



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_47515
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorative Effects of Potassium on the Salinity Stress in Plants: A review
Type of the Article	Review Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>All paper:</b></p> <p>- Check in the abstract, the words “<b>production,particularly</b>”; “<b>themaintenance</b>”; “<b>theinhospitable</b>”. Take care of it.</p> <p><b>That issue is occurring throughout the text. Please, make a review and correct that!</b></p> <p><b>References cited in the text:</b></p> <p>- The references cited throughout the text are not in accordance with the <b>GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS</b> (available on journal's page). The rules for it is:</p> <p><b>“Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].”.</b></p> <p>Please, correct that!</p> <p><b>Tables &amp; Figures:</b> According the <b>GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS</b>,</p> <p><b>“Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text.”.</b></p> <p>Figure 4 is very far from the text referring to it.</p> <p>It is necessary to put the reference in the caption of tables and figure if these elements were not made by the authors.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I suggest a complete review of the paper by the author just to check if all rules of the Guideline are been respected.</p> <p>It is necessary to read all the text to correct words that are unit to each other.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Schirley Costalonga</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Federal do Espirito Santo, Brazil</i>