



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_44826
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different nitrogen sources on improve nutrients in soil, cowpea productivity and quality under newly saline soil conditions
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.The article was presented as a review paper, but the abstract and the materials and methods have indicated field experiments were carried out during summer sessions of 2017 and 208. It needs reconciliation.</p> <p>2. The treatments as presented in the abstract is vague, the obtained results were not properly presented. The grammar needs to be improved from the abstract.</p> <p>3. In any case, abstract has no paragraph, and it must be in single spacing.</p> <p>4. Tables needs to have three lines not the box type presented.</p> <p>5. The conclusion is too brief.</p> <p>6. Referencing is not in tandem with what is obtained and approved in the Journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The author needs to clarify on the type of paper; original research or reviewed?.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Toungos Mohammed Dahiru</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Adamawa State University, Nigeria</i>