SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48833
Title of the Manuscript:	2-Dimensional Electrical Resistivity Tomography of Bitumen Occurrence in Agbabu, Southwestern, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	 -Why some of the figures (1,2,3,9 etc.) have been mention/referenced in the body of the text. -If you have obtained the equations in line 132-146 from somewhere else please put proper references -Accept the corrections on spacing, upper/lower case pointed out in the text of the figure. Make similar corrections throughout the paper. I may have missed some places. -In the figure captions, you have just mentioned, "Inverted 2D-Resistivity Section along Traverse". Do you not have measured and calculated resistivities as well? Why do you not menion them in the caption? -Annotations of lithology, aquifer, bitumen etc. should be done on the figures themselves or at least on one of the figures so that the figures speak for themselves. -In the conclusions, you have referred to other papers. This is not the way to write conclusions. Those references (3 and 5) you made in the conclusions should be put in the introduction. -Look at my comments in yellow within the text of the paper 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sayantan Ghosh
Department, University & Country	University of Oklahoma, USA

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write