



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_46597
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Patient-centred Care on Quality Nursing Care, Nurse-sensitive indicators and Satisfaction of Nurses and Patients in Adult Medical Inpatients Setting: a mixed methods systematic review protocol.
Type of the Article	Systematic Review Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Effect of Patient-centred Care on Quality Nursing Care, Nurse-sensitive indicators and Satisfaction of Nurses and Patients in Adult Medical Inpatients Setting: a mixed methods systematic review protocol.</p> <p>It was a pleasure for me to check this paper. I read through this manuscript and I think that this is a good paper. Consequently, I congratulate the authors.</p> <p>But I suggest that, please, review the following comments:</p> <p>-Method: -Do you not include "gray" or "opaque" literature (technical reports, conference proceedings and dissertations)?</p> <p>-There is little more information about validity (internal validity: refers to the ability to avoid systematic errors or biases through the design and conduct of the study, while external validity is related to the applicability of the results to a specific population.)</p> <p>-Why not use a system to inform the quality and strength of the recommendation, such as the GRADE system? (It indicates how much we can trust that the estimate of the effect of the intervention is correct and how much we can trust that the implementation of the recommendation will bring more benefits than risks).</p> <p>-It would be worthwhile to comment more on the determination of heterogeneity and the analysis of subgroups (it is often interesting to examine a special category of participants in the review (for example, women, a group of age or the severity of the disease)).</p> <p>-It would be worth commenting more on the determination of the publication bias (the information collection must be exhaustive, both published and unpublished studies to avoid incurring in selection bias).</p> <p>-References: The references should be quoted, numerically, in the text in correlative order. Review, please, the rules of the Journal.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>The abbreviations of journals should conform to those of the US National Library of Medicine for Medline / PubMed (available in: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals) For example: Journal of advanced nursing. NLM Title Abbreviation: J Adv Nurs</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Jose Luis Turabian</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Regional Health Service of Castilla la Mancha (SESCAM), Spain</p>