



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_47957
Title of the Manuscript:	Rapid Assessment of the Sex Ratio of the fiddler crab <i>Uca tangeri</i> in two mangrove habitats on the Bonny Estuary
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 INTRODUCTION – A detail insight should be given about the specimen; what roles does it plays on the functionality of the estuarine and marine ecosystem 2 How can you reconcile the statement in lines 173-175 with your study? Nothing shows development stages of the species in your study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Reframe the sentence in lines 33-34 appropriately 2 Correct the grammar in line170 3 In line 197 the SAME is irrelevant. 	
Optional/General comments	The article is good for publication after corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndueso U. Ikot
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria