



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47713
Title of the Manuscript:	Developments on Baby Strollers over the Last Decade
Type of the Article	<u>Review Paper</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion, the usage of references should be changed. Please cite the source of the pictures, and use not only the description of the picture. For example in the case on Figure 1 you use "Devonshire, First Baby Carriage, (2006)" Please cite instead of that the really source of the picture: "When was the baby stroller invented? https://www.quora.com/When-was-the-baby-stroller-invented " In the case of Figure 2 use: The history of the stroller – from then to now. https://philandteds.com/news/2017/07/history-stroller-now/ . These are the really sources of the used pictures.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please add a table to compare the various inventions as a summary!	
Optional/General comments	The article is an interesting review paper focusing on the history of baby strollers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamás Bányai
Department, University & Country	University of Miskolc, Hungary