Original Research Article

Effect of Shea Nut Shell Biochar on Root Knot Nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)

ABSTRACT

Effect of shea nut shell biochar on root knot nematodes and performance of tomato was investigated under nematode infested and inoculated soils. Tomato variety (Petomech-GH) was planted in potting medium of soil to biochar ratio of one part of biochar is to one part of soil (1B1S), one part of biochar is to two parts of soil (1B2S), two parts of biochar is to one part of soil (2B1S), and no biochar application (control). Nematode infested soil was amended with biochar as well as steam sterilized soil amended with biochar inoculated with 1000 J2. The result indicated that, biochar increased the pH of the soil, lessened the adverse effects of nematodes, resulting in decline in galling and improvement in growth and yield of tomato. Increased biochar concentration resulted in decreased nematode gall formation on the roots of the tomato plant. Biochar amended soils resulted in lower egg masses. Increased biochar concentration resulted in decreased performance of tomato plant. Tomato plants treated with low biochar concentrations (1B2S and 1B1S) produced higher fruit numbers and weights, and plant biomass.

Keywords: Biochar; shea nut shell; root knot nematode; tomato.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most popular vegetable consumed in almost every Ghanaian household [1]. It is an important component of balanced diet of most Ghanaians that provide vitamin A and C, lycopene which serves as antioxidant and can help reduce the risk of cardiac diseases and some types of cancer [2]. Tomato production in Ghana has been significantly affected by the incidence of pest and diseases [3] notably among which are root knot nematodes [4, 5]. Crop damages more than 27% take place in tomato [6] and in excess of $100 billion loss globally [7]. At the Bontanga irrigation zone in the northern region of Ghana, total crop loss of tomato occurs and currently, most farmers do not cultivate tomato in this area [1]. Soil fumigants and chemical nematicides are used in controlling nematodes. These are however expensive and poses threats to environment and human health resulting in its withdrawal. Several reports indicated the use of botanicals, aqueous and crude plant extracts for nematode control, which contain minimum bioactive concentration against RKN [8, 9, 10]. Biochar of most agro by products has now been directed to manage nematodes. Biochar addition to soil increases the pH of the soil to become alkaline [11]. The

increased pH results in a sharp decrease in nematode population when the soil becomes alkaline [12]. There is one published report that biochar soil amendment at the concentration of 1.2% delays the development of root knot nematode [13].

The objective of the present study, therefore, was to evaluate the effect of biochar on root knot nematode and the performance of tomato.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

The study was carried out at the plant house of the University for Development Studies (UDS), Nyankpala campus which lies within latitude 9° 25° 41° and longitude 0° 58°42° W. The entire experiment was conducted from September to December, 2017.

2.2 Source of Study Materials

Tomato seeds (Petomech GH) were obtained from the local farmers in Nyankpala. The shea nut shell used to make the biochar was sourced from Cheyohi, a superb of UDS Nyankpala campus. Nematode infested soil sample was collected from Bontanga irrigation farm in the Kumbungu district of the northern region of Ghana.

2.3 Biochar Preparation

Shea nut shells were placed in a barrel with holes under and a chimney on top which serves as a pyrolizer. Dried leaves were lighted on top of the shea nut shell for a few minutes and covered with a chimney to allow charring or incomplete burning of the shells which will eventually form biochar. It is a slow process which takes some time but very efficient when done in small quantities.

2.4 Experimental Approach

Two methods of nematode study were employed, using nematode infested and inoculated soils.

2.5 Soil Sampling, Extraction and Identification of Nematodes

Soil was sampled from each plot at the start of the trial and at harvest with a 5 cm diameter soil auger to a depth of 20 cm. Initial soil nematodes were extracted from 200 cm^3 of soil before planting using the modified Baermann tray method [14]. Various nematode species were identified under a compound microscope at a magnification of 100x. Nematode species were identified [15] morphologically

2.6 Soil Sterilization

Soil for inoculated experiment was sterilized using the steam barrel sterilization method. Gravels were removed from sandy loam soil by sieving, which was then packed into a jute sack. Three stones were laid in a triangular form above the ground level to provide space for fire wood. Water was poured into a tank about one quarter. Tripod wooden slaps were placed little above the water surface to provide room for vapor to form. The soil was then placed on this wooding slaps and the tank covered with polythene. Fire was set under the tank and the heat produced was used to generate steam below the soil in the tank which was then allowed to stand for 6 hours.

2.7 Nursing of Seeds and Transplanting

Tomato seeds were sown in steam sterilized soil placed in a wooden box measuring 1.0 m by 0.6 m. Cultural practices such as watering and shading was done to ensure proper germination. The most uniform seedlings were transplanted three weeks after emergence.

2.8 Application of Nematode Inoculum Level to Potted Tomato Seedlings

The potted seedlings were inoculated with 3 ml of the nematode solution per pot two weeks after transplanting (approximately 1000 J2). Three holes were made in a triang form 2 cm equidistant from the base of each plant. The nematode solution was homogenized by gentle shaking the test tubes containing the nematode solution and then dispensed into the holes.

2.9 Experimental Design and Treatments

The experiments were laid out in completely randomized design with five replications. Treatment was prepared into a 2 L size pot. The pots were filled with 1.6 L of the infested soil-biochar combination and sterilized soil-biochar combination in different proportions (v/v). The control was without biochar. Watering was done early mornings or evenings. Too much watering was avoided to prevent water logging. Detailed treatment descriptions (v/v) were as follows: one part of biochar is to one part of soil (1B1S); one part of biochar is to two parts of soil (1B2S); two parts of biochar is to one part of soil (2B1S); no biochar application (control)

2.10 Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

Plant growth parameters such as plant height, number of leaves and root weight were taken at two weeks interval after transplanting. Yield characteristics such as shoot weight, number of fruits, fruit weight and plant biomass were also taken. Nematode induced parameters such as root galli egg mass indices and final nematode population were also take

Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Genstat (18th Edition) statistical package. Treatment means was separated using least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

No significant difference on the plant height of tomato was observed among the biochar treatments which were significantly different from the control (Table 1). However, it was observed that, as concentration of the biochar increased, the height of tomato plant decreased. Lower mean height was observed in the highest biochar concentration (2B1S). Similar observation was made by Howard [16] in corn and soybean, where he reported reduced growth in higher biochar weights investigated and suggested that, increment in alkalinity of the soil, the holding of too many nutrients, potential toxic ions and microbes upon too much biochar addition may have negative effect on plant growth. Grabber et al. [17] similarly reported enhanced plant height of tomato following biochar application.

The reduction of plant height under control condition was due to root knot nematode infection. Sharma and Sharma [18] reported significant reduction in plant height of tomato due to RKN infection (1000 J2).

The effect of biochar on the number of leaves was only significant at 2WAP and 4WAP (Table 1). At 2WAP, 1B2S treatment recorded the highest average leaf number while 2B1S treatment recorded the lowest. This might be attributed to the fact that, at 2WAP, root knot nematode may have penetrated the roots of tomato but may have not cause significant infection. At 4WAP, similar observation was made but in this case, the average leave number for 1B1S treatment was higher than the control whereas 2B1S treatment recorded the lowest. It was observed that, as the concentration of the biochar increased, leave number decreased.

Root weight generally differs based on the concentration of biochar with 1B2S treatment recording higher significant mean values followed by 1B1S, 2B1S and the control respectively (Table 1). The root weight of the control plant was significantly low because of the lack of formation of lateral roots due to root knot nematode infection. This agree with the findings of Sharma and Sharma [18], whose report indicated significant reduction in root weight and root length of tomato as a result of root knot nematode infection.

Table 1. Effect of biochar concentrations on growth characteristics of tomato

Treatment	Plant height			Number of leaves			Root weight		
	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP
1B1S	23.80	33.52	48.30	5.2	8.20^{bc}	13.80^{a}	0.96	1.18	1.98
1B2S	25.12	36.10	52.34	6.00^{bc}	10.00^{bc}	17.80^{a}	1.27	1.88	2.47
2B1S	22.94	26.58	39.50	4.40^{a}	4.80^{a}	7.50^{a}	0.92	1.26	1.60
Control	25.54	31.54	39.25	5.60^{bc}	7.60^{b}	8.00^{a}	1.26	1.18	1.03
LSD $\alpha_{=0.05}$	7.11	10.05	18.86	0.43	2.11	11.69	0.85	0.86	1.32
P values	0.56	0.05	0.14	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	0.51	0.05	0.06

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different (P > .05).

3.2 Yield and Yield Parameters

There was significant effect of biochar on the number of fruit, fruit weight and plant biomass of tomato (Table 2). This varied according to the biochar treated with 1B2S recording the highest average mean value followed by 1B1S and control respectively. 2B1S treatment produced no fruits and at the same time recorded the lowest dry plant biomass which may be due to the high biochar concentration. This agree with the findings of Grabber et al. [17] whose reports indicated that, biochar contain chemicals most of which are phytotoxic or biocidal at high concentration and therefore may affect plant growth. 1B2S recorded the highest increment in plant biomass and fruit weight followed by 1B1S with control recording the least. Grabber et al. [17] reported significant improvement in plant growth in low biochar concentration. Hossain et al. [19] also reported improved growth and productivity of cherry tomato at 10t/ha biochar application. The observed low biomass of control was due to root knot nematode infection. Sharma and Sharma [18] reported reduced growth as a result of root knot nematode infection in tomato. Similarly, Maleita et al. [20] reported stunted growth and reduction in yield on root knot nematode heavily infested fields. Moreover, application of 1000 J2 per plant significantly reduced growth and yield in a trial by Haider et al. [21] using French bean and pea.

Table 2. Effect of biochar concentrations on yield and yield parameters of tomato

Treatment	Shoot weight (g)			Mean plant biomass (g)			Fruit number	Fruit weight (g)
	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	10WAP	
1B1S	1.71^{a}	4.07^{ab}	6.63^{a}	0.34^{a}	0.86^{ab}	2.96^{a}	3.00^{a}	37.60^{b}
1B2S	2.27^{a}	4.50^{ab}	9.20^{a}	0.42^{a}	1.19^{ab}	5.28^{b}	7.00^{b}	170.00^{c}

2B1S	1.88[a]	2.79[a]	4.43[a]	0.34[a]	0.81[a]	1.91[a]	0.00[a]	0.00[a]
Control	1.60[a]	3.10[ab]	3.76[a]	0.37[a]	1.46[ab]	1.92[a]	2.00[a]	25.00[b]
LSD $\alpha_{=0.05}$	1.39	1.71	7.95	0.20	0.65	2.70	4.07	17.78
P values	0.44	0.02	0.20	0.55	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different (P > .05).

3.3 Root knot Nematode Population and Reproduction Factor

Final nematodes population and reproduction factor is an indication of nematode multiplication. Biochar treatment resulted in significant reduction in final nematode population over the control at termination of both experiments (Table 3). 2B1S recorded the highest reduction in final nematode population which is significantly different from 1B1S and 1B2S. The control however showed a significant increase in final nematode population at the end of both experiment ($P < .05$).

Nematode reproduction factor, as indicated in Table 2, also showed significant differences among the treatments with 2B1S recording the lowest reproduction factor less than 1 for both experiment, followed by 1B1S and 1B2S respectively. The control recorded the highest reproduction factor which was greater than 1 for both experiment. This suggested that, root knot nematode may not multiply in biochar amended soils. It is generally observed that, nematode population and reproduction factor decreased as the concentration of biochar in the medium increased showing the nematicidal potential of biochar against RKN. Biochar soil amendments was targeted to highly weathered and acidic soil because biochar has been reported to increase soil pH and moisture content [22, 11]. Kung et al. [12] reported a sharp decrease in nematode population when the pH of the soil became alkaline. 2B1S recorded the highest alkaline pH of 8.62, followed by 1B1S with a pH of 7.46 and 1B2S with a pH of 7.12 at the end of the experiment. The control soil was however acidic with a pH of 6.20. Since biochar amended soil becomes alkaline at the end of both experiments, the reduction in final nematode population and decreased reproduction factor in biochar amended soil may be attributed to increased pH of the medium.

Table 3. Effect of biochar concentration on final nematode population and reproduction factor

Treatment	Final nematode population per ml		Reproduction factor	
	Infested soil	Inoculated soil	Infested soil	Inoculated soil
1B:1S	21.00[b]	23.00[b]	0.66[b]	0.72[ab]
1B:2S	27.00[c]	32.00[c]	0.84[b]	1.00[b]
2B:1S	0.00[a]	13.00[a]	0.00[a]	0.41[a]
Control	47.00[d]	61.00[d]	1.47[c]	1.91[c]
LSD$\alpha_{=0.05}$	2.14	2.33	0.18	0.56

P values	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different (P > .05).

3.4 Root Galling

Root knot nematode infection is manifested by the development of galls or giant cells on the root accompanied by stunted growth, chlorosis and loss of energy by the plant [23]. Biochar lessened the adverse effects of nematodes, resulting in decline in galling and an improvement in the growth and yield of the tomato, but the effect differs based on the treatment applied and parameters measured. Application of biochar treatment significantly reduced the formation of galls on the root of tomato as shown in Table 4. The number of galls or knots varied with the concentration of the biochar treatment. Results revealed that, extent of gall formation on the roots were significantly lower in higher biochar treated medium with 2B1S recording the lowest root galling followed by 1B1S and 1B2S respectively. It may be observed that, as the biochar concentration increased, the extent of gall formation on the roots of tomato decreased. Root galling was not observed during the first 2WAP, at 4WAP, significant galling occurred on the roots which increased at 6WAP. The absence of galls during the first 2WAP may be due to the fact that, most RKN has a life cycle of at least three (3) weeks [24]. The root knot nematodes may have penetrated the roots but may have not reproduced to establish permanent feeding sites in the roots which lead to the formation of galls.

Moreover, the control recorded higher number of root galls, where most of the plants showed symptoms of wilting during the day and most died before maturity. This agrees with the findings of Mitkowski and Abawi [25] who reported wilting and stunted growth in lettuce as a result of RKN infection. It is observed that, the extent of gall formation on the roots correlated with egg m indices analyzed. Treatments that recorded higher root gall index had higher egg masses (Table 4). Biochar amended soils had lower egg masses in which no significant differences occur among the three biochar concentrations but all were significantly different from the control. Hence biochar may have the potential to manage gall formation on the roots of tomato.

Table 4. Effect of biochar concentration on root gall formation and egg masses

Treatment	Root gall index				Egg mass index	
	Infested soil		Inoculated soil			
	4WAP	6WAP	4WAP	6WAP	Infested soil	Inoculated soil
1B1S	1.80^{b}	2.00^{a}	2.10^{a}	2.60^{b}	1.10^{a}	1.60^{a}
1B2S	2.80^{c}	2.80^{a}	3.00^{a}	3.10^{b}	1.62^{a}	1.81^{a}
2B1S	1.00^{a}	1.00^{a}	1.20^{a}	1.30^{a}	0.00^{a}	0.60^{a}
Control	6.80^{d}	8.00^{b}	6.40^{b}	8.10^{c}	3.67^{b}	3.50^{b}
LSD $\alpha_{=0.05}$	0.77	2.68	2.17	1.57	1.65	1.29

P Value	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different (P > .05).

4. CONCLUSION

The effectiveness of biochar against root knot nematodes may be confirmed by an increment in shoot growth, plant biomass, fruit number and weight which are due to decline in nematode attack as indicated by decreased final nematode population in biochar treated soils. It was assessed from the study that, root knot nematodes density decreased whilst plant growth parameters were enhanced significantly due to biochar application. Biochar increased the pH of the soil to become alkaline at the end of the experiment. Soil pH control should be carried out after biochar application to a range that is suitable for the growth of tomato.

REFERENCES

1. Asare-Bediako E, Showemimo FA, Buah JN, Ushawu Y. Tomato production constraint at Bolgatanga. J Appl Sci. 2007;7(3):459–461.
2. Campbell JK, Canene-Adams K, Lindshield BL, Boileau TWM, Clinton SK, Erdman J. Tomato phytochemicals and prostate cancer risk. J Nutr. 2004;134(12):3486S–3492S.
3. Clottey VA, Karbo N, Gyasi KO. The tomato industry in northern Ghana; production constraints and strategies to improve competiveness. Afr J Food Agric Nutr Dev. 2009; 9(6):1436–1451.
4. Sikora RA, Fernandez E. Nematodes parasites of vegetables. In: Luc, M., Sikora. A. and Bridge, J. eds. Plant parasitic nematodes in subtropical and tropical agriculture. Wallingford, C.A.B. International. 2005;319–392.
5. Kankam F, Adomako J. Influence of inoculum levels of root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) on tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Asian J Agric Food Sci. 2014;2(2):171–177.
6. Kaur DN, Sharma SK, Sultan MS. Effect of different chemicals on root knot nematode in seed beds of tomato. Plant Dis Res. 2011;26:170–170.
7. Bird DM, Opperman CH, Williamson VM. Plant infection by root knot nematode. In: Berg, R. H. and Taylor, C. G. eds. Cell biology of plant nematode parasitism. Berlin, Springer Verlag. 2008;1–13.
8. Mashela WP, Dirk De Waele D, Pofu KM. Use of Indigenous Cucumis technologies as alternative to chemical nematicides in management of root knot nematodes in low input agricultural farming systems: A review. Scientific Research and Essays. 2011;6(33):6762–6768.
9. Kankam F, Sowley ENK, Dankwa IN. Management of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne*

incognita) on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) with oil cakes. Int J Biosci. 2014;5(12):413–419.

10. Kankam F, Sowley ENK, Mohammed A. Management of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne spp*.) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench*) with aqueous sesame seed extract. Int J Agron Agric Res. 2015;6(4):24–31.
11. Novak JM, Busscher WJ, Laird DL, Ahmedna M, Watts DW, Niandou MAS. Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern coastal plain soil. Soil Sci. 2009;174:105–112.
12. Kung SP, Gauger R, Kaya KK. Effect of soil temperature, moisture and relative humidity on entomopathogenic nematodes persistence. J Invertebr Pathol. 1990;57(2):242–249.
13. Wen-kun, H., Hong-li, J., Godelieve, G., Jane, D. and Tina, K. Biochar amended potting medium reduces the susceptibility of rice to root knot nematodes infections. BMC Plant Biol. 2015;15:267.
14. Whitehead AG. Hemming Jr. A comparision of some quantitative methods of extracting small vermiform nematodes from soil. Ann Appl Biol. 1965;55:25–38.
15. CIH (Commonwealth Institute of Helminthology). Description of plant parasitic nematodes. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.1978.
16. Howard T. The effect of biochar on the root development of corn and soybeans in Minnesota soil and sand. International Biochar Initiative. 2011;1–23.
17. Grabber ER, Yigal E, Eddie C, Yael MH, Beni L. The biochar effect: plant resistance to biotic stresses. A review. Phytopathol Mediterr. 2011;50:335−349.
18. Sharma IP, Sharma AK. Effect of initial inoculums levels of *Meloidogyne incognita* J2 on development and growth of tomato cv. PT-3 under control conditions. Afr J microbiol Res. 2015;9(20):1376–1380.
19. Hossain MK, Strezov V, Yin-Chan, K, Nelson PF. Agronomic properties of wastewater sludge biochar and bioavailability of metals in production of cherry tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Chemosphere. 2010;78(9):1167–1171.
20. Maleita CMN, Curtis RHC, Powers SJ, Abrantes IMO. Inoculum levels of *Meloidogyne hispanica* and *M. javanica* affect nematode reproduction, and growth of tomato genotypes. Phytopathol Mediterr. 2012;51(3):566–576.
21. Haider MG, Dev LK, Nath RP. Comparative pathogenicity of root knot nematode, *Meloidoyne incognita*, on different pulse crops. Ind J Nematol. 2003;33:152–153.
22. Lehmann J, Gaunt J, Rondon M. Biochar sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems. A review. Mit Adapt Strat Global Chang. 2006;11:403–427.
23. Babu AM, Vineet K, Tomy P. Root knot nematode- A hard to kill parasite study. Indian Silk 1999;38:11–12.
24. Moens T, Yeates GW, Ley P. Use of carbon and energy sources by nematodes. In: Proceeding of the Fourth International Congress of Nematology. 2004;2:529–545.
25. Mitkowski NA, Abawi GS. Reproductive fitness on lettuce of populations of *Meloidogyne hapla* from New York State vegetable fields. Nematology. 2003;5(1):77–83.