



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_47294
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and Characterization of Pathogenic Fungal Species Associated with Symptoms of Cassava Anthracnose in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please translate "Côte d'Ivoire" in English</p> <p>"2-2- Cassava anthracnose disease symptomatic assessment and sampling" Where exactly in Ivoirian Cost did-you make assessment and sampling? In North? South? East? West? Where sampling came from???</p> <p>It could be interesting to insure the picture showing cassava anthracnose symptoms in the field!</p> <p>Very interesting result, but the discussion must be documented</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aba-Toumhou Lucie
Department, University & Country	University of Bangui, Central African Republic