



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_38157
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITIES OF FIVE PLANT EXTRACTS AGAINST DOWNY MILDEW IN MUSKMELON (<i>Cucumis melo</i> L) CAUSED BY <i>Pseudoperenospora cubensis</i>
New Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITIES OF FIVE PLANT EXTRACTS AGAINST <i>Pseudoperenospora cubensis</i> (DOWNY MILDEW) IN MUSKMELON (<i>Cucumis melo</i> L).
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Various mistakes are still repeated eg spacing and how to write the scientific names. (See the tracking changes in the revised manuscript)</p> <p>Were the hot water extract and plant extract prepared differently? Consider subsections 2.3 and 2.4, 2.5 and others. If not, wording should be the same to avoid any doubt (This is also in the previous comments but not attended!)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Prisila A. Mkenda</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania</i>