SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_47091
Title of the Manuscript:	Reasons of Non-guideline-concordant Treatment in Patients with Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease. REGRET-ONE TI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 Although I recognize the great utility, I also have critical thoughts regarding guidelines, "based evidence" and trials The present study is highly relevant but is poorly presented and at times it seems written unwillingly to write. I suggest a detailed review of the text and perhaps improve the presentation through graphics. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w. that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulo Roberto Barbosa Evora
Department, University & Country	Brazil

TRIAL

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her