



Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_30236
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological Patterns of Larynx Biopsies In Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital (UDUTH) Sokoto, North- Western Nigeria From 2002-2012
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
--	---------------------------	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>The entire manuscript needs to be modified with respect to the language and content. The data needs to be presented in a better manner which is easy to understand.</p> <p>The introduction is too lengthy and should be concise. There is a lot of repetition in the manuscript, which needs revision.</p> <p>Line 1 The very first line of background needs to be revised.</p> <p>Line 12 needs revision. “thi”, “prevalent” not correct Line 13 “histopathology” needs to be changed to “histopathological”</p> <p>Line 14 “number” may be removed</p> <p>Line 23 “About 8 (19%) of the patients”- how can it be about 8 patients, it should either be about 19% or 8 patients</p> <p>Line 24 similarly “about 26” should be changed</p> <p>Line 25 benign to malignant ratio is 1:1.5, not 1:2</p> <p>Line 26 and 29 The age.....tumours were, it should be “was” instead of “were”</p> <p>Line 26 and 30 “Of thetumourswere female/ male”. Both the sentences need revision as tumours are not classified as male/female</p> <p>Line 30 What is meant by “the prevalent age 35 to 70 years”</p> <p>Line 31 and 32- as this is a retrospective study sentences should be in past tense</p> <p>Conclusion is not clear and should be revised</p> <p>Line 45 “About 11,300...of disease” These were projected figures of 2007 should not be used at present as real data is available now.</p> <p>Line 51 the whole paragraph not very clear and should be revised</p> <p>Line 63 “france” to be changed to “France”</p> <p>Line 72 the sentence “[5] in their.....” needs revision</p> <p>Line 80 the sentence “[13] in Enugu” seems to be incomplete</p> <p>Line 97 “Exposure to....” is unclear</p> <p>The entire aetiology section is not well written and needs modification</p> <p>Line 363 “affectation” is not an appropriate word here</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments

Optional/General comments

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Harmeet Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Aadhar Health Institute, India</i>