



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_47806
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effectiveness of Dennettiatripetalla (G.Backer), Xylopiiaethiopica (A. Rich) and Aframomummelegueta(K. Schum) Extracts for the Control of Cylaspuncticolis(Boheman)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Indicate the crop of interest because <i>C. puncticolis</i> attack other crops/plants. ✓ Indicate the location of the experiment. ✓ State the kind of extract applied, i.e. emulsion, aqueous, etc. ✓ Sweetpotato is a root and not a tuber crop. Please replace tuber(s) with root(s) in the whole text. ✓ Was quantitative analysis carried out in this work? Show. <p>Background</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Objective of the study should be explicit <p>M & M</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Comments are in the manuscript <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Tables should flow and not be broken (should be in separate pages). <p>References</p> <p>Stathers <i>et al.</i> 2005 and Adedire and Ajayi 1996 were not listed in reference section.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Collins Ndubuisi Ehisianya</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i>