SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48240
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling and forecasting of Mung production in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Overall manuscript is written well and resulting a well planed research. I suggest some minor corrections in the manuscript Abstract: Some repetitions are in the abstract and also exceeding the words limit. Remove the repetitions and minimise the abstract upto words. Introduction: Written well References: Some references are missing in the text. Recheck and incorporate in the text. Some more references may also be included in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Singh
Department, University & Country	IFTM University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)