



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_41691
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Conditioned Medium (MSC-CM) In The Bone Regeneration: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an descriptive review from last eleven years about the role of mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium in the bone regeneration. This review only include bone regeneration, that means mechanism of autonomous repair or restoration of bone damaged or lost using conditioned medium by MSCs.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are two papers from Katagiri, one at 2013 and another at 2017 in the table 2, which are wrong referenced in the bibliography as 14.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. C. Arufe
Department, University & Country	Spain