



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47948
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure Knowledge Level of Apple Growers of Arunachal Pradesh on Package of Practices of Apple
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article:</u> Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure Knowledge Level of Apple Growers of Arunachal Pradesh on Package of Practices of Apple

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction should be improved. It should start reflecting the title. The author should focus on the topic and should be precise in the introduction. This will help him to come out with a more organised and focused introduction.</p> <p>Information presented in the Introduction is not well organised. Information is repeated. Group the same ideas together to avoid repetition. The author should improve the level of research and reporting of other findings</p> <p>The document should be reorganised following the comments made in the text</p> <p>The author should discuss the results if a survey has been conducted and inform on the findings. The work is incomplete.</p> <p>The author has described the method use to analyse the data collected however, the work is incomplete. Of course, other scientists can use the method of analysis for their work. How should it be interpreted? This last part is missing</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Honore Nguetti
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya