



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46762
Title of the Manuscript:	The Symbolic Attributes of Shemagh and its Intangible Cultural Aspects in Muslim Society: A Painting Illustration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Reviewer's comment</p> <p>Abstract : What is the novelty which offers from this article? Typo 'Abstract' Abstract contains background of research problems, methods or approaches to problems, results of research, and conclusions. This abstract does not contain these things. Please fix it.</p> <p>Introduction : The introduction is the entrance gate to a scientific article. This section is arranged so that the reader knows the background of the research in question and the importance of the research. Introduction also contains definitions of variables and previous studies that have been carried out for that variable so that the urgency of this research can be seen. Please check and re-check the definition of Shemagh and Keffiyyeh in J. R. Bartlett (19 July 1973). <i>The First and Second Books of the Maccabees</i>. In this introduction there is no explanation for the urgency of this study.</p> <p>Materials and Method : What is the method and objectives of this study?</p>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Asti Meiza</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia</i>