

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	
Journal Name.	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_46481
Title of the Manuscript:	THE STUDY OF AREN SUGAR PALM (Arenga pinnata MERR.) AS LIQUID ORGANIC FERTILIZER ON THE GROWTH AND
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 Abstract was not clear. Please rewriting again for your abstract. There is no data of analysis of LOF's content before applying of LOF. Why you choose Aren as LOF materials? What kind of Nira Aren did you used it? Waste product or materials for sugar industry? What do you mean about Honest Real Different Test (HRD)? It is different from HSD? please write the method properly and correctly according to the procedures for scientific writing. How could you make a discussion without the basic data of LOF content? variables are too little for the writing of a scientific paper. Please add another variable which is related to paddy production. Please describe of Mekongga? What kind of paddy of Mekongga? Please check your references. It is better you use scientific papers or journals as reference than books. Change some references older than 10 years with the new one. Please add DOI for citing in your references. Elaborate your discussion and conclusion based on your research purpose. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Could be published after revision based on my suggestion.	

ND RICE PRODUCTION

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Benyamin Timotiwu
Department, University & Country	Lampung University, Indonesia

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write