



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46604
Title of the Manuscript:	An Empirical Investigation of the link between Government Intervention Programs and Poverty Alleviation. A Case of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The state of knowledge of the problem is poorly disclosed. First, the author should include a review of publications on the dependence of poverty on gender, age, employment, education. Then the author should compare the results with the points of view of other researchers. Second, to strengthen the theoretical part of the literary review, to indicate the theoretical approaches used in the article.</p> <p>The author should strengthen the conclusions. The article contains the analysis of poverty depending on age, sex, education of the population. From this point of view, the author should propose the implementation of programs aimed at reducing poverty, taking into account all the analyzed parameters.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the introduction, the author writes that poverty reduction programmes implemented by previous governments have failed. However, in the conclusions, it notes the existence of a link between the implementation of programmes and reduce poverty, as well as offers to "increase budget allocations to agencies-Yam, dealing with the problems of poverty in order to create more opportunities and provide support for poor members of society." This is not convincing enough, as these recommendations require a separate evaluation of specific programmes. The author's conclusions about the increase in program funding require additional arguments.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author notes that the percentage of the Nigerian population living in poverty is growing from year to year. The relevance of the problem statement will be more convincing if the author includes in the article analytical data characterizing poverty indicators in the country for the analyzed period in the form of a graph or a table.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Andrei Shelomentsev</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia</i></b>