



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_47022
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SNAIL AS AFFECTED BY PROCESSING METHODS, TEMPERATURES AND STORAGE DAYS
Type of the Article	Research

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The manuscript was well designed but the write-up was not written well. The abstract contains a lot of wrong statements. The abstract should be written. The last statement of the abstract stated that ....</b> In general it was observed that seasonings and cold storage help to extend the shelf life of snail meat by causing reduction effect on pH. This statement is not valid. The seasonings contained salt which has antimicrobial effects. The smoke also contained polyphenols which also have antimicrobial properties. It is not lowering of the pH that extend and or prevent microbial growth and spoilage. The entire manuscript needs English Language editing and most of literatures cited were too old. Author (s) should look for recent literatures like 2018-2018. The conclusion needs to be re-written. Most of the concluding statements are not correct like the one stated in the abstract.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samuel Ayofemi Olalekan Adeyeye</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ton Duc Thang University, Vietnam</b>