



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_46586
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF LOW COST MAIZE DEHUSKER-SHELLER IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract is not in a proper format. It should be in one paragraph includes the summary of the research work. No need to write headlines such as Aims, Study design, Place and duration of study, Methodology, Results and Conclusion. 2. In material and methods, the point 2.3 design calculations of the machine should be in a table form. 3. In results and discussion, the matter should also be discussed graphically (some graph should be included). 4. Cost analysis (operating cost) should be included in the paper. 5. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the references. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Er. Sunil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India