6

#### 7 8

### ABSTRACT

Irrespective of treatment combinations, exchangeable  $NH_4^+$ , soluble  $NO_3^-$ , available  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O_5$ decreased with the age of rice crop. However, changes in organic C in soil showed an opposite trend of results. Irrespective of treatments, organic C content increased with increase in duration of crop. Comparatively, higher amount of exchangeable NH4<sup>+</sup>, soluble NO3<sup>-</sup>, available P2O5 and K2O is accumulated in the soil which received recommended doses of N, P and K along with FYM at 10 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup> as well as 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>). Results also revealed that T<sub>8</sub> treatment is highly significant with respect to control. Again, irrespective of treatments and years of experimentation, balanced fertilization enhanced exchangeable  $NH_4^+$ , soluble  $NO_3^-$ , available  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  in soil with increase in the period of crop growth. However, on the other hand, available S content decreased and no drastic variation is observed in DTPA-extractable Zn content in soil over the whole cropping season of rice.

Integrated Nutrient Management of rice soil in hilly

region of Meghalaya, India

**Original Research Article** 

#### 9 10

11 12 13 Keywords: INM, available nutrients, rice crop, hilly soils

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

14 Rice is the main food crop of the state of Meghalaya which accounts for about 60% of the 15 cultivable area. But the state productivity is still quite low (below 2.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the overall Indian 16 average productivity (2.85 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) [1].

17 Sulphur (S) is involved in some amino acid synthesis, enzymatic activities in plants. It is 18 important for chlorophyll formation and nitrogen metabolism. Zinc activates some enzymes for the 19 synthesis of certain proteins. It plays an essential role in DNA transcription and starch to sugar 20 conversion. It also helps plants to withstand very low temperatures. It has been reported by a number of 21 workers [2-3], that some of the plant nutrients (especially S and Zn) are becoming deficient in hilly 22 regions of Indian soils. To overcome that lack of S and Zn (including other nutrients), integrated and 23 balanced application of these nutrients through fertilizer materials are required beside appropriate 24 amount of organic manures which in turn will not only enhance fertility status of the soil but also increase 25 the yield and quality parameters of crops [4-5].

26 The present investigation was, therefore, carried out to study the changes in different available 27 nutrients including organic carbon in soil treated with different combinations of S and Zn along with 28 recommended doses of inorganic and organic fertilizers using rice as a test crop.

## 29

#### 30 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

31 Field experiments with rice were conducted successively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) in 32 a farmers field situated at Nongpoh in Ri-Bhoi district of Meghalaya. Nongpoh is located at 25.90° N 33 latitude and 91.77° E longitude. It has an average elevation of 485 metres The field was generally 34 cultivated with rice crop.

35 Composite soil sample (0-15 cm depth) was collected from the experimental field before the start 36 of experiment. The collected soil samples were air-dried, ground and passed through 0.5mm sieve. The 37 soil sample was analysed for different physical, chemical and physico-chemical properties and the results 38 are presented in Table 1. The field experiments were conducted following simple Randomized Block 39 Design. Rice variety Arize-6444 was selected for the experimentation purpose. The plot size was 4m x 2m. Spacing of 25cm x 25cm was maintained. 30 days old rice seedlings raised in seedling bed were 40

transplanted in line sowing with three plants hill<sup>-1</sup>. Altogether 11 treatments were adopted to study the effect of INM practice. All treatments were replicated thrice. The treatments are:

43  $T_0 = Control$  $T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$ 44  $T_2 = T_1 + FYM$ 45  $T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$ 46  $T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$ 47 48  $T_5 = T_2 + S_1$  $T_6 = T_2 + S_2$ 49  $T_7 = T_3 + S_1$ 50  $T_8 = T_3 + S_2$ 51  $T_9 = T_4 + S_1$ 52 53  $T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$ 

[Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, Single Super Phosphate (SSP) and Muriate of Potash (MOP) respectively. FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S]

FYM was applied during land preparation which corresponds to  $25^{th}$  day before the transplanting. Full dose of P and K and half dose of N fertilizers were incorporated as basal application. The rest half of N was applied in two split doses at tillering and flowering stages of the crop. S and Zn were applied as basal along with N, P and K fertilizers. The rice crop was raised with best possible management practices. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected at tillering, flowering and harvesting stages of rice and were analyzed for oxidizable organic carbon, exchangeable  $NH_4^+$ , soluble  $NO_3^-$ , available  $P_2O_5$ , available K<sub>2</sub>O, available S and DTPA-extractable Zn.

Parameters	Values	Methods adopted			
рН	5.19 (Soil: water=1:2.5) 4.76 (Soil: CaCl <sub>2</sub> =1:2.5)	Glass electrode pH meter [6]			
Electrical conductivity	0.10dSm <sup>-1</sup> (at 25°C)	Electrical Conductivity Meter [6]			
Oxidizable organic carbon	0.93%	Wet digestion method [7]			
Cation Exchange Capacity	10.70 (C mol p⁺kg⁻¹)	Ammonium Acetate Leaching [8]			
Mechanical Separates					
Sand	48.56 %				
Silt	22.00 %	Hydrometer Method [9]			
Clay	29.44 %				
Textural class	Sandy clay loam	ISSS system (Soil textural triangle)			
Water Holding Capacity	44.06 %	Keen Rackzaw Ski [10]			
Exchangeable NH4 <sup>+</sup>	107.70 kg ha⁻¹				
Soluble NO <sub>3</sub>	25.28 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Bremner and Keeney's Method [11]			
Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	23.66 kg ha⁻¹	Spectro photometer [12]			
Available K <sub>2</sub> O	305.80 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Flame photometry with Ammonium acetate [13]			
Available S	10.50 kg ha⁻ <sup>1</sup>	Turbidimetric method with CaCl <sub>2</sub> and nephelometer [14]			
DTPA-extractable Zn	0.33mg Kg <sup>-1</sup>	DTPA extraction and atomic absorption spectrophotometer [15]			

# Table 1. Physical, chemical and physico-chemical properties of the initial soil samples collected from the experimental field

65

#### 69 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 70 3.1 CHANGES IN THE OXIDIZABLE ORGANIC CARBON

71 Irrespective of treatments and years of experimentation, organic carbon tended to increase with 72 increase in the period of crop growth (Table 2). The increase in organic carbon content with time is due to 73 slow decomposition of organic matter *i.e.* FYM. Results revealed that maximum amount of organic carbon is accumulated in  $T_8$  treatment. Again, comparatively higher amount of organic carbon is accumulated in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of experimentation. Continuous application of fertilizer nutrients in 74 75 76 conjunction with FYM markedly enhanced the soil organic carbon from 0.93 to 1.68 %. The results further 77 pointed out that addition of sulphur and Zn along with recommended doses of N, P and K coupled with 78 FYM increased organic carbon content in soil. Some researchers [16-17] also reported earlier that 79 organic carbon in soil increased with the application of N, P, K and FYM. Statistical analysis of the results 80 (Table 2) also revealed that T<sub>8</sub> treatment is highly significant in comparison to control. It is noteworthy to mention that addition of higher amount of Zn (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) fails to increase organic carbon content in soil. 81

82 83

84

Table 2. Changes in the amount (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of organic C in soil at different growth stages of rice
consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

	Different growth stages of rice								
Treatments	Tille	Tillering		vering	Harve	esting			
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15			
$T_0 = Control$	0.87	0.79	0.96	0.91	1.02	1.03			
$T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$	0.89	0.94	1.07	1.08	1.43	1.44			
$T_2 = T_1 + FYM$	1.02	1.05	1.16	1.17	1.46	1.48			
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	1.06	1.07	1.22	1.23	1.56	1.58			
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	1.09	1.05	1.29	1.30	1.56	1.58			
$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	1.11	1.10	1.36	1.37	1.57	1.59			
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	1.23	1.23	1.40	1.42	1.62	1.64			
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	1.34	1.34	1.45	1.47	1.62	1.64			
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	1.56	1.55	1.53	1.54	1.66	1.68			
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	1.09	1.09	1.34	1.35	1.56	1.58			
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	0.89	0.89	1.29	1.30	1.51	1.53			
CD (P=0.05)	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.30	0.30			
Sem ( <u>+</u> )	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.10			

<sup>85</sup> 

86 [Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S

#### 89 3.2 CHANGES IN THE EXCHANGEABLE NH4<sup>+</sup>

90 Irrespective of treatments, in general, exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  in soil decreased from the tillering to 91 harvesting stage of rice in the 1<sup>st</sup> year (Table 3). On the other hand, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year, irrespective of 92 treatments, exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  was found to decrease at flowering but again increased at harvesting 93 stage of rice. The decrease in exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  with increase in the period of crop growth in the 1<sup>st</sup> 94 year is due to its utilization by the growing crop [18] as well as conversion to  $NO_3^-$  form of N [19] and loss 95 through volatilization process [20]. Same explanation can be furnished for the observed decrease in 96 exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  up to flowering stage of rice in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of experiment (Table 3). The increase in 97 exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  at harvest particularly in the FYM treated plots is due to mineralisation of FYM and 98 accumulation of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  in soils after meeting the crop requirement (Kanaujia, 2016) [18]. 99 Closer examination of the data revealed that accumulation of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  at harvest is more in 100 the plots which received both S and Zn along with recommended doses of N, P, K and FYM. Balanced 101 fertilization encouraged micro-organisms to proliferate [21] and in turn accumulated comparatively higher 102 amount of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  in these treated plots.

Table 3. Changes in the amount (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of exchangeable NH₄<sup>+</sup> in soil at different growth stages
 of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment
 combinations

		Different growth stages of rice								
Treatments	Tille	Tillering		vering	Ha	rvesting				
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15				
T <sub>0</sub> = Control	107.60	108.68	124.80	125.36	103.30	208.03				
$T_1 = N: P_2O_5: K_2O$	204.67	208.62	173.90	1120.00	161.67	325.17				
T <sub>2</sub> = T <sub>1</sub> + FYM	205.50	207.05	190.13	191.38	168.80	344.14				
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	216.00	218.16	196.00	197.29	170.73	347.43				
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	217.10	221.70	201.57	202.95	173.87	354.08				
$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	224.87	227.50	218.43	219.84	185.07	371.79				
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	225.33	228.26	235.33	236.86	186.03	372.76				
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	228.30	232.50	241.50	242.90	200.53	402.02				
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	230.07	231.39	247.87	249.52	206.13	414.12				
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	218.53	220.69	209.67	211.12	184.83	368.68				
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	217.40	218.97	206.00	207.37	180.57	363.81				
CD(P=0.05)	4.11	4.14	13.99	14.69	6.20	6.07				
SEm( <u>+</u> )	1.38	1.39	4.71	4.94	2.08	2.04				

106

107 [Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn 108 ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental 109 S]

110 Statistical analysis of the data revealed that treatment  $T_8$  is highly significant with respect to 111 control in terms of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  build-up over the whole period of crop growth. Thus, it is clear 112 from the results (Table 3) that application of S at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Zn at 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with recommended 113 doses of N, P and K with FYM at 5 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup> leads to accumulate highest amount of exchangeable 114  $NH_4^+$  in soil cropped consecutively for two years with rice.

#### 115 3.3 CHANGES IN THE SOLUBLE NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>

116 Irrespective of treatments, soluble  $NO_3^-$  tended to decrease in both the consecutive years over 117 the whole growing period of rice (Table 4). Data in Table 4 further revealed that comparatively higher 118 amount of  $NO_3^-$ -N is accumulated in soils which received combined application of S and Zn along with 119 recommended doses of N, P and K as well as FYM. Results further showed that significantly higher 120 amount of  $NO_3^-$ -N is accumulated in soil treated with S at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Zn at 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with

recommended doses of N, P and K as well as FYM at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>). This observed result is due to 121 conversion of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  to  $NO_3^-$  by nitrifying bacteria whose proliferation and activities are at 122 123 the peak under balanced fertilization system. The present finding is at par with earlier work carried out by 124 Balasubramanian and Palaniappan (1991) [22]. Closer examination of the data in Table 4 further revealed that the decrease in NO<sub>3</sub>-N over the whole cropping season of rice is around 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>8</sub> 125 126 treatment whereas, the decrease in NO<sub>3</sub>-N is more marked in other treatment combinations adopted in the experiment. The decrease in NO<sub>3</sub>-N is due to its utilization by the growing rice crop. However, the 127 accumulation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N is highest in T<sub>8</sub> treatment due to balanced nutrition. 128

accumulation of  $NO_3$ -N is nignest in  $I_8$  treatment due to balanced nutrition.

129 130

131

able 4. Changes in the amount (kg ha ) of soluble NO <sub>3</sub> in soil at different growth stages of rice	е
consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations	j
	٦

	Different growth stages of rice								
Treatments	Tille	Tillering		Flowering		esting			
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15			
T <sub>0</sub> = Control	25.60	25.50	23.76	24.26	18.52	18.76			
$T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$	31.00	30.78	27.50	28.08	22.40	22.63			
$T_2 = T_1 + FYM$	37.90	37.63	28.13	28.73	22.40	22.70			
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	43.30	42.99	32.27	32.92	22.63	22.94			
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	44.00	43.71	33.57	34.24	24.80	25.13			
$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	47.33	47.00	33.90	34.58	29.83	30.24			
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	49.30	48.94	33.93	34.62	31.50	31.94			
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	49.50	49.14	44.80	45.70	33.60	34.05			
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	56.00	55.63	50.53	51.51	44.80	45.40			
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	45.20	44.91	33.63	34.31	28.20	28.59			
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	45.13	44.85	33.63	34.31	26.87	27.24			
CD(P=0.05)	2.37	2.35	5.10	5.09	1.26	1.33			
SEm( <u>+</u> )	0.79	0.79	1.71	1.71	0.42	0.45			

132

[Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S

135 S]

#### 136 3.4 CHANGES IN THE AVAILABLE P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>

137 Results in Table 5 revealed that irrespective of treatments and years of experimentation, 138 available  $P_2O_5$  decreased with increase in the period of crop growth of rice. The decrease in available P over the cropping season is due to its utilization by the growing crop [23] as well as its fixation or 139 retention by the soil constituents [24]. Comparatively higher amount of available P is remained in soil system after the harvest which received S at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Zn at 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with FYM at 5 tonnes 140 141 ha<sup>-1</sup> as well as recommended doses of N, P and K fertilizers. Statistical analysis of the results in Table 5 142 143 also revealed that significantly higher amount of available P is accumulated in soil which received both S 144 and Zn along with recommended doses of N, P and K as well as FYM over that of control plots. The 145 increase in P in FYM treated systems is due to transformation of organic P to inorganic forms due to production of organic acids [25]. The present results corroborate with the earlier works carried out by 146 Yaduvanshi (2001) [24] and Tadesse et al. (2013) [26]. The results thus clearly pointed out that balanced 147 fertilization with macro and micro nutrients increased available P content in soil [27]. 148

150 151

Table 5. Changes in the amount (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

152

	Different growth stages of rice								
Treatments	Tillering		Flow	Flowering		esting			
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15			
T <sub>0</sub> = Control	23.70	24.41	18.66	18.91	16.23	15.81			
$T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$	28.53	29.38	21.46	21.72	17.66	17.18			
T <sub>2</sub> = T <sub>1</sub> + FYM	29.78	30.67	21.70	21.97	18.02	17.53			
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	30.34	31.25	21.74	22.01	18.09	17.60			
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	30.72	31.64	22.46	22.74	19.08	18.57			
$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	33.09	34.08	23.77	24.09	20.29	19.76			
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	34.85	35.90	25.22	25.56	21.83	21.99			
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	35.93	37.00	25.22	25.56	22.42	22.57			
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	37.00	38.11	29.18	29.61	24.34	24.50			
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	32.89	33.88	23.51	23.82	19.79	19.93			
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	32.77	33.12	22.58	22.86	19.63	19.77			
CD(P=0.05)	1.02	1.07	2.15	2.28	1.08	1.18			
SEm( <u>+</u> )	0.34	0.36	0.72	0.77	0.36	0.39			

153 154 155

156

157

[Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S]

#### 158 3.5 CHANGES IN THE AVAILABLE K<sub>2</sub>O

More or less similar trend of results is observed in for available  $K_2O$  (Table 6) as was found for available P (Table 5) in soil. The effect of treatment was also same on accumulation of available K as that of available P in soil. Same explanation is applicable for accumulation of highest amount of available K in T<sub>8</sub> treatment. The decrease in available K with increase in the period of crop growth is due to its utilization by rice. Addition of FYM increased K content in soil over that of control. The build-up of available K due to FYM addition might be due to additional K applied through it. Similar findings were earlier reported by Wahlang *et al.* (2017) [25] and Kanaujia (2016) [17].

166 167 168 Table 6. Changes in the amount (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of available K<sub>2</sub>O in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

	Different growth stages of rice							
Treatments	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting			
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15		
T <sub>0</sub> = Control	109.33	112.23	60.67	101.42	79.60	78.59		
$T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$	118.67	121.83	103.00	105.08	85.00	84.72		
$T_2 = T_1 + FYM$	126.67	60.07	105.00	105.35	87.33	87.04		
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	125.00	128.30	107.33	107.63	89.60	91.92		
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	143.33	147.20	110.00	110.32	94.67	94.35		

$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	145.00	148.93	112.33	112.83	93.67	93.31
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	145.67	149.61	110.00	110.32	98.33	98.12
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	150.00	154.00	118.33	118.70	60.00	99.67
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	153.33	157.47	125.00	125.62	102.33	101.99
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	122.33	125.61	107.33	107.61	95.00	94.70
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	125.00	128.30	101.33	101.67	90.67	90.37
CD(P=0.05)	0.50	0.95	NS	NS	NS	1.31
SEm( <u>+</u> )	3.19	3.35	4.87	4.85	4.53	4.43

[Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S]

#### 175 3.6 CHANGES IN THE AVAILABLE S

Irrespective of treatments and years of experimentation, available S decreased with increase in the period of growth of rice (Table 7). The decrease in available S with time is due to its utilization by the growing rice plants. Furthermore, highest amount of available S is accumulated in T8 treatment like that of available N and K. Addition of S at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> consecutively for two years leads to accumulate highest amount of S in this treatment. Perusal of data in Table 7 further revealed that addition of FYM increased S content in soil over that of control. Mineralization of organic S present in FYM also encourages to build up available S pool in FYM treated plots. Thus, it may be said that application of recommended dose of N, P and K along with FYM improves fertility status of soils [28]. Addition of S further accentuates available S [29].

#### 

# Table 7. Changes in the amount (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of available S in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

	Different growth stages of rice							
Treatments	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting			
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15		
T <sub>0</sub> = Control	8.01	8.09	7.08	7.30	6.98	6.96		
$T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$	8.22	8.31	7.72	7.96	7.21	7.18		
$T_2 = T_1 + FYM$	9.85	9.95	9.40	9.68	9.01	8.98		
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	9.85	9.95	9.48	9.76	9.05	9.02		
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	9.90	10.00	9.63	9.92	9.05	9.02		
$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	16.72	16.88	15.36	15.82	10.35	10.32		
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	18.67	18.85	15.48	15.95	12.45	12.41		
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	18.97	19.16	16.07	16.55	12.62	12.58		
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	19.02	19.21	16.34	16.83	12.77	12.73		
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	16.06	16.22	12.06	12.42	10.29	10.25		
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	15.24	15.39	12.05	12.41	10.22	10.19		
CD(P=0.05)	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09		
SEm( <u>+</u> )	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03		

190 [Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn 191 ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental

192 S]

#### 193 **3.7 CHANGES IN THE DTPA-EXTRACTABLE ZN**

194 No drastic variation in Zn content in soil is observed throughout the growing period of rice (Table 195 8). However, irrespective of treatments, DTPA-extractable Zn decreased with increase in the period of 196 crop growth in both the years of experiments. Perusal of the data in Table 8 further revealed that addition 197 of FYM increased DTPA-extractable Zn content in soil. This is due to production of organic acids through 198 decomposition of FYM which in turn increases the availability of Zn in soils [30]. Highest amount of 199 DTPA-extractable Zn is accumulated in soil which received recommended doses of N, P and K along with FYM as well as S at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Zn at 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Addition of higher amount of Zn (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) fails 200 to increase higher order DTPA-extractable Zn in soil. This is perhaps due to fixation of Zn with organic 201 compounds produced during decomposition of FYM [31]. Statistical analysis of the results revealed that 202 203 all the treatments differ significantly with respect to accumulation of DTPA-extractable Zn in soil. Results 204 further showed that significantly higher amount of available Zn is accumulated in T8 treatment. Therefore, 205 it could be concluded that continuous application of mineral fertilizers along with FYM helps to build up fertility status of soil. The present trend of result finds support of prior findings [32-33]. 206

207

#### Table 8. Changes in the amount (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of DTPA-extractable Zn in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

210

	Different growth stages of rice								
Treatments	Tille	Tillering		Flowering		esting			
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15			
T <sub>0</sub> = Control	0.32	0.34	0.40	0.43	0.27	0.29			
$T_1 = N:P_2O_5:K_2O$	0.40	0.42	0.45	0.48	0.32	0.34			
T <sub>2</sub> = T <sub>1</sub> + FYM	0.46	0.49	0.53	0.57	0.35	0.37			
$T_3 = T_2 + Zn_1$	0.54	0.58	0.60	0.64	0.41	0.43			
$T_4 = T_2 + Zn_2$	0.58	0.61	0.64	0.69	0.43	0.45			
$T_5 = T_2 + S_1$	0.82	0.87	0.74	0.80	0.55	0.57			
$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	0.85	0.90	0.79	0.85	0.61	0.64			
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	0.91	0.97	0.83	0.89	0.65	0.69			
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	0.99	1.05	0.88	0.94	0.70	0.74			
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	0.70	0.74	0.70	0.76	0.49	0.51			
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	0.63	0.67	0.67	0.73	0.44	0.47			
CD(P=0.05)	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.04			
SEm( <u>+</u> )	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01			

<sup>211</sup> 

[Where, N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O = N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 80:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; Zn<sub>1</sub> = 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; Zn<sub>2</sub> = 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as Zn-EDTA; S<sub>1</sub> = 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S; S<sub>2</sub> = 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as elemental S] 215

#### 216 **4. CONCLUSION**

Integrated Nutrient Management increased organic carbon content in rice soils of hilly regions of
 Meghalaya. Combined application of both organic and inorganic fertilizers increased the available
 nutrient content in soil as well as maintain for longer period during a cropping season.

225

#### 222 REFERENCES 223

- 224 1. Government of Meghalaya Report. Directorate of Agriculture.2013.
- 226 2. Kour S, Gupta M, Arora S. Soil sulphur status and response of crops in foothill region of J & Journal of Soil and 227 Water Conservation. 2014;13(3):292-296.
- 228 3. Singh WJ, Banerjee M, Singh LN. Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Yield Attributes, Yield and 229 Economics of Rice. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 230 2018;7(3):531-537. 231
- 232 4. Pal RK, Pathak J. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on yield and economics of mustard. 233 International Journal of Science and Nature, 2016;7(2):255-261. 234
- 235 5. Sahu G, Chatteriee N, Ghosh GK. Integrated Nutrient Management in Rice Oryza sativa) in Red and 236 Lateritic Soils of West Bengal. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2017;44(Special Issue-5):349-354. 237
- 238 6. Black CA. Method of soil analysis part I and II Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison Wisconsin, USA.1965. 239
- 240 7. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of wet acid method for determining soil organic matter and a 241 proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37:29-38. 242
- 243 8. Schollenberger CJ, Simon RH. Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in 244 Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science. 1945;59:13-24. 245
- 246 9. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making parking size analysis of soils. Agron. 247 Journal. 1926;54:4661-4665. 248
- 249 10. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis: a laboratory manual of methods for the examinations of soils and the 250 determination of the inorganic constituents of plants. University of Adelaide, Adelaide.1942. 251
- 252 11. Bremner JM, Keeney DR. 1966. Determination of exchangeable ammonia, nitrate and nitrite by 253 extraction distillation methods. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings. 1966;30: 577-587. 254
- 255 12. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil 256 Sci. 1945;59:39-45. 257
- 258 13. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Printice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi.1973.
- 260 14. Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbidimetric determination of Available Sulphate. Proc. Soil Sci Am. 261 1951;15:149-151.
- 263 15. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. 264 Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1978;42:421-428. 265
- 16. Singh R. Chandra S. Performance of basmati rice (Oryza sativa)-based cropping systems under 266 267 different modes of nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2011;81. 336-339.
- 269 17. Kanaujia VK. Effect of FYM and fertilizers Nutrition on Production Potential, Nutrients Uptake and 270 Soil properties under Rice-Wheat cropping system. Journal of Agri Search. 2016;3(2): 101-105.
- 272 18. Bhanuvally M, Ramesha YM, Murthy DK, Yogeeshappa H. Grain Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Direct 273 Seeded Rice as Influenced by Application of Micronutrients. International Journal of Current 274 Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(12): 935-941.

259

262

268

- 19. Singh G, Singh V, Singh O, Singh RK. Production potential of various cropping systems in flood prone areas of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1997;42(1):9-12.
- 278 20. Rao PSC, Jessup RE, Reddy KR. Simulation of nitrogen dynamics in flooded soils. Journal. Soil Sci.
   279 1984;138 (1):54-62.

288

291

303

314

317

- 281 21. Kumar S, Patra AK, Singh D, Purakayastha TJ, Rosin KG, Kumar M. Balanced Fertilization along
   282 with Farmyard Manures Enhances Abundance of Microbial Groups and Their Resistance and
   283 Resilience against Heat Stress in a Semi-arid Inceptisol, Communications in Soil Science and Plant
   284 Analysis. 2013;44(15);2299-2313.
- 286 22. Balasubramanian P, Palaniappan SP. Effect of high density and fertilizer rate on growth and yield of
   287 lowland rice. Indian J. Agron. 1991;36(1):10-13.
- 289 23. Bhatnagar VK, Kundu S, Ved PK. Effect of long term manuring and fertilization on soil physical 290 properties under soybean-wheat cropping sequence. Indian Journal Agril. Sciences. 1992;62:212-4.
- 24. Yaduvanshi NPS. Effect of five years of rice-wheat cropping and NPK fertilizer use with and without
   organic and green manures on soil properties and crop yields in a reclaimed sodic soil. Journal
   Indian Society Soil Science. 2001;49(4):714-719.
- 296 25. Wahlang B, Das A, Layek J, Ramkrushna GI, Babu S. Soil and Crop Productivity of Lowland Rice as
   297 Influenced by Establishment and Nutrient Management Practices. Indian Journal of Hill Farming.
   298 2017;30(1):116-124.
   299
- Tadesse T, Dechassa N, Bayu W, Gebeyehu S. Effects of Farmyard Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer
   Application on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties and Nutrient Balance in Rain-Fed Lowland Rice
   Ecosystem. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013;4:309-316.
- 304 27. Singh PK, Bharadwaj V. Effect of different nutrient levels on yield and yield attributes of hybrid and
   305 inbred rice varieties. Oryza. 2008;44(2):137-139.
   306
- Ali RI, Awan TH, Ahmad MM, Saleem U, Akhtar M. Diversification of rice-based cropping systems to
   improve soil fertility, sustainable productivity and economics. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences.
   2012;22(1):108-112.
- Singh AK, Bhushan M, Meena MK, Upadhyaya A. Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Rice Performance
   and Nutrient Dynamics in Plants and Soil of Indo Gangetic Plains. Journal of Agricultural Science.
   2012;4(11): 162-170.
- 30. Chaudhary, SK, Thakur SK, Pandey AK. Response of wetland rice to nitrogen and zinc. Oryza.
   2007;44(1), 31-34.
- 318 31. Charati A, Malakouti MJ. Effect of zinc and cadmium concentrations on the rates of their composition,
   and feed nutritional quality for swine. J. Prod. Agric. 2006;11:180–184.
- 32. Singh AK, Meena MK, Bharati RC. Sulphur and Zinc Nutrient Management in rice-lentil cropping
   system. International Conference on "Life Science Research for Rural and Agricultural Science of
   Food and Agriculture". 2011;90:2440-2446.
   324
- 325 33. Muthukumararaja TM, Sriramachandrasekharan MV. Effect of zinc on yield, zinc nutrition and zinc use efficiency of lowland rice. Journal of Agril. Tech. 2012;8:551-561.