



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_47740
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Heterosis in Tomato ( <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper was concerned with <b>Estimation of Heterosis in Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)</b>, which was conducted by traditional methodology and the content of which is better. Major revisions must be made as below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract part is not attractive.</li> <li>2. Introduction: need to review specific and recent literature on the topic of research. Don't use plagiarized material and old references.</li> <li>3. Materials and methods very lengthy need to rewrite again.</li> <li>4. Significant results but discussion level is low in general. A more care-full wording/construction of the sentences could facilitate the reading and improve the paper;</li> <li>5. The recent related progress in the field was not introduced well in combination with the recent references;</li> <li>6. References repeat much time in the text e.g. <b>Patwary et al.</b> repeated more than 10 time</li> <li>7. In this manuscript not follow author guideline in the reference list</li> <li>8. More important/recent references can be added to the manuscript;</li> <li>9. English expression needs major improvement;</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b>	

**As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.**

**Kindly see the following link:**

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Ravindra Kumar</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Punjabi University, India</i></b>