



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_46052
Title of the Manuscript:	Green synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using leaf extract of <i>Calotropis</i> spp and their applications: A Review.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript can be accepted in Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology after considering the following points</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The quality of the language is not good and so may typo errors throughout the manuscript. 2. Abstract It can be written "The synthesis of metallic nanomaterials using plant extracts is a single-step, simple, rapid, eco-friendly." instead of "...bottom-up green synthesis (Eco-friendly)." 3. It should add <i>Calotropis</i> spp. to key words 4. The author should consider carefully about the italic "<i>Calotropis</i> spp." not <i>Calotropis spp.</i> or <i>Calotropis spp</i> 5. The author should revise a capital letter in Table. 6. Make it exact "Fe²⁺" not "Fe2+" in Line 142 and 143 7. The references are not the same format. It should adjust the mistake in line 30, 282, 314, 351, 409, 433, 455 etc. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The author should revise the language of manuscript carefully to remove any typographical or grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	This is a good study, with interesting results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Thi Hieu
Department, University & Country	Vietnam