



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48718
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing perceived prevalence of deception in Organizational Communication
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	What can make them to be true to others is there any theory if so kindly include. So that the faculty can realize that deception to be erased from any ones mind.	
Optional/General comments	It is completely true that for getting self benefit, little benefit to others or harming others its all happening in the organizational level. One has to have self ethics in working environment as an individual working in organization as a faculty sharing information is knowledge, if one grows similarly others also can grow by using same strategy. But passing real information is lacking and half cooked information really detrimental to the organization. Faculties should think that it is a right time to realize and concentrate on organizational growth and outcome. It should not only get them recognized but also it is image building of the organization. Thus one should have working ethics in organization which ever bring positive growth to all faculty students and institution.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manickam Tamilseli
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India