



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46604
Title of the Manuscript:	An Empirical Investigation of the link between Government Intervention Programs and Poverty Alleviation. A Case of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The study assessed the relationship between government anti-poverty intervention programs (Youth empowerment scheme and conditional cash transfer) and poverty alleviation in Niger state-Nigeria. The topic is important, but there is a lack of novelty of the study in current version. Some comments are given as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The potential contribution of the study should be clearly outlined in the manuscript.</li> <li>2. Besides a summary of the literature, some comments should be added.</li> <li>3. There are several other methods could be applied to investigate the relationship between government anti-poverty intervention programs and poverty alleviation, which one is better and why PLS path modelling is selected in the study should be explained more specifically.</li> <li>4. The description of the underpinning theory is too simple. Such an introduction of the theory could not help readers have more insight about this topic.</li> <li>5. More importantly, the findings reveals the significant relationship between government anti-poverty intervention programs and poverty alleviation, but how it works? At least some analysis of the mechanism should be given. Also, two kinds of programs, youth empowerment scheme and conditional cash transfer are considered in the study, which one is more effective and why? I could not find such comparison in the paper.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i><b>Yong-cong Yang</b></i>
Department, University & Country	<i><b>Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, China</b></i>