

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_46546
Title of the Manuscript:	Supply Chain Optimization for Farmer-Bepari system of Agricultural Products in Bangladesh.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 Topic of the paper is interesting and important from practical point of view. Authors should prepare the paper according to journal template. Authors should correct citations and references to literature n text. What more, they should prepare Literature list according to journal template. Current order of the list of publications is not prepared neither according to the alphabet nor according to the sequence of citations in the text. Table 1 is not a table because it has neither rows nor columns. It is a list of symbols and should be moved to the beginning or end of the article. Chapter 2 has only one subchapter – 2.1. It is not correct. Authors should choice between one of solutions – delete subchapter 2.1 or add more subchapters in the chapter 1. What more – Chapter 2 is very important for the paper. That is why I suggest to prepare wider description of methodology. Authors should add unit on Figure 4 close to the name of the axis "Profit". 	
Optional/General comments		

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed w that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grzegorz Sierpiński
Department, University & Country	Silesian University of Technology, Poland

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her