



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_46808
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioethanol Production from Waste Paper and Spent Mushroom Substrate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please, check the main manuscript for other comment not here.</p> <p>The manuscript title did not justify the work done so far. You may better reframe this way. Feasibility study of bioethanol production: A case of spent mushroom and waste paper as potential substrate.</p> <p>The introduction part of the manuscript is incomplete, The aim and the objective of the research should end the introduction. Besides, the need for bioethanol is not justify in the discussion and previous or similar research is not mentioned in your introduction. You may have to make more sense of the introduction.</p> <p>How did you isolate A. niger</p> <p>Your referencing is messy. How come your first reference is number 7?</p> <p>You numbered reference 4 but where is 2 and 3.</p> <p>Write DNS meaning in full....since you mentioned it for the first time.</p> <p>How did you separate the biomass and obtain hydrolysate for your fermentation?</p> <p>Where did you carry out your fermentation? Shake flask or reactor? Be specific. What type of fermentation did you carried out? Submerged or surface? Please clarify?</p> <p>How feasible was your ethanol extraction considering the small volume of your ethanol?</p> <p>Some of the references was never cited in your manuscript.</p> <p>Reference appropriately please</p> <p>Not well concluded since there was no aim and objective in your introduction.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abiola Ezekiel Taiwo
Department, University & Country	Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa