



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_41691
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Conditioned Medium (MSC-CM) In The Bone Regeneration: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors bring out the importance of secretome in the bone regeneration and it is interesting.</p> <p>2. Please expance your reference. The author guideline for review article require 50 ~ 150 references. For example: J Craniofac Surg. 2018 Jan;29(1):116-123. Implant Dent. 2017 Aug;26(4):607-612. etc</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	1.Reference 18. Phinney DG.....Stem cells." 2017";25:....	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wen-Chi, Yang
Department, University & Country	E-Da Hospital, Taiwan