Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_47238
Title of the Manuscript:	MINIMALLY INVASIVE OPEN THYROIDECTOMY: Our Experience-A case series.
Type of the Article	Case series

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty</u>', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree
		highlight that part in the mar
		should write his/her feedba
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript deals with a case series, namely the use of minimally invasive or non-endoscopic thyroidectomy on seven patients and its advantages over conventional thyroidectomy. The article is very interesting, results are well written, and discussion properly and extensively described. Hence, I suggest the publication for this work.	
Minor REVISION comments	I point out only some minor revisions. In the abstract, please rephrase the statement as "Due to the rectification of difficulties and mistakes made in previous cases our incision length and procedure times WERE reduced". In Material Methods, please consider to write full name for FNAC.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed v
		that part in the manuscript. It is
		feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	Yes, it is a case report. The authors stated that informed and written consent was taken from all the seven patients	
	Approval from Ethics Committee should be required.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francesca Gorini
Department, University & Country	Italy

		1
reed with reviewe	r, correct the manuscript an	Ч
	a, our our me manusuipt an	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma back here)	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	
anuscript. It is ma	andatory that authors	

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight is mandatory that authors should write his/her