



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_49017
Title of the Manuscript:	DURATION AND GENDER VARIATIONS WITH GAS FLARING AND CRUDE OIL CONTAMINATION EXPOSURES ON BLOOD PRESSURE IN DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors details should be included after the topic 2. Line 15: 120 sample size for control subject is too small, and my thinking is that since they are controls they should be up to same sample size as the test subject. In research work, it is the test subject that are difficult to have not the controls. 3. Line 22, 261&278 : p< .05 should be written as p<0.05 4. Line 23&24: Your comparison template is calling for critical observation, you earlier compared 240 Test subjects with 120 controls, and now comparing experimental groups of 6-10 and >10 years to control groups of 1-5 years. Why not compared within the same year of exposure. 5. Line 150: Highlight the subjects as test and control subjects. 6. Line 268 &284: et al should be in italics. 7. Line 283 &307: all should be written as All, and via as through. 8. Line 384: Reference not conformable with earlier cited references 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Awofadeju Stephen Olajide</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex, Nigeria</i>