SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_46684 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Innovation as Part of Electrical Engineers Education |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | You cannot say, right from the start, that "progress of human civilization" without proving it. This is ridiculous. Now, if you really need to say this (and I think it's a bit foolish) then you have 2 choices: (1) prove it (which you can't) or (2) find such a quote by a noted humanist or scientist/engineer. Then you can state it. | |
| | Where is Figure 1? You show a figure but don't identify it as Figure 1. Use a caption. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | In Abstract: all of these are base of already Change to "are based on". | |
| Optional/General comments | You only begin to explain UM at Lowell towards the end of this article. This makes your article weak. Perhaps your abstract should be less definitive about what you will show/prove and more general, survey like. Also, have you looked at the 2016 article? https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/higher-ed-gamma/curricular-and-co-curricular | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Alan Garfield |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | University of Dubuque, USA |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)