Original Research Article

Assessment of the impact of untreated rubber effluent on the base cationic and mycological properties of rubber plantation soil in Calabar, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

10 11

1

3

4

5

> The study was carried out to determine the impact of rubber effluent on the cationic andmycological properties of soil in a rubber plantation through which it flows. Rubber effluent samples were collected for physicochemical and mycological analysis from the effluent discharge point of a rubber factory in Calabar, Nigeria. Three impact points (25 metres apart)were created along the flow channel of the effluent, andthree sample points spaced 5m apart were created on bothsides of each impact point. Top and subsoil samples werecollected from the impact points and sample points for base cationic and mycological analysis. A control soil sample was also collected similarly. Correlation analysis, single-sample and two-sample were used to analyse the results. Results revealed that onlytemperature, sulphate and chloride conformed to standards. Results also revealed that the rubber effluent impacted the soil but parameters still reported low values as the effects of the effluent on the soil were altered by leaching, erosion and rubber root uptake. The study also revealed that appropriate statistical techniques can be applied to the results of microbiological investigation involving the use of a selective substrate to augment or properly interpret results obtained from base cation studies similar to the current study, especially in a situation where pollution is not obvious or where factors like root uptake, leaching and erosion can potentially affect statistical results of base cation analysis.

Comment [WU1]: Values for these parameters (temperature, Sulphate, Chloride) are not mentioned in the abstract to compare notes with standard values as indicated by the author

Comment [WU2]:

12

13 Keywords:Base cations, Calabar soil, Mycological analysis, Nigeria, Rubber effluent, Rubber

14 plantation

15 **1. INTRODUCTION** 16

Natural rubber is a common and easily available polyisoprenoid (biopolymers produced by living organisms). Although, over 1,500 species across 300 genera and eight families are known to produce latex-containing rubber particles, only a small number produce large quantities of rubber particles of high molecular mass [1]. Currently, natural rubber (*Heveabrasiliensis*) is the most important source of natural rubber.

Natural rubber is extensively used in the production of thousands of products in a variety of areas due highly desirable qualities like impermeability, plasticity, flexibility, insulating and resistance properties [2]. Natural rubber is an important component of the automobile industry used in the production of tyres, seats, bumpers, transmission belts, car mats, etc. Latex is used for the production of gloves, boots, baby feeding bottle teats, condoms, adhesives, balls, balloons, eraser etc[3]. Natural rubber is a highly valuable biopolymer of strategic importance which, unlike the majority of other biopolymers, cannot be completely substituted by synthetic materials in some applications.

Agro-based industries generate large amounts of effluent and natural rubber processing is a typical example. Natural rubber processing requires large amounts of water and chemicals for its operation, generating large quantities of effluent in the process. Effluent volume generated is related to the size

32 and capacity of the rubber plant. A factory that produces 20-30 metric tonnes of rubber generates an

33 average of 45,000 litres of effluent daily [4].

Rubber effluent, if not properly treated before disposal, can cause severe damage to man and the environment. For instance, rubber effluents usually contain high levels of phosphate and ammonia which makes it a suitable medium for algal growth; therefore, eutrophication of rivers and streams can result if discharged without proper treatment [5]. The presence of suitable substrates and nutrients

38 (from natural latex) also makes it an ideal medium for a variety of microorganisms.

39 People living close to rubber-processing factories often complain about the foul-smelling odour from the factories. Soil physicochemical and microbiological characteristics can become altered when 40 exposed to effluent. These alterations can cause toxicity problems and nutrient imbalance in the soil. 41 Pollution of the soil can also be hazardous to man and the environment when toxic chemicals move 42 through the food chain or percolate into groundwater used for drinking purposes [6]. Various 43 researchers have analysed rubber effluent in Nigeria [7, 5, 8]; however, there has been scanty 44 published research work on the peculiar physicochemical and mycological properties of this particular 45 46 rubber effluent, and its effects on the soil it impacts. The ever-increasing global spotlight on the 47 environment requires that effluent properties and effluent impact be properly monitored.

48 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

50 2.1. Study area

49

51 The rubber factory (N 5° 6' 80" and 8° 20' 24" E) is located on the outskirts of Calabar, which is the capital of Cross River state, Nigeria. For soil samples, the study area (8°20' 24.5" E and N 5°6' 6.2", 52 geocoordinates for the second impact point) lies just outside the rubber factory. The factory used to 53 produce latex concentrate, however it currently produces mainly crepe rubber. The factory has been 54 releasing untreated effluent indiscriminately into the environment for decades. Over time, a channel 55 56 (near the factory) of an average depth of about one metre developed through which the wastewater 57 flows, with rainfall sometimes causing flooding of the surrounding soil. The soils sustaining the rubber plantation are classified as Ultisols[9]. 58

59 2.2Sample collection

60 2.2.1 Watersamples

Rubber effluent samples were collected once per week consecutively (three times) at the discharge point into sterile plastic bottles. Samples used for dissolved oxygen (DO) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) analyses were collected in dark glass bottles. Parameters such as pH, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen were analysed immediately. Samples were preserved at 4°C until required (usually for 24 hours).

66 2.2.2 Soil samples

67 The experimental layout for soil sample collection around the factory is as shown in Figure 1. The 68 larger stars represent the impact points spaced 25 metres from each other and created along the 69 effluent flow channel. Other sample points (smaller stars) were created on both sides of each impact 70 point and spaced five (5) metres from each other. From each impact and sample point, two samples 71 representing topsoil (0-15cm) and subsoil (15-30 cm) were collected and stored in sterile bags. Soil 72 sampling was done using a cylindrical T-shaped probe. A circle of diameter (30 cm) was created at 73 each sampling point and from within each a decontaminated probe was vertically-driven randomly into 74 the soil three (3) times for collection of samples for mycological analysis and randomly again 3 times 75 for base cation samples. Subsoil samples were collected by driving a decontaminated probe into the 76 holes created during collection of topsoil samples. A control (pristine) soil sample was collected from 77 the vertices of an equilateral triangle (length = 5m) created 100 metres away (measured diagonally 78 from the second impact point through the rightmost sample point of the first impact point).

79

80 81 **Comment [WU3]:** Recast sentence: Effects and Impacts seems to be used together which in my opinion appears ambiguous

Comment [WU4]: Remove 'it'

Comment [WU5]: Rubber effluent cannot be same as water samples in this context, please reason alongside my observation



97 2.3.1 Rubber effluent samples

Temperature was determined by dipping a mercury-in-glass thermometer into the sample immediately 98 after collection. pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) were 99 measured using digital pH meter (HI9813; Hanna Instruments; Rhode Island, USA), conductivity 100 101 meter (HI9813, Hanna Instruments, Rhode Island, USA), dissolved oxygen meter (HI2400; Hanna Instruments; Rhode Island, USA), dissolved oxygen meter (HI2400; Hanna Instruments; Rhode 102 103 Island, USA), respectively. Calcium and magnesium were determined by titrating with 0.1M EDTA while potassium and sodium were determined by flame photometry[10]. Total suspended solids (TSS) 104 105 and total dissolved solids (TDS) was determined by gravimetry, chemical oxygen demand (COD) by 106 open reflux method, ammonia by phenate spectrophotometry, nitrate by colorimetric method, phosphate by vanado-molybdate method, sulphate by turbidimetry and chloride by silver nitrate 107 108 titration method[10].

109 2.3.2 Determination of exchangeable bases of soil samples

Exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, K, and Na) were extracted with 1N ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) [11].
 Potassium and sodium were determined by flame photometry while Calcium and magnesium were determined by titrating with 0.1M EDTA [11].

113 2.4 Mycological analysis

114 2.4.1 Rubber effluent

For serial dilution, ten (10) millilitres of rubber effluent was added to 90 ml of distilled water for the first ten-fold dilution. Subsequent ten-fold dilutions were carried out by adding one (1.0) millilitres of an

already diluted sample to nine (9.0) millilitres of distilled water.

118 2.4.1.1 Enumeration of heterotrophic fungi

119 Potato dextrose agar (Criterion C6621, USA) was prepared according to manufacturer's instructions 120 and supplemented with 100 μ g/ml of chloramphenicol to inhibit bacterial growth. Zero-point-one (0.1) 121 ml of 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁵ dilutions were each spread-plated out in triplicates. The colony forming units 122 (CFU/ml) was determined after incubation at room temperature for 2-3 days.

123 2.4.1.2 Enumeration of rubber effluent utilizing fungi

124 Rubber effluent was added to mineral salts agar (Zajic and Supplison, 1972) at 2% (third rubber 125 effluent sample analysed was used) concentration and incorporated with 100 μ g/ml of 126 chloramphenicol as the anti-bacterial agent. Zero point one (0.1) millilitres of 10⁻² to 10⁻⁴ dilutions were 127 each spread-plated out in triplicates. The colony forming units (CFU/ml) was determined after 128 incubation at room temperature for 4-5 days.

129 2.4.2 Soil samples

For serial dilution, 10 grams of soil was added to 90 ml of distilled water for the first ten-fold dilution.
Subsequent ten-fold dilutions were carried out by adding one (1.0) millilitres to nine (9.0) millilitres of distilled water.

133 2.4.2.1 Enumeration of heterotrophic fungi

Potato dextrose agar (Criterion C6621, USA) was prepared according to manufacturer's instructions and supplemented with 100 μ g/ml of chloramphenicol to inhibit bacterial growth. Zero-point-one (0.1) millilitres of 10⁻² to 10⁻³ dilutions (topsoil) and 10⁻¹ to 10⁻² (subsoil) dilutions were each spread-plated out in triplicates. The colony forming units (CFU/g) was determined after incubation at room temperature for 2-3 days.

139 2.4.2.2 Enumeration of rubber effluent utilising fungi

140 Rubber effluent was added to mineral salts agar as prepared by [12] at 2% (third rubber effluent 141 sample analysed was used) concentration and incorporated with 100 μ g/ml of chloramphenicol as the 142 antibacterial agent. One (1) millilitres of 10⁻¹ to 10⁻² dilutions (topsoil) and 10⁻¹ dilution (subsoil) were 143 each spread-plated out in triplicates. The colony forming units (CFU/g) was determined after 144 incubation at room temperature for 4-5 days.

145 **2.5. Isolation and preservation of pure culture**

Potato dextrose agar (Criterion C6621, USA) was used. Using a sterile inoculating loop, each morphologically distinct colony from water and soil samples were sub-cultured twice and incubated at 64 hrs, before being transferred to agar slant for preservation. Inocula were obtained from the respective tubes, sub-cultured on potato dextrose agar for 3 days for identification and characterization purposes.

151 **2.6. Identification and characterization of fungal isolates**

152 Characterization of fungal isolates was based on macroscopic and microscopic appearances which 153 comprised pigmentation, colour of aerial and substrate hyphae, shape and kind of asexual spore, 154 presence of special structures, sporangiophore or conidiophores and characteristic of the spore head.

155 Isolates were determined using the scheme of Domschet al. [13] and Barnett and Hunter[14].

156 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

157 Microsoft Excel 2013 (Microsoft Inc.) and R Statistical Software (R Software Foundation) were used 158 for a variety of statistical analyses which included Pearson's correlation, single-sample and twosample t-tests. The following includes definitions of terms and how statistical tests were employed. 159 160 Sample point: refers to any soil sample point collection excluding impact points. Impact point: refers to any soil sample collection point along the channel of effluent only. Sample line: refers to all sample 161 162 points on both sides of an impact point excluding the impact point. Correlation (Pearson's): carried out 163 between successive values of a parameter on both sides of an impact point and sampling distance 164 (excluding the particular impact point). One-sample t-test: was carried out between the value of a 165 parameter at a particular impact point and values of its sample line. One-sample t-test was also used 166 to compare control (pristine) soil and study soil parameters. Two-sample independent t-test was used 167 to compare topsoil and subsoil for each parameter.

168

169 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical and mycological analysis of the effluent revealed that only temperature, sulphate
and chloride conformed to FEPA [15] standards (Table 1). The fungi isolated from the effluent were
identified as *Aspergillusspp*, *Penicilliumspp*, *Rhizopusspp*, *Mucorspp* and *Sporothrix* spp.

174 The mean temperature (26 °C) falls below the permissible limit (40 °C) set by FEPA [15]. Similarly, 175 Senthilet al. [16] obtained a mean value of 25.64 °C. The mean pH value (5.8) indicates slight acidity. 176 This value falls outside the range of 6-9 set by FEPA [15]. pH values in the range of 5-8.1 have been 177 recorded by other authors [17,18,5,16,7].Although effluent limit standard does not exist for 178 conductivity, an abrupt change in conductivity of a water body can be indicative of pollution [19]. This 179 study recorded a mean conductivity value of 4,457 μS/cm.

This study recorded an average value of 2,802 mg/l for TDS, which is higher than FEPA (1991) 2,000 mg/l. Non-isoprene constituents such carbohydrates, sugar, proteins, lipids, carotenoids, inorganic chemicals and a variety of chemicals used during processing make up the effluent from natural rubber processing [20]. The high contents of many of these components contributed to the high TDS of this rubber effluent. Similarly, Shruthiet al.[18], Girish[21] and Pillai and Girish[17] recorded mean values of 2,240 mg/l, 2, 397 mg/l and 2,240 mg/l, respectively from their studies. However, lyagbaet al. [5] and Asia and Akporhonor[7] reported mean values of 550 mg/l and 450.0 mg/l, respectively.

187 The average value of 1,638 mg/l obtained for total suspended solids (TSS) is higher than the 30 mg/l limit set by FEPA [15]. The high mean value recorded can be attributed to the heavy presence of latex particles, microorganisms and inorganic matter in the effluent. Several authors have also recorded high mean values for TSS [16,7,17,21].

191 The effluent has a low (anoxic) mean dissolved oxygen level (3.1 mg/l). Rubber effluents typically 192 have low DO levels, as revealed by lyagbaet al. [5], 0 mg/l; Asia and Akporhonor[7], 4.70 mg/l; Senthilet al.[16], 1.16 mg/l. The mean BOD₅ value (3,038 mg/l) is higher than the 30 mg/l limit set by 193 FEPA [15]. High BOD values can be attributed to the presence of large amounts of latex particles, 194 proteins, sugars, and other organic matter. Similarly, high values ranging from 1,340-2,610 mg/l have 195 been reported by many researchers [17,7,18,21]. However, Senthilet al. [16] and lyagbaet al. [5] 196 197 reported low rather low BOD₅ values of 326 and 189 mg/l, respectively. The high mean COD value (4,531 mg/l) indicates that the waste also contains substantial amounts of inert organic matter and 198 199 inorganics. This high COD result is consistent with the results of other authors [17,7,18,21].

- 200
- 201
- 202
- 203

204 Table 1: Physicochemical and mycological properties of rubber effluent and FEPA standards

Comment [WU6]: Such 'as' is ommitted

| Parameters | First sample | Second sample | Third sample | Mean ± SEM | FEPA standards |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Temperature (°C) | 26 | 25 | 26 | 26±0.33 | 40 |
| pH | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.8±0.14 | 6-9 |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) | 6,075 | 4,245 | 3,050 | 4,457±880 | - |
| DO (mg/l) | 1.7 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.1±0.737 | - |
| BOD ₅ (mg/l) | 4,504 | 2,900 | 1,710 | 3,038±810 | 30 |
| COD (mg/l) | 6,200 | 4,749 | 2,643 | 4,531±1,033 | - |
| TSS (mg/l) | 2,164 | 1,550 | 1,200 | 1,638±282 | 30 |
| TDS (mg/l) | 3,874 | 2,635 | 1,898 | 2,802±576 | 2000 |
| Calcium (mg/l) | 48.50 | 30.59 | 22.81 | 33.97±7.60 | 200 |
| Magnesium (mg/l) | 11.02 | 7.54 | 8.44 | 9.00±1.042 | 200 |
| Potassium (mg/l) | 34.76 | 29.33 | 16.42 | 26.84±5.44 | - |
| Sodium (mg/l) | 4.46 | 1.35 | 0.89 | 2.23±1.12 | - (-) |
| Phosphate (mg/l) | 95.92 | 73.28 | 46.73 | 71.98±14.21 | 5 |
| Nitrate (mg/l) | 52.60 | 40.11 | 27.68 | 40.13±7.19 | 20 |
| Ammonia (mg/l) | 1.22 | 0.90 | 1.32 | 1.15±0.12 | < 🦯 🔨 |
| Sulphate (mg/l) | 27.70 | 16.42 | 16.33 | 20.15±3.78 | 500 |
| Chloride (mg/l) | 59.4 | 39.5 | 32.7 | 43.87±8.0 🔪 | 600 |
| HFC (CFU/ml) | 5.40±2.08 | 2.20±1.73 | 1.30 <u>±</u> 1.15 | 1.91±1.65 x | - |
| | x 10 ⁶ | x 10 ⁵ | x 10 ⁵ | 10 ⁶ | · · |
| RUFC (CFU/ml) | 1.70±1.20 | 4.70±2.18 | 2.30±1.76 | 8.00±1.71 | 4 |
| | x 10 ⁵ | x 10 ⁴ | x 10⁴ | x 10 ⁴ | |

205KEY: DO = Dissolved oxygen, BOD = Biological oxygen demand, COD = Chemical oxygen demand, TSS = Total206suspended solids, TDS = Total dissolved solids, HFC = Heterotrophic fungi count, RUFC = Rubber effluent207utilising fungi, NTU = Nephelometric turbidity unit, μ S/cm = microSiemens per centimeter, mg/l = Milligram per208litre, CFU/ml = Colony-forming unit per millilitre, SEM = Standard error of the mean, FEPA = Federal209Environmental Protection Agency

Mean values of calcium (33.97 mg/l) and magnesium (9.00 mg/l) were within FEPA [15] limit of 200 mg/l. An average ammonia value of1.15 mg/l was recorded in this study. The relatively low ammonia value was likely due to the fact that ammonia was not used to preserve the field latex. Similarly, Asia and Akporhonor[7] obtained a low mean of 4.49 mg/l. High ammonia values ranging from 39.3-230 mg/l have been obtained [5,21,18,16,17], pointing to the use of ammonia for preservation.

215 In this study, a mean nitrate value of 40.13 mg/l was obtained against a limit of 20 mg/l set by FEPA 216 [15]. lyagba et al. [5] obtained 0.07 mg/l and Asia and Akporhonor[7] recorded 1.36 mg/l. However, 217 Senthilet al. [16] obtained a high value (149 mg/l). A mean phosphate value of 71.98 mg/l, which exceeds the 5 mg/l limit set by FEPA [15] was recorded. This result is consistent with high values (48-218 94.3 mg/l) recorded by other authors [16,18,21,5,17]. However, Asia and Akporhonor[7] reported a 219 220 mean of 1.32mg/l. The mean sulphate value was 20.15 mg/l against 500 mg/l set by FEPA [15]. The mean chloride content was 43.87 mg/l against a limit of 600 mg/l set by FEPA [15]; however, Senthilet 221 al.[16] recorded a mean chloride value of 1, 386 mg/l. Differences in the type and quantity of water 222 223 and chemicals utilised, type of rubber processing or processing conditions are likely responsible for the big variations in physicochemical results obtained by different authors. 224

This study recorded a high mean TFC of 1.91×10^6 CFU/ml. lyagbaet al. [5] also recorded a similarly high value of 3.8×10^7 CFU/ml. The high fungal count of this study can be attributed to the nutrientrich nature of rubber effluent which favoured the proliferation of fungi, the kind of water used in processing, or poor sanitary practices by the factory workers. Some of the fungi obtained in this study have been isolated in previous studies [21,16]and many are pathogenic. Rubber effluent utilizing fungi count (RUFC) indicates the presence of fungi that can utilize the rubber effluent.

Table 2 presents the overall, topsoil and subsoil means for impact points, sample points and control soil for the parameters. The overall means of exchangeable calcium, potassium and sodium, according to the classification of Landon [22], indicates low contents, except for magnesium. The low base contents can be attributed to erosion, leaching, clay fixation of these base cations. Also, rubber plantations can cause base cations values of soil to decline over time [23,24]. The moderate magnesium content of the study soil indicates that the soil is moderately rich in magnesium minerals like dolomite and serpentine. Rubber effluent utilizing fungi count (RUFC) indicates the presence of 238 fungi that can utilize the rubber effluent. The RUFC was lower than HFC due to the probable toxicity 239 of the effluent to some fungi or lack of suitable substrates or nutrients for others.

240 Table 2: Means of physicochemical and mycological properties of study soil and control soil

| Parameters ^{+*} | Impact points means | | | Sample points means | | | Control soil | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Overall | Topsoil | Subsoil | Overall | Topsoil | Subsoil | Topsoil | Subsoil |
| Ex. Ca | 3.90±0.09 | 3.93±0.18 | 3.87±0.07 | 3.97±0.07 | 3.92±0.07 | 4.03±0.12 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| Ex. Mg | 1.83±0.15 | 1.9±0.29 | 1.73±0.13 | 1.5±0.05 | 1.57±0.07 | 1.50±0.07 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Ex. K | 0.11±0.00 | 0.11±0.01 | 0.11±0.01 | 0.11±0.00 | 0.11±0.00 | 0.11±0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Ex. Na | 0.07±0.01 | 0.08±0.01 | 0.07±0.01 | 0.06±0.00 | 0.07±0.00 | 0.06±0.00 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| HFC | 5.90±1.42 | 8.53±1.25 | 3.27±1.58 | 1.33±2.61 | 2.24±2.30 | 4.19±2.92 | 1.90±1.73 | 4.9±2.03 |
| | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ⁴ | x 10 ⁴ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ⁴ | x 10 ³ |
| RUFC | 2.73±1.76 | 3.57±2.16 | 1.90±1.36 | 4.32±2.77 | 3.10±3.28 | 1.60±2.03 | 2.70±1.45 | 1.30±1.20 |
| | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ | x 10 ³ |
| 241 | | | *Mean±standa | ard error of me | ean (SEM) | | | |
| 242 | *L | Inits: Ex. Ca. | Ex. Ma. Ex. K. | Ex. $Na = cmo$ | l/ka: HFC. RL | IFC = CFU/a | | |

*Units: Ex. Ca, Ex. Mg, Ex. K, Ex. Na = cmol/kg; HFC, RUFC = CFU/g

243 KEY: Ex. Ca = Exchangeable calcium, Ex. Mg = Exchangeable magnesium, Ex. Mg = Exchangeable potassium, Ex. Mg = Exchangeable sodium, cmol/kg = centimoles/kg, HFC = Heterotrophic fungi count, RUFC = Rubber 244

effluent utilising fungi

246 Table 3 presents the results of correlation analysis relating sample lines (distance) to each of the 247 parameters. There were significant negative correlations for sodium (r = -0.97, P < 0.01) at the third 248 sample line of subsoil and for RUFC at first (r = -0.83, P < 0.05) and third (r = -0.95, P < 0.01) sample 249 lines of topsoil; however, there were no significant correlations (P> 0.05) for calcium, magnesium, 250 251 potassium and HFC. The significant negative correlation for sodium implies that other potentially 252 significant correlations were cancelled out by erosion, leaching and rubber root uptake.No significant correlations were observed for HFC (topsoil and subsoil) since the media used was not selective. The 253 254 significant negative correlations for RUFC highlights the receding effect of the effluent on the study 255 soil. The sample points closer to impact channels were impacted more, leading to stimulation of metabolically capable fungi. The significant correlation for RUFC also indicates that other potentially 256 257 significant correlations were cancelled out by leaching, erosion and rubber root uptake.

258 259

262

263

264

245

Table 3: Coefficients of correlation (r) relating sample lines (distance) to each of the parameters

| Parameters | | Topsoil | | | Subsoi | I |
|------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| | 1st SL | 2nd SL | 3rd SL | 1st SL | 2nd SL | 3rd SL |
| Ex. Ca | -0.50 🥒 | -0.38 | 0 | -0.74 | 0.45 | 0.23 |
| Ex. Mg | -0.30 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0 |
| Ex. K | -0.23 | -0.35 | 0 | -0.22 | -0.35 | 0 |
| Ex. Na | 0.65 | -0.49 | -0.76 | 0.35 | -0.68 | -0.97** |
| HFC | -0.15 | 0.61 | -0.31 | 0.16 | -0.48 | -0.60 |
| RUFC | -0.83* | 0.20 | -0.95** | 0.25 | -0.11 | -0.71 |
| | | *Correlation is sigi | nificant at 0.05 a | alpha level (tv | vo-sided) | |
| 4 V. | · · | *Correlation is sig | nificant at 0.01 | alpha level (t | wo-sided) | |

KEY: Ex. Ca = Exchangeable calcium, Ex. Mg = Exchangeable magnesium, Ex. Mg = Exchangeable potassium, Ex. Mg = Exchangeable sodium, cmol/kg = centimoles/kg, HFC = Heterotrophic fungi count, RUFC = Rubber effluent utilising fungi count

265 One-sample t-test results for study soil and control soil comparisons for the parameters are presented 266 267 in Table 4. For topsoil, the test revealed significant results for exchangeable magnesium (P < 0.05) 268 and RUFC (P < 0.01), while there were no significant results (P > 0.05) for exchangeable calcium, 269 potassium, sodium and HFC. For subsoil, the test revealed significant results (P< 0.01) for exchangeable calcium, magnesium, sodium and RUFC, while there were no significant results (P> 270 271 0.05) for exchangeable potassium and HFC. The significant differences recorded between study soil and control (pristine) soil base cation parameters indicate the effect of the effluent on the study soil. 272 273 Heterotrophic fungi count (HFC) of study soil was not significantly different from that of control (pristine) soil. This means that stimulation of rubber effluent utilising fungi did not lead to an increase 274 275 in the total number of fungi in the study soil, even when RUFC increased. RUFC of study soil was 276 significantly different from that of control (pristine) soil due to stimulation of metabolically capable fungi by the effluent in the study soil, leading to their increment. This stimulation was near-absent in pristine soil with little or no exposure to rubber effluent, causing smaller RUFC.

Table 4: One-sample t-test comparing physicochemical/mycological parameters of study soil with control soil

| Parameters | Topsoil/Topsoil (<i>P</i> -values) | Subsoil/subsoil (<i>P</i> -values) |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Exchangeable calcium | 0.1212 | 0.001685** |
| Exchangeable magnesium | 0.02781* | 0.00896** |
| Exchangeable potassium | 0.2151 | 0.6309 |
| Exchangeable sodium | 0.2307 | 0.0009409** |
| HFC | 0.05644 | 0.09867 |
| RUFC | 0.0001241** | 0.0002231** |
| 1 | *Significant at 0.05 alpha level (two- | -sided) |
| 2 | **Significant at 0.01 alpha level (two | -sided) |
| 3 KEY: HFC = H | eterotrophic fungi count, RUFC = Rubber | effluent utilising fungi count |

285 Table 5 shows the results of a two-sample t-test comparing topsoil and subsoil values for each parameter. The test showed significant results for HFC (P< 0.01) and RUFC (P< 0.05), but no 286 significant results (P> 0.05) for the base cations. There was no significant difference between the top 287 288 and subsoil for exchangeable cations probably due to rubber root uptake. HFC and RUFC decreased 289 with depth in this study soil. This can be attributed to more vegetal cover, better soil structure and 290 more organic matter in the topsoil [25]. The fungi isolated in the study soil were Aspergillusspp, 291 Penicilliumspp, Rhizopusspp, Fusariumspp, Mucorspp, Cladosporiumspp, Absidiaspp and 292 Chrysosporium spp.

293 Table 5: Two-sample independent t-test comparing topsoil and subsoil values of each 294 parameter

| Parameters | P-values |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Exchangeable calcium | 0.4172 |
| Exchangeable magnesium | 0.4059 |
| Exchangeable potassium | 0.6993 |
| Exchangeable sodium | 0.5802 |
| HFC | 2.947 x 10 ⁻¹² ** |
| RUFC | 0.01129* |
| *Significant at 0.05 level (two | o-sided) |
| **Significant at 0.01 level (tw | o-sided) |

KEY: HFC = Heterotrophic fungi count, RUFC = Rubber effluent utilising fungi count

299 4. CONCLUSION300

The study revealed that the effluent should be treated before discharge into the environment and the 301 302 mycological investigations added more weight to the body of evidence in support of the impact of the wastewater on the study since the stimulation of rubber utilising fungi in a receding manner from the 303 304 flow channel evidently points to an impact decreasing with increasing distance from the flow channel 305 of the wastewater. Hence, correlation analysis performed on data from microbiological investigation 306 involving the use of a selective substrate can be used to augment or properly interpret results obtained from correlation analysis involving base cation parameters, especially in a situation where, 307 like in this study, pollution is not obvious or where factors like root uptake, leaching and erosion can 308 potentially cancel out significant correlation results of base cation parameters Also, the significantly 309 different RUFC of study soil from that of control soil reflects the stimulation (and hence increment) of 310 311 fungi capable of degrading the rubber effluent in the study soil, an increment that was absent in control soil. Although the soil was impacted by the rubber wastewater, most base cation parameters 312 313 still recorded low values due to leaching, erosion and rubber root uptake. 314

315 **REFERENCES**

316

284

295 296 297

298

Comment [WU7]: either study area or author should recast sentence

Comment [WU8]: References needs to be numbered to agree with the numbers cited in the body of the paper.

- Abolagba E, Aigbekaen E,Omokhafe K. Farm gate marketing of natural rubber in the South East
 rubber growing zone of Nigeria. Nigeria Journal Agriculture and Rural Development.2003;6:40-8.
- APHA. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington DC.; 1999.
- Asia I,Akporhonor E. Characterization and physicochemical treatment of wastewater from rubber processing factory. International Journal of Physical Sciences. 2008;2(3):061-067.
- Barnett H, Hunter B. Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. 4th ed. Macmillan Publishing Company,
 USA; 1987.
- Bushman B, Scholte A, Cornish K, Scott D, Brichta J, Vederas J. Identification and comparison of natural rubber from two *Lactuca*species. Phytochemistry. 2006;67:2590-2596
- Domsch K, Gams W, Anderson T. Compendium of soil fungi, pp. 32-621. London: Academic Press;
 1980.
- Ekukinam E, Iwara A,Gani B. Evaluation of phosphorus and exchangeable bases status of soil under
 rubber plantation of different ages in South-Eastern Nigeria. Open Science Journal of Bioscience and
 Bioengineering. 2014;1(2):19-22.
- FEPA. Guidelines and standards for environmental pollution control in Nigeria. Federal Environmental
 Protection Agency, Lagos; 1991.
- Fialho J, Borges A, Barros N. Vegetation cover and the chemical and physical characteristics
 eatividade the microbiota of a LatossoloVermelho-Yellow Dystrophic. Journal of Soil Science.
 1991;15:21-28.
- Fondriest Environmental. Conductivity, salinity and total dissolved solids. In: Fundamentals of
 environmental measurements. Fondriest Environmental, USA;2014.Accessed 26 January 2016).
 Available: http://www.fondriest.com/environmental-measurements/parameters/water-guality/conductivity-salinity-tds
- 341 Girish K. Effect of carbon sources on the biomass build-up and degradation of rubber processing 342 industry effluent. International Journal of Applied Science and Biotechnology. 2014;2(4):579-584.
- Iyagba M, Adoki A,Sokari T. Testing biological methods to treat rubber effluent. African Journal of
 Agricultural Research2008;3(6):448-454.
- Landon J, editor. Booker tropical soil manual. A handbook for soil survey and agricultural land
 evaluation in the tropics and subtropics. Essex (UK): Longman Scientific and Technical Publishers;
 1991.
- 348 Mooibroek H, Cornish K. Alternative sources of natural rubber. Applied Microbiology and 349 Biotechnology 2000;53:335-365.
- Nordin A, Kadir B,Karimi A. Treatment of rubber effluent with high rate algal pond. In: Proceedings of Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia (PLTRS) Conference, 1989, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; 1989.
- Oku E,Armon M. Erosion studies on traditional tillage systems in humid coastal region of south-south
 Nigeria. Journal Soil Water Conservation. 2006;5(3):1-5.
- Omorusi VI. Evaluation of wastewater (effluent) from rubber latex concentrate for microbiological and physico-chemical Properties.Researcher. 2013;5(5):60-63.
- Pillai H, Girish K. Rubber processing industry effluent treatment using a bacterial consortium.
 International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2014;3(10):775-782.

- Ponniah C, Chick W,Seow C. Treatment of effluents from rubber processing factories, pp 367-388. In
 Proceedings of the International Rubber Conference, 1975, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; 1975.
- Senthil P, Jeyachandran S, Manoharan C,Vijayakumar S. Microbial diversity in rubber industry effluent. International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences 2012;2(1):123-131.
- Shruthi S, Raghavendra M, SwarnaSmitha H,Girish K. Bioremediation of rubber processing industry
 effluent by *Pseudomonas* spp. International Journal of Research in Environmental Science and
 Technology 2012;2(2):27-30.
- Solanki H. Study on pollution of soils and water reservoirs near industrial areas of Baroda. Doctoral
 Dissertation, Bhavnagar University, Bhavnagar, Gujarat, India; 2001.
- Udo E, Ibia T, Ogunwale J, Ano A,Esu I. Manual of soil, plant and water analysis. Lagos, Nigeria:
 Sibon Books; 2009.
- 369 Yasin S, Junaidi A, Wahyudi E, Herlena S, Darmawan S. Changes of soil properties on various ages 370 of rubber trees in Dhamasraya, West Sumatra, Indonesia.Journal of Trop Soils.2010;15(3):221-227.
- Zajic E, Supplisson B. Emulsification and degradation of bunker C; fuel oil by microorganisms.
 Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 1972;14:331-343.