnesses are you comparing with illnesses in Germany and USA? Please be more specific/particular

268 Also, the respiratory illnesses namely: catarrh, cough, sore throat and difficulty in breathing were all reported by
269 the findings of this study to be significantly associated to the 2017 flood (at $p < 0.05$). According to a study
270 carried out by the Flood Hazard Research Centre (FHRC), in conformity to this study, reported chest infections,
271 asthma, flu, coughs and colds to be due to the flooding in the North East of England [23]. The gastrointestinal
272 illnesses such as diarrhea, rice-water stool, blood in stool, nausea, vomiting, and loss of appetite are flood
273 related illnesses commonly implicated by vector and water borne infections [29]. Amongst these gastrointestinal
274 illnesses, the study reveals that the peak in diarrhea morbidity is associated with flooding (p-value = 0.05) as the
275 prevalence of the illness (52.2%) during the flood was identified to be significantly with $O.R = 1.72$; $X^2 = 3.81$;
276 and 95% C.I: 1.00 – 2.99. Several researches such as; Acuinjet et al., Wade et al., and Cann et al., [22, 24, 25],
277 are in conformity to the study. The skin and eye illnesses include; yellow eyes, yellow skin and rashes which
278 were all identified to have higher prevalence during the 2017 flood than post the flood. Amongst these illnesses,
279 rashes was revealed to be significantly associated with the 2017 flood, at p-value = 0.00. This conforms to the
280 study of Tunstall et al., and WHO [23, 30].

Comment [b29]: This should be moved to results

Comment [b30]: Please recast

281 > Injuries

282 The relatively minor flood injuries that occurred during and post the 2017 flood include bruises and cuts; while
283 some others indicated include sprain/strain, bites, foot sores, chilblains and object pierce. The more serious
284 flood injury revealed was fracture, which was less experienced by a frequency of 18.6% during the flood and
285 15.8% after the flood. The injuries which according to Bich et al., [31] could be attributable to falls and
286 clattering into some unobserved items beneath the water flooded areas, occurred during the flood may have been
287 sustained in the process of evacuation (while trying to remove themselves, family and valuables), while the
288 post-flood injuries may have been sustained during the cleanup process, when the evacuated residents begin to
289 return to their homes [32]. The prevalence of the injuries experienced during the flood were higher than the
290 post-flood injuries (table 2); where the odds of bruise, cuts and fractures respectively were 1.49, 1.80 and 1.29
291 times respectively higher. Irrespective of the prevalence of the flood injuries, this study result revealed that the
292 injuries experienced during and post the 2017 flood in Eneka community was not flood significant (table 3).
293 This is similar to the CCASHH project in Europe that revealed no survey information on significant flood
294 injuries [32]. In agreement with this study is the research conducted by the Health Protection Agency in London,
295 which revealed that the significance of an injury will depend on the local hazards and type of flood [33].

Comment [b31]: rephrase

296

297 > Psychological effects

298 Living throughout a flood event according to Jermacane et al [5], can be distressing and the consequence ~~for~~
299 people's mental health can be profound. This ~~found~~s the bases of several studies carried out on the common
300 effects of flood on psychological disorders, amongst which are; the Psychosocial impact of the summer 2007
301 floods in England by Paranjothy et al., [34], and English National Cohort Study of Flooding and Health by
302 Waite et al., [11]. Findings from these studies were no different from the findings from this paper which also
303 pointed towards stress, anxiety and depression as the common psychological disorders accompanying the
304 flooding event. These reported flood-common mental health outcome according to Tunstall et al., [23] could be
305 attributed to certain flood vulnerability factors like the depth of flood, worried for loss, the strenuous evacuation
306 process, contamination of flood-water, less or warning system and recovery process. The mental health
307 symptoms prevalence was established higher amongst flood affected homes and flooding was associated with
308 increased odds of all outcomes [33, 34]. These above studies are in corroboration with the findings of this study,
309 which showed that the prevalence (table 2) of the three most common psychological disorder (anxiety, stress
310 and depression respectively), were higher during the flood (81.5%, 85.3% and 79.9% respectively), as compared
311 to the psychological morbidities experienced after the flood (54.8%, 84.9% and 61.6% respectively), and the

Comment [b32]: are you sure you actually wanted to write "found" or "forms"

Comment [b33]: check please

Comment [b34]: I cannot comprehend

Comment [b35]: Please check and correct appropriately

312 odds were significantly high (table 3) showing an association between the 2017 flood and the psychological
313 morbidities (at $p < 0.05$). In corroboration to this study, Carroll et al., have conducted interviews with people who
314 were flooded during the Carlisle floods and noted that many respondents spoke of psychological stress [25].

315
316 **Conclusion**

317 The morbidities experienced during and after the 2017 floods in Port Harcourt were substantial and significant
318 on the households and community, causing them to be physically injured, psychologically unstable, highly
319 exposed to certain illnesses and relatively displaced.

320
321
322 **REFERENCES**

- 323 [1] World Health Organization. "Flooding and Communicable Diseases Fact Sheet: The World Health Organization".
324 Available at <http://www.who.int/hac/techguidance/ems/flood> Accessed 2013
- 325 [2] Alderman, K; Turner, L; Tong, S;. "Floods and human health: a systematic review". *Environment International*,
326 47: 37-47, 2012.
- 327 [3] Wizar, C; Week, D;. "Geospatial mapping and analysis of the 2012 Nigeria Flood disaster extent in Yenagoa city,
328 Bayelsa State, Nigeria". *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*, 4(10), 2014.
- 329 [4] Ramin, B; McMichael, A;. "A Climate Change and Health in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Case-Based Perspective".
330 *EcoHealth*, 6, 52-57, 2009.
- 331 [5] Jermacane, D; Waite, D; Beck, R.; Bone, A.; Amlôt, R.; Reacher, M.; Kovats, S; Armstrong, B; Leonardi, G;
332 Rubin, J; Oliver, I;. "The English National Cohort Study of Flooding and Health: the change in the prevalence of
333 psychological morbidity at year two". *BMC Public Health*, 18:1-8, 2018.
- 334 [6] Emergency Events Database. "EM-DAT: The International Disaster Database". Retrieved Jan 12, 2009, from
335 www.emdat.be
- 336 [7] United Kingdom. "National Risk Register of Civil Emergencies". Available from:
337 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/644968/UK_National_Risk_Registe](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/644968/UK_National_Risk_Register_2017.pdf)
338 [r_2017.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/644968/UK_National_Risk_Register_2017.pdf). Accessed on 2018 January 12
- 339 [8] Amadi, E;. "Evaluation of flooding on the secondary school students in Ogba/Egbema/Ndoni local government
340 area in Rivers state, Nigeria". *Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 3(3): 14
341 – 19, 2013.
- 342 [9] McEwen, L; Jones, O;. "Building local/lay flood knowledges into community flood resilience planning after the
343 July 2007 floods, Gloucestershire, UK". *Hydrol Res*; 43(5):675–88, 2012.
- 344 [10] Dai, W; Kaminga, A; Tan, H; Wang, J; Lai, Z; Wu, X; Liu, A;. "Long-term psychological outcomes of flood
345 survivors of hard-hit areas of the 1998 Dongting Lake flood in China: prevalence and risk factors". *PLoS One*;
346 12(2):e0171557, 2017.
- 347 [11] Waite, T; Chaintarli, K; Beck, C; Bone, A; Amlôt, R; Kovats, S; Armstrong, B; Leonardi, G; Rubin, J; Oliver, I;. "The English national cohort study of flooding and health: cross-sectional analysis of mental health outcomes at year one". *BMC Public Health*, 17: 129, 2017.
- 350 [12] Haar, J; Naderi, S; Acerra, R; Mathias, M; Alagappan, K;. "The livelihoods of Haitian health-care providers after
351 the january 2010 earthquake: a pilot study of the economic and quality-of-life impact of emergency relief". *Int J*
352 *Emerg Med*, 5:13–8., 2012.
- 353 [13] Sudaryo, M; Besral, A; Rivany, R; Phalkey, R; Marx, M; Guha-Sapir, D;. "Injury, disability and quality of life
354 after the 2009 earthquake in Padang, Indonesia: a prospective cohort study of adult survivors". *Glob Health*
355 *Action*; 5:576–7, 2012.
- 356 [14] Parker, R; Little, K; Heuser, S. "Development actions and the rising incidence of disasters (Evaluation Brief 4)".
357 Washington: World Bank, 2007.
- 358 [15] Cosgrave, J. "Responding to Flood Disasters: Learning from previous relief and recovery operations". *ALNAP*
359 *Lessons Paper*. London: ALNAP/ODI, 2014.
- 360 [16] Udoimuk, A. B.B; Osang, J. E. A.; Ettah, E. B.A; Ushie, P. O.A; Egor, A. O.A; Alozie, S. I. A. "An Empirical
361 Study of Seasonal Rainfall Effect in Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria". *IOSR Journal of Applied Physics (IOSR-*
362 *JAP)* e-ISSN: 2278-4861. Volume 5, Issue 5 (Jan. 2014), PP 07-15 www.iosrjournals.org
- 363 [17] Assanangkornchai, S; Tangboonngam, J; Edwards, G. "The flooding of Hat Yai: Predictors of adverse emotional
364 responses to a natural disaster". *Stress and Health*. 2004; 20, 81-89.
- 365 [18] Ahern, M; Kovats, R; Wilkinson, P; Few, R; Matthies, F. "Global Health Impacts of Floods: Epidemiologic
366 Evidence". *Epidemiol Rev*. 27, 36-46, 2005.
- 367 [19] Fundter, D; Jonkman, B; Beerman, S; Goemans, C; Briggs, R; Coumans. "Health impacts of large-scale floods:
368 governmental decision-making and resilience of the citizens". *Prehosp Disaster Med*. 23, 70-73, 2008.
- 369 [20] DesMeules, M; Turner, L; Cho, R. Morbidity experiences and disability among Canadian women. *BMC women's*
370 *health*. 2004 Aug;4(1):S10.
- 371 [21] Arizona-Ogwu, Chinedu L. "Port Harcourt PDP Rally Stampede: Irregular Or Deregulated Police Action?".
372 Nigerians In America (16 February 2011). Archived from the original on 25 June 2014. Retrieved 25 June 2014.
- 373 [22] Schnitzler, J; Benzler, J; Altmann, D; Mucke, I; Krause, G. "Survey on the population's needs and the public
374 health response during floods in Germany 2002". *J. Public Health Manag. Pract.* 13, 461–464, 2007.

Comment [b36]: Please check and correct appropriately

Comment [b37]: You did not work on this

375 [23] Tunstall, S; Tapsell, S; Green, C; Floyd, P; George, C. "The health effects of flooding: Social research results from
376 England and Wales". *J. Water Health* 4, 365–380, 2006.

377 [24] Landoh, D; Tchamdja, P; Saka, B; Tint, S; Gitta, N; Wasswa, P; Jager, D. "Morbidity and mortality due to malaria
378 in Est Mono district, Togo, from 2005 to 2010: a times series analysis". *Malar J*, 11:389, 2012.

379 [25] Carroll, B; Balogh, R; Morbey, H; Araoz, G. "Health and social impacts of a flood disaster: responding to needs
380 and implications for practice". *Disasters* 34(4), 1045-1063, 2010.

381 [26] Steinfuhrer, A; Kuhlicke, C. "Social Vulnerability and the 2002 Flood: Country Report Germany (Mulde River)";
382 *FLOODsite Report T11-07-08*; UFZ: Leipzig, Germany, 2007.

383 [27] Ogbanga, M. M. "Impacts of flooding disaster on housing and health in two communities of Ahoada East and
384 West Local Government Areas of Rivers state". *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*.
385 11(1):44-50, 2015.

386 [28] Orijji, C. C. "What to do about Climate Change-Caused Flooding and the Associated Diseases in Rivers State of
387 Nigeria". *Global Journal of HUMAN-SOCIAL SCIENCE: B Geography, Geo-Sciences, Environmental Science &*
388 *Disaster Management*, 15(4): 29 – 34, 2015.

389 [29] Cann, F; Thomas, R; Salmon, L; Wyn-Jones, P; Kay, D. "Extreme water-related weather events and waterborne
390 disease". *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 141, 671–686, 2013.

391 [30] World Health Organization. "Risk Assessment and Preventive measures". *Flooding and Communicable Diseases*
392 *Fact Sheet: The World Health Organization*. Available at <http://www.who.int/hac/techguidance/ems/flood>.
393 Accessed 2006.

394 [31] Bich, T. H; Quang, L. N; le, T. H; Hanh, T. T; Guha-Sapir, D. "Impacts of flood on health: epidemiologic
395 evidence from Hanoi, Vietnam". *Glob Health Action*; 2011; 4:6356.

396 [32] World Health Organization. "Environmental health in emergencies and disasters: A practical guide". Accessed
397 2002.

398 [33] Health Protection Agency. "Interim guidance for health protection units". Surveillance activity during floods,
399 London. Accessed 4 August 2010. (http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb_C/1214291249686).

400 [34] Paranjothy, S; Gallacher, J; Amlot, R. "Psychosocial impact of the summer 2007 floods in England". *BMC Public*
401 *Health*; 11:145, 2011.

402

403 **TABLES AND FIGURES**

404 **Table 1:** Distribution of the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Characteristics	Frequency (N = 203)	Percentage (%)
Sex		
Male	91	44.8
Female	112	55.2
Age (years)		
18 - 25	25	12.3
26 - 35	90	44.3
36 - 45	48	23.6
46 - 55	27	13.3
56 - 65	10	4.9
≥66	3	1.5
Mean ± SD	35.96 ± 11.15	
Marital Status		
Married	116	57.1
Unmarried	87	42.9
Educational Status		
None	7	3.4
Primary	17	8.4
Secondary	112	55.2
Tertiary	67	33.0

405
406 **Table 2:** Prevalence of the morbidity experiences of respondents during and post the 2017 floods in Port
407 Harcourt

Question(s)	During		After	
	Yes (%)	No (%)	Yes (%)	No (%)
Did you experience any Morbidity	188 (92.6)	15 (7.4)	73 (36.0)	130 (64.0)

Morbidity Experienced

Illnesses

Fever	162 (85.8)	26 (14.2)	40 (54.8)	33 (45.2)
Shaking chills	122 (64.9)	66 (35.1)	35 (47.9)	38 (52.1)
Body pains	101 (54.0)	87 (46.0)	38 (52.1)	35 (47.9)
Limb weakness	69 (36.4)	119 (63.6)	23 (31.5)	50 (68.5)
Dark urine	60 (31.9)	128 (59.1)	41 (56.2)	32 (43.8)
Diarrhea	100 (53.2)	88 (46.8)	29 (39.7)	44 (60.3)
Rice-water stool	17 (9.0)	171 (91.0)	6 (8.2)	67 (91.8)
Blood in stool	24 (12.8)	164 (87.2)	9 (12.3)	64 (87.7)
Fatigue	66 (35.1)	122 (64.9)	20 (27.4)	53 (72.6)
Nausea	61 (32.4)	127 (67.6)	18 (25.0)	55 (75.0)
Vomiting	65 (34.6)	123 (65.4)	24 (32.9)	49 (67.1)
Loss of appetite	106 (56.6)	82 (43.4)	32 (43.8)	41 (56.2)
Headache	119 (63.3)	69 (36.7)	44 (60.3)	29 (39.7)
Catarrh	131 (68.9)	57 (31.1)	15 (20.5)	58 (79.5)
Dry cough	73 (38.6)	115 (61.4)	12 (16.4)	61 (83.6)
Breathing difficulty	133 (70.9)	55 (29.1)	18 (24.7)	55 (75.3)
Sore throat	133 (70.7)	55 (29.3)	19 (26.0)	54 (74.0)
Rashes	113 (59.8)	75 (40.2)	15 (20.5)	58 (79.5)
Yellow skin	37 (19.7)	151 (80.3)	08 (10.9)	65 (89.1)
Yellow eyes	29 (15.5)	159 (84.5)	08 (10.9)	65 (89.1)

Injuries

Bruise	49 (26.1)	139 (73.9)	14 (19.1)	59 (80.9)
Fracture	35 (18.6)	153 (81.4)	11 (15.8)	62 (84.2)
Cut	38 (20.2)	150 (79.8)	09 (12.3)	64 (87.7)

Psychological effects

Anxiety	154 (81.5)	34 (18.5)	40 (54.8)	33 (45.2)
Stress	162 (85.3)	26 (14.7)	62 (84.9)	11 (15.1)
Depression	151 (79.9)	37 (20.1)	45 (61.6)	28 (38.4)

Others (such as; chilblains, shock, sprain, bites, etc)

	103 (54.5)	85 (45.5)	35 (47.9)	38 (52.1)
--	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

408

409

410

Table 3: Chi-square test of association between the 2017 flood and the morbidity experiences

Response	Morbidity Experiences		Chi-square		95% C.I		
	During Flood	After Flood	X ²	P-value	Lower	Upper	
Yes	188 (92.6)	73 (36.0)	141.88	0.00	22.32	12.26	40.63
No	15 (7.4)	130 (64.0)					

Morbidity Experienced	During Flood	After Flood	Chi-square		Odds Ratio	95% C.I	
			X ²	P-value		Lower	Upper
Illnesses							

Fever	Yes	162 (85.8)	40 (54.8)	29.59	0.00	5.14	2.77	9.55
	No	26 (14.2)	33 (45.2)					
Shaking chills	Yes	122 (64.9)	35 (47.9)	6.30	0.01	2.01	1.16	3.47
	No	66 (35.1)	38 (52.1)					
Body pains	Yes	101 (54.0)	38 (52.1)	0.06	0.81	1.07	0.62	1.84
	No	87 (46.0)	35 (47.9)					
Limb weakness	Yes	69 (36.4)	23 (31.5)	0.62	0.43	1.26	0.71	2.24
	No	119 (63.6)	50 (68.5)					
Dark urine	Yes	60 (31.9)	41 (56.2)	13.03	0.00	0.37	0.21	0.64
	No	128 (59.1)	32 (43.8)					
Diarrhea	Yes	100 (53.2)	29 (39.7)	3.81	0.05	1.72	1.00	2.99
	No	88 (46.8)	44 (60.3)					
Rice-water stool	Yes	17 (9.0)	6 (8.2)	0.04	0.83	1.11	0.42	2.94
	No	171 (91.0)	67 (91.8)					
Blood in stool	Yes	24 (12.8)	9 (12.3)	0.01	0.92	1.04	0.46	2.36
	No	164 (87.2)	64 (87.7)					
Fatigue	Yes	66 (35.1)	20 (27.4)	1.41	0.23	1.43	0.79	2.60
	No	122 (64.9)	53 (72.6)					
Nausea	Yes	61 (32.4)	18 (25.0)	1.51	0.22	1.47	0.79	2.71
	No	127 (67.6)	55 (75.0)					
Vomiting	Yes	65 (34.6)	24 (32.9)	0.07	0.80	1.08	0.61	1.91
	No	123 (65.4)	49 (67.1)					
Loss of appetite	Yes	106 (56.6)	32 (43.8)	3.32	0.07	1.66	0.96	2.86
	No	82 (43.4)	41 (56.2)					
Headache	Yes	119 (63.3)	44 (60.3)	0.21	0.65	1.14	0.65	1.98
	No	69 (36.7)	29 (39.7)					
Catarrh	Yes	131 (68.9)	15 (20.5)	51.50	0.00	8.89	4.65	16.98
	No	57 (31.1)	58 (79.5)					
Dry cough	Yes	73 (38.6)	12 (16.4)	12.00	0.00	3.23	1.63	6.40
	No	115 (61.4)	61 (83.6)					
Breathing difficulty	Yes	133 (70.9)	18 (24.7)	45.80	0.00	7.39	3.98	13.71
	No	55 (29.1)	55 (75.3)					
Sore throat	Yes	133 (70.7)	19 (26.0)	43.23	0.00	6.87	3.73	12.65
	No	55 (29.3)	54 (74.0)					
Rashes	Yes	113 (59.8)	15 (20.5)	32.93	0.00	5.83	3.08	11.03
	No	75 (40.2)	58 (79.5)					
Yellow skin	Yes	37 (19.7)	08 (10.9)	2.80	0.09	1.99	0.88	4.51
	No	151 (80.3)	65 (89.1)					

Yellow eyes								
Yes		29 (15.5)	08 (10.9)	0.86	0.35	1.48	0.64	3.41
No		159 (84.5)	65 (89.1)					
Injuries								
Bruise								
Yes		49 (26.1)	14 (19.1)	1.36	0.24	1.49	0.76	2.90
No		139 (73.9)	59 (80.9)					
Fracture								
Yes		35 (18.6)	11 (15.8)	0.46	0.50	1.29	0.62	2.70
No		153 (81.4)	62 (84.2)					
Cut								
Yes		38 (20.2)	09 (12.3)	2.21	0.14	1.80	0.82	3.94
No		150 (79.8)	64 (87.7)					
Psychological effects								
Anxiety								
Yes		154 (81.5)	40 (54.8)	6.72	0.01	0.26	0.09	0.77
No		34 (18.5)	33 (45.2)					
Stress								
Yes		162 (85.3)	62 (84.9)	0.07	0.80	1.11	0.52	2.37
No		26 (14.7)	11 (15.1)					
Depression								
Yes		151 (79.9)	45 (61.6)	9.82	0.00	0.17	0.05	0.59
No		37 (20.1)	28 (38.4)					
Others (shock, sprain, bites, etc)								
Yes		103 (54.5)	35 (47.9)	0.99	0.32	1.32	0.77	2.26
No		85 (45.5)	38 (52.1)					

411
412
413
414
415

Table 4: Frequency, meaning and explanation of themes derived from key informant interview.

Theme	Meaning	Categories	Frequency	Total	Evidence
Morbidity experiences	Diseases and other health related conditions suffered by the people of Eneka Community during the 2017 flood	Water and vector borne diseases/ conditions	11	20	The health of the people was greatly affected during the flood. So many had foot sores, rashes, and diarrhea. The children, especially those in families who remained, were very sick (Key informant 3).
		Physical injuries	1		
		Social and Psychological conditions	5		
		Post flood conditions	3		
		Evacuation/ Relocation	2		
Local Authorities	The role played by Local authorities in order to manage the effects of the flood	Intervention/ advocacy	2	6	They called on governments' attention for adequate construction of drainages and provision of relief supplies to the affected residents (Key informant 2).
		Flood management strategies	2		
		Flood prevention strategies	2		
Institutional assistance	The role played by Government, NGOs and other	Relief materials	3	4	Some relief materials were sent by the government and NGOs (Key informant 2).

	relevant bodies in an effort to control the flood caused damages	Shelter	1		
Public opinion	Suggestions by the Community members and leaders on how to present and or manage flooding should it occur	Proper environmental management	3	9	Early warnings should be given about floods. Residents should avoid settling in flood prone localities (Key informant 1).
		Attitude/ Policies	3		
		Early warning	1		
		Intervention/ management	2		

416 (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/publication-charge>)

UNDER PEER REVIEW