



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_45450
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Morbidities Experienced During and After the 2017 Flood in Port Harcourt</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This study wishes to show how to impact of natural disaster to public health at site during and after flood in Nigeria. Nonetheless the objective of study, this manuscript has not been reported properly it.</p> <p>In general, this manuscript needs to be re-written to report study result succinctly and clearly...</p> <p>Some of general comments and suggestions each section are;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction is too lengthy, please rewritten this section. It described all relevant study results, so it resulted in unclear study aims.</li> <li>2. Methods: please shorten this part as well. In particular, don't explain too much detail to calculate sample size estimation. As study analysed statistical package, SPSS, and NVIVO12, please describe them in methods and results section what was analysed and found.</li> <li>3. Results: please try to show detailed findings using statistical programs as explained in methods. It is too short to explain study findings.</li> <li>4. Discussion and conclusions: Please re-structured some sentences in discussion into moving results section.</li> </ol> <p>Despite insufficient flow of study report, it needs to be published how to changed disease or health-related pattern after natural disaster to understand them.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Soon Ae Kim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International Vaccine Institute, South Korea</b>