



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_43352
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF ORDINARY PORTLAND CEMENT WITH SAW DUST ASH IN CONCRETE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. All table and figure name should be cantered 2. Table width should be reduce 3. Table 4 can be shown as figure also	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Shyam Prakash
Department, University & Country	India