



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46211
Title of the Manuscript:	Do the institutional constraints on policy performance?
New Title:	Impact of institutional rules on policy performance
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>I have reviewed the revised article based on my initial observation and I felt that there is still need for minor revision especially the grammatical aspect and there is a serious mix up between the APA style and Chicago in the in-text citation. The author needs to harmonise by taking only one style of referencing throughout the entire work based on the journal's format. Thank you.</p> <p>THE ABSTRACT The abstract is not clearly organised in accordance with the global best practice of abstract writing. It should specify in an unambiguous term the background, objectives, problems, methodology, findings and recommendations succinctly. Thus, it should be revisited accordingly.</p> <p>BODY OF THE WORK I have a serious concern on grammatical settings and structure of the entire work. It seems there are lots of grammatical errors in the whole work and it must be seriously looked into. I suggest that if your journal have a unit of editing grammar, it should be rigorously done before publication or preferably, the authors should be asked to contact an editing agency for a thorough proof-reading and editing with an evidence. If such measure is not taken, I will reject publication for this article on the ground of poor grammatical construction. I have marked some few selected cases of grammatical errors with red sign for the authors to revisit. There are several of them all over which require attention please.</p> <p>The literature and discussion as well as the methodology are adequate.</p> <p>REFERENCES There is a mixed up in the in-text citation and the final references. Some of the references are looking APA while others Harvard. They should be harmonised and synthesised according to the template of the journal.</p> <p>FINAL REMARKS The paper is publishable with major corrections after all the above comments are taken into considerations.</p>	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Babayo Sule</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University Kashere Gombe, Nigeria</i>