



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46211
Title of the Manuscript:	Do the institutional constraints on policy performance?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title of the manuscript is not clear enough. The author must consider rephrasing it.</li> <li>2. The manuscript must be submitted for professional English editing and proofreading.</li> <li>3. There is no logical coherence in the writeup.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The manuscripts referencing style does not follow the Journal's style of referencing. Aside this, there are also inconsistencies in the referencing style used.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Thomas Prehi Botchway
Department, University & Country	Chongqing University, China