



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48374
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ORGANOLEPTIC, pH AND PASTING PROPERTIES OF BLENDS FROM UNRIPE PLANTAIN, SOYBEAN AND GINGER
Type of the Article	research papers, reviews, case studies and short communications

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Abstract: How its demonstrated that blends adequately improve the properties of flour? In the introduction it is not mentioned why the organoleptic properties, the pH and the pasting should be measured, are they of importance for the preparation of food? Are these high production crops in the country of origin ?, easy to access? Some statistical information should be included in this regard. In Methodology: With what purpose was the software used?, With what purpose were the formulas chosen In results and Discussion: what are these changes explain? How contributes soy and ginger in their composition that support these results. In Organoleptic assessment: How blends were tested? In Texture (line 255): What theory supports that ginger enhances texture quality of foods? Review References style.	
Minor REVISION comments	See manuscript. Line9:5 samples Line 10: Organoleptic properties Line 20: use weeks in all text Line 85: what characteristics do it have?, days in plant or index of maturity or some physical characteristics., Model of the Oven, Construction country., Moisture? Line 91 and 96: Equipment used (model, and country)? Particle size? Moisture? Line 117: Male and female? Range of Age? Line 123: reference 10 Line 124 and 125: model and country Figures 1 to 5 should be improved	
Optional/General comments	review more information about the chemical and biochemical contributions that support the results obtained	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Alberto Linares Luján.
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional De Trujillo, Perú