SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_38157**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITIES OF FIVE PLANT EXTRACTS AGAINST DOWNY MILDEW IN MUSKMELON (Cucumis melo L) CAUSED BY Pseudoperenospora cubensis**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Between line 39 and 40 for Introduction, please insert one sentence of transition for example { Legume crop production is constrained by pests and diseases which severely impact the quantity and quality available in the value chain in the world and *Cucumis melo L* is no exception}. Line 65 to 74 Good method but where did-you inspire? From literature? Please indicate an author. Line 75 to 89 Good method but where did-you inspire? From literature? Please indicate an author. where did-you find this formular? $$\% \text{ germination} = \frac{Germinated\ conidia}{Total\ counted\ conidia} X\ 100$$ Why did-you use this concentration? 10 µL of conidia suspension containing 1 x 10^2 conidia ml-1 where did-you find this formular? $$PIMG = \frac{(R1-R2)\ 100}{R1}.$$ Statistical analysis is part of Material and method, It's must be describe Look like interesting results, but Statistical analysis must be describe and the discussion must be improved. The document must be improved.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The treatments and the control were replicated three times. Why did-you only have three replication? Are there some constraints ? It's could be interesting to have five replication for reducing experimental error	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Aba-Toumnou Lucie*
Department, University & Country	*University of Bangui, Central African Republic*