SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Mathematics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJOM_49643**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Proof of Collatz conjecture**
Type of the Article	**Original research**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**i. the author/author's references should capture the journal "proof of the collatz conjecture, by Chris De Corte, march 3, 2016" http://researchgate.net>publication** **ii. In section 2.0 to end, the author/author's should provide references (i.e. from page 101 end)** **iii. The keyword should capture even, odd jumps, and integer.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Sabo John*
Department, University & Country	*Adamawa State University Mubi, Nigeria*