



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_44853
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Fertility as influenced by incorporation of K enriched azolla
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The title: Soil fertility as influenced by incorporation of K enriched Azolla is ok for the manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract: The abstract should be written again, however, it should be concise and informative. It should therefore be written with below order of arrangement as stated in the Journal's author guide.</p> <p>Introduction: Well written, however, require minor changes with number numbering</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Corrections to be made, also there should be a supply of information on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study/Experimental Site: Basic information about the study/experimental site, meteorological and soil information and any photo to depict the setup of materials and methods well to aid in future repetition by others 2. Data Collection 3. Statistical Analysis <p>Results: Corrections to be made especially with regards to units used in the manuscript.</p> <p>Discussion: Well discussed with few corrections to be made</p> <p>Conclusion: Conclusion part should be written as the conclusion in the abstract part</p> <p>Referencing: All references should be written according to the referencing style of ASRJ as outlined in the Authors Guide. However, some of the references cannot be CROSS check which has been highlighted in the manuscript.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	AJOR REVISION AFTER WHICH MANUSCRIPT COULD BE CONSIDERED FOR PUBLICATION	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Asenso Evans</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>South China Agricultural University, China</i>