

2
3 **Effect of bio-fungicides on seed quality parameters and disease control in chilli**
4 **seeds infected with *Colletotrichum capsici***
5

6 **ABSTRACT**

7 Damping off and fruit rot caused by *Colletotrichum capsici* are the major constraints in production and
8 marketability of chilli. Systemic fungicides are commonly used to control this disease. However,
9 continuous use of chemical fungicides leads to negative impact on environment, soil and human
10 health. Therefore, present studies (laboratory and pot experiment) were conducted to explore the bio-
11 fungicides (as an alternative to chemical fungicide) in comparison with carbendazim using chilli seeds
12 infected with *Colletotrichum capsici*.

13
14 Experiments were conducted at the CCSHAU, Hisar, India during 2016 in completely randomized
15 design with nine treatments replicated thrice. Results revealed that the seed germination was more
16 than 90 per cent with *Trichoderma viride* treatment and was comparable to that carbendazim
17 treatment both in blotter method and pot culture. The seedling length was significantly superior with
18 *Trichoderma viride* compared to the carbendazim both in blotter and pot culture. However, the
19 seedling dry weight and seedling vigour were significantly high with carbendazim compared to the
20 *Trichoderma viride* treatment or others. However, with equal weightage to all seedling parameters like
21 germination, seedling length and dry weight, the seedling vigour with *Trichoderma viride* was on par
22 to the carbendazim. The disease incidence was significantly least with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as
23 compared to the *Trichoderma viride* and carbendazim in blotter method. In pot culture, *T. viride* + *P.*
24 *fluorescens* treatment was on par to that of carbendazim treatment. Therefore, use of *Trichoderma*
25 *viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* individually or in combinations are suggested in place of
26 carbendazim to control the *Colletotrichum capsici* for better yields of chilli.
27

28 **Key words:** Chilli; *Colletotrichum capsici*; Carbendazim; bio-fungicides.

29 **1. INTRODUCTION**

30 Chilli is a major spice crop in India and India stands 3rd in production (21). The crop is suffered mainly
31 by seedling rot and fruit rot caused by *C. capsici* leading to reduced marketability and yield [14, 15].
32 To control this disease, a systemic fungicide like carbendazim is commonly used chemical at the
33 recommended dose of 0.2 % [17]. However, continuous use of chemical fungicides has deleterious
34 effects on biodiversity, environment and human health [3]. In this direction, several reports show the
35 effect of bio-fungicides like, *Trichoderma viride*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* etc. on control of
36 *Colletotrichum capsici* and improved the seedling parameters and yield of chilli with decreased fruit rot
37 [8, 11, 22, 23, 24, 25]. These studies pertain only to bio-fungicides. Therefore, it is very pertinent to
38 identify a bio-fungicide comparable to that of chemical fungicides in the changing climate scenario.
39 Hence, the present study was undertaken to study the effect of bio-fungicides viz., *Trichoderma viride*,
40 *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Trichoderma asperellum* and their combination in comparison with
41 chemical fungicide (carbendazim) on seedling vigour and disease control.
42

43 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

44 Two experiments (laboratory and pot culture) were conducted to study the effect of bio-fungicides on
45 seed quality parameters of *Colletotrichum capsici* infected seeds of chilli. These experiments were
46 conducted at the Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCSHAU, Hisar during October-

47 November, 2016. The seeds used for these experiments were harvested during February – March,
48 2016 (high yielding popular variety, RCH-1). The seed germination (79 %) was above Indian Minimum
49 Seed Certification Standards.

50

51 In blotter method, the infected chilli seeds were treated with *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichoderma*
52 *asperellum* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* powder formulations @ 5g kg⁻¹ seed and their
53 combinations. *Trichoderma asperellum* was obtained from Bio-control laboratory, Government of
54 Haryana and the rest from local market. The treated seeds (25 seeds) were placed equidistantly in
55 petri plates lined with two layers of blotter paper (Whatman No.1) and 16 petri plates per replication
56 was maintained for planting of 400 seeds. These petri plates were placed in BOD incubator
57 maintaining temperature at 25±1°C and three replications were maintained for each of the treatment.
58 Distilled water was added whenever the blotter paper appeared nearly to dry. There were three control
59 treatments, namely, untreated infected seed, healthy seed and carbendazim treated infected seed (2g
60 kg⁻¹ seed). The other treatments were seed treatment with bio-fungicides to seeds already infected
61 with *Colletotrichum capsici*. After 14 days of incubation, in ten randomly selected seedlings
62 observations were made on seed germination, seedling vigour, disease incidence and disease control.
63

64

64 For pot culture experiment, pots (27.5 cm diameter and 30 cm height) were filled with four kg of the
65 oven sterilized soil. The seeds were treated as detailed in laboratory experiment, and twenty five
66 seeds were placed at a depth of 1-2 cm in each of the pot with 16 pots per replication. The pots were
67 watered daily up to 14 days. The weeds were uprooted whenever seen. Ten seedlings from each
68 replication were taken for observations on 14th day after sowing. Final count was made on 14th day
69 and only normal seedlings were considered for germination testing. Ten normal seedlings per
70 replication were selected at random at the time of final count for observations on shoot length, root
71 length and total seedling length. After taking the shoot and root length, the same seedlings were kept
72 for drying in oven at 70±1°C until they attained a constant dry weight. The Seedling vigour index-I and
73 Seedling vigour index-II were calculated. The following formulas were used for various calculations[1].
74

75

75 Seed Vigour Index I = Seed germination percentage × Seedling length (cm)

76

76 Seed vigour Index II = Seed germination percentage × Dry seedling weight (mg)

77

78

78 Disease incidence (%) = $\frac{\text{Number of seedlings affected}}{\text{Total number of seedlings observed}} \times 100$
79
80

81

82

82 Disease control (%) = $\frac{\text{Treatment} - \text{Control}}{\text{Treatment}} \times 100$
83
84

85

86

86 Seed germination (%) = $\frac{\text{Number of seeds germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds placed for germination}} \times 100$
87
88

89

89 Seedling length (cm) = Seedling shoot length + Seedling root length
90

91

92

92 The data obtained was statistically analyzed in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in both
93 the experiments.
94

94

95 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

96 3.1 Seed germination

97 The seed germination was significantly superior in blotter method (87.6 %) as compared to the pot
98 experiment (84.5 %) although the differences are marginal (3.5 %). In blotter method among the
99 treatments only *T. viride* (94.7 %) showed significantly higher germination compared to the
100 carbendazim (92.0 %). While, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* treatment (92.7 %) was on par to the
101 carbendazim treatment (Table 1). Although the differences between the treatments are meagre, the
102 germination percentage was markedly high both in bio-fungicide treatments and carbendazim

103 treatment compared to the infected seed and healthy seed. The higher seed germination with bio-
 104 fungicides could be through inhibition of growth of *C. capsici* [18, 26].

105
 106
 107

Table 1. Effect of bio-fungicides on seed germination, seedling length and dry weight in *Colletotrichum capsici* infected chilli seeds

Treatments	Germination (%)		Seedling length (cm)		Seedling dry weight (mg/ seedling)	
	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	94.7 (76.6)	89.0 (70.6)	7.04	7.45	32.21	30.00
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	86.7 (68.6)	84.3 (66.7)	4.96	6.76	29.23	32.23
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	92.7 (74.3)	83.3 (65.9)	4.89	7.01	28.50	30.16
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	88.0 (69.7)	85.3 (67.5)	5.66	6.60	27.83	29.86
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	89.3 (70.9)	89.3 (71.0)	4.90	6.64	33.56	30.20
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	89.3 (70.9)	85.0 (67.2)	4.87	7.09	32.67	35.76
Untreated infected seed	70.3 (57.0)	69.3 (56.4)	4.69	4.58	25.67	25.66
Healthy seed	85.3 (67.5)	83.7 (66.1)	5.94	5.22	28.00	34.33
Carbendazim treated	92.0 (73.5)	91.0 (72.5)	5.26	6.68	36.67	39.33
Mean	87.6 (69.9)	84.5 (67.1)	5.36	6.45	30.48	31.95
C.D at 5%	0.8	1.8	0.38	0.43	1.36	2.40
SEm±	0.3	0.6	0.13	0.14	0.45	0.80
C.V. (%)	0.7	1.6	4.19	3.87	2.58	4.35

108 Note: Values in parenthesis are arc sign transformed values for statistical analyses

109 In pot culture experiment, carbendazim was superior to all the bio-fungicide treatments. However, the
 110 seed germination was above the minimum standards of seed germination in all the treatments except
 111 the infected seeds both in blotter and pot culture experiments. Hence, for the purpose of higher seed
 112 germination any of the bio-fungicides may be suggested to achieve higher seed quality parameters.

113
 114

3.2 Seed quality parameters

115 Both in blotter and pot culture, among the treatments, seedling length was significantly superior with
 116 *Trichoderma viride* (7.04 cm in blotter and 7.75 cm in pot culture) as compared to the carbendazim
 117 (5.26 and 6.68 cm respectively). In pot culture, bio-fungicides treatments showed significantly higher
 118 seedling length as compared to the healthy seed. Similar results of increased seedling length due to
 119 application of *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichoderma asperellum* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* individually
 120 or in combination was reported in different species [7, 19, 20].

121 Seedling dry weight among the treatments and across the two experiments, was significantly higher in
 122 carbendazim treated seeds (36.67 mg in blotter method and 39.33mg in pot culture) compared to all
 123 bio-fungicide treatments (Table 1). However, in pot culture, bio-fungicide treatments performed better

124 over the healthy seed, this could be due to effective control of pre-emergence and post-emergence
 125 damping off through decreased colony formation by *C. capsici* [10, 12]. In pot culture, (similar to field
 126 conditions), lower effect of bio-fungicides could be due to longer time required for perpetuation of bio-
 127 fungicides in view of requirement of carbohydrate at early stages, whereas, carbendazim do not
 128 depend on seedling for carbohydrate requirement.

129 3.3 Seedling vigour

130 Seedling vigour is an important trait in ensuring proper crop establishment and economic yields
 131 especially under adverse conditions. Seed borne pathogen like *C. capsici* is known to affect the
 132 seedling vigour causing fruit rot and reduced yield. Under such conditions, application of chemical
 133 fungicide or bio-fungicide would help to combat the effects of *C. capsici*. Several reports have shown
 134 the positive influence of bio-fungicides like *Trichoderma* and others on seedling vigour in chilli [2, 9,
 135 18, and 19]. However, scanty literature is available with respect to comparison of bio-fungicides with
 136 carbendazim which is a popular systemic fungicide [17]. Therefore, it is very pertinent to identify a bio-
 137 fungicide comparable to that of carbendazim in the changing climate scenario as carbendazim have
 138 deleterious effects on biodiversity, environment and human [3]. In the present study, seedling vigour
 139 index was significantly high with carbendazim treatment compared to all the bio-fungicides both in
 140 blotter and pot culture (Table 2). However, superiority of bio-fungicides over the control (healthy seed)
 141 was observed for SVI-I in pot culture and SVI-II in blotter technique (Table 2). These differences are
 142 due to variations in seed germination, seedling length and seedling dry weights in calculation of
 143 seedling vigour indices. However, when the data was normalized by giving equal weightage to unity
 144 for all three parameters, seedling vigour with *Trichoderma viride* found on par to the carbendazim
 145 treatment (Table 2). Similarly, Choudhary *et al.* [5] reported that *Trichoderma viride* was effective
 146 compared to the carbendazim in terms of seedling vigour. Further, all the bio-fungicides were better
 147 than the control (healthy seed). Hence, seed treatment with *Trichoderma viride* is suggested to
 148 combat the *C. capsici* and thus healthy vigorous seedlings for better yields of chilli.
 149

150 **Table 2. Effect of bio-fungicides on seedling vigour index in *Colletotrichum capsici* infected**
 151 **chilli seeds**

Treatments	SVI-I		SVI-II		Overall SVI
	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot	Pooled
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	498.6	601.6	3048.8	2670.0	0.81
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	430.1	628.9	2533.6	2718.4	0.60
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	453.8	584.2	2641.2	2513.8	0.59
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	498.1	563.5	2449.3	2544.4	0.60
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	438.4	593.4	2997.9	2698.9	0.64
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	435.7	603.0	2918.3	3040.0	0.69
Untreated infected seed	329.8	317.5	1805.3	1779.3	0.33
Healthy seed	506.9	436.7	2389.0	2873.0	0.57
Carbendazim treated	647.7	608.5	3373.3	3579.3	0.81
Mean	471.0	548.6	2684.1	2713.0	
C.D at 5%	32.83	40.05	127.27	198.24	
SEm±	10.96	13.37	42.50	66.21	
C.V. (%)	4.03	4.22	2.74	4.22	

152

153 3.4 Disease infection and disease control

154 In laboratory experiment, disease incidence was significantly less in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (5.33
 155 %) compared to the carbendazim (8.00 %) whereas; the *Trichoderma viride* (7.33 %) was comparable
 156 to the carbendazim. In pot culture, carbendazim had significantly lower disease incidence (9.0 %) and
 157 on par to that of *Trichoderma viride* (11.0%) and *Trichoderma viride* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*
 158 (10.67 %). All bio-fungicide treatments resulted in significantly lower disease incidence or on par to the
 159 control (healthy seed)(Table 3).In contrast to disease incidence, the disease control was significantly
 160 higher in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (81.61 %) compared to carbendazim (73.03 %) and *Trichoderma*
 161 *viride* (74.71 %) on par to the carbendazim (73.03 %) in blotter technique (Table 3). In pot culture,
 162 disease control (70.65 %) was significantly superior in carbendazim treatment compared to all bio-
 163 fungicides except *Trichoderma viride* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (64.44 %).
 164

165 **Table 3. Effect of bio-fungicides on disease incidence and control in *Colletotrichum capsici***
 166 **infected chilli seeds**

Treatments	Disease incidence (%)		Disease control (%)	
	Blotter	Pot	Blotter	Pot
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	7.33 (15.70)	11.00 (19.36)	74.71 (59.80)	63.33 (52.71)
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	13.33 (21.41)	15.67 (23.31)	54.02 (47.29)	47.78 (43.71)
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	5.33 (13.34)	16.67 (24.08)	81.61 (64.60)	44.44 (41.79)
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	12.00 (20.26)	14.67 (22.47)	58.62 (49.94)	51.11 (45.63)
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	10.67 (19.05)	10.67 (18.98)	63.22 (52.65)	64.44 (53.46)
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i>	10.67 (19.05)	15.00 (22.77)	63.22 (52.65)	50.00 (44.98)
Untreated infected seed	29.67 (32.99)	30.67 (33.61)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
Healthy seed	14.67 (22.51)	16.33 (23.83)	50.57 (45.31)	46.74 (43.11)
Carbendazim treated	8.00 (16.42)	9.00 (17.45)	73.03 (58.69)	70.65 (57.17)
Mean	12.41 (15.52)	20.08 (22.87)	57.67 (47.88)	48.72 (42.51)
C.D at 5%	0.83	1.82	1.67	4.03
SEm±	0.28	0.61	0.56	1.34
C.V. (%)	2.40	4.59	2.02	5.48

167 Note: Values in parenthesis are arc sign transformed values for statistical analyses

168
 169 Many reports have shown that the bio-fungicides like *Trichoderma viride*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*
 170 and their combinations inhibited the mycelial growth of pathogen and hence disease control caused by
 171 *C. capsici* [8, 11, 22, 23, 24, 25]. These studies have not compared the effectiveness of bio-fungicide
 172 against the carbendazim which is a popular systemic fungicide. However, a few studies show that
 173 chemical fungicides like copper oxychloride is more effective than *Trichoderma viride* in controlling the
 174 disease caused by *C. capsici* [16]. The bio-fungicide, *Trichoderma viride* produce antibiotic
 175 (trichodermin) and extracellular enzymes (chitinase, cellulase) those inhibit the plant pathogen [19].
 176 Further, it would be effective in combined use of bio-fungicide with carbendazim to reduce the disease
 177 incidence, thus higher yield and quality of chilli [6, 13]. Therefore, it was also suggested for both seed
 178 treatment and soil treatment for effective control of *C. capsici* [4].
 179

180 Therefore, the use of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* or their combinations are
 181 suggested in place of carbendazim against *Colletotrichum capsici* and for better seed quality
 182 parameters in chilli.
 183
 184

185 **4. CONCLUSION**

186 Seed treatment with *Trichoderma viride* (5g kg⁻¹ seed) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (5g kg⁻¹ seed)
187 individually or combination can be effectively used in place of carbendazim (0.2 %) treatment for
188 effective control of *Colletotrichum capsici* to achieve higher seedling vigour in chilli.

189

190 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

191

192 Authors declare no competing interest exists.

193

194

195 **REFERENCES- Can include more recent year references**

- 196 1. Anderson JD, Baki AA. Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop
197 Science. 1973; 13 (6):630-633.
- 198 2. Asaduzzaman M, Alam MJ, Islam MM. Effect of Trichoderma on seed germination and
199 seedling parameters of chilli. Journal of Science Foundation. 2011; 8 (1&2):141-150.
- 200 3. Avinash VS, Hosmani SP. Effect of carbendazim on morphological and biochemical
201 parameters of *Sorghum bicolor*. Perplex. Indian Journal of Research. 2012; 1(10): 12-14.
- 202 4. Chang C, Yang M, Wen H, Chern J. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two
203 complementary colorimetric methods. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis. 2002; 10: 178-182.
- 204 5. Choudhary CS, Jain SC, Kumar R, Choudhary JS. Efficacy of different fungicides, biocides
205 and botanical extract seed treatment for controlling seed borne *Colletotrichum* sp. in chilli
206 (*Capsicum annuum* L.). The Bioscan. 2013; 8 (1): 123-126.
- 207 6. Ekbote S. Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on anthracnose of chilli caused by
208 *Colletotrichum capsici*. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2005; 18 (1): 162-165.
- 209 7. Ekefan EJ, Jama A, Gowen SR. Potential of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates in for
210 prevalence of major diseases. Vegetable science. 2009; 30 (2): 128-134.
- 211 8. Intana W, Suwanno T, Chamswang C, Chantrapromma K, Ngamriabsakul C. Increased
212 efficacy for controlling anthracnose of chili using antifungal metabolites from mutant strains of
213 *Trichoderma harzianum*. Thai Journal of Agricultural Science. 2007; 40 (1-2): 65-72.
- 214 9. Islam MS, Rahman MA, Bulbul SH, Alam MF. Effect of *Trichoderma* on seed germination and
215 seedling parameters in chilli. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2011; 2 (1): 21-
216 26.
- 217 10. Jayaraj J, Radhakrishnan NV, Velazhahan R. Development of formulations of *Trichoderma*
218 *harzianum* strain M1 for control of damping-off of tomato caused by *Pythium*
219 *aphanidermatum*. Achieves of Phytopathology and Plant Protection. 2006; 39 (1): 1-8.
- 220 11. Jeyalakshmi C, Durairaj P, Seetharaman K, Sivaprakasam K. Bio-control of fruit rot and die-
221 back of chilli using antagonistic microorganisms. Indian Phytopathology. 1998; 51(2): 180-
222 183.
- 223 12. Manoranjitham SK, Prakasan V, Rajappan K, Amutha G. Effect of two antagonists on
224 damping off disease of tomato. Indian Phytopathology. 2000; 53 (4): 441-443.
- 225 13. Mesta RK, Mohankumar SA, Aspapupre M, Shivaprasad M, Tatagar MH. Management of fruit
226 rot and powdery mildew of chilli through *Pseudomonas fluorescens* along with fungicides.
227 National workshop on current trends and future prospects in production and export of spice
228 crops with special to chilli. 2009; 123.
- 229 14. Pakdeevaporn P, Wasee S, Taylor PWJ, Mongkolporn O. Inheritance of resistance to
230 anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum capsici* in *Capsicum*. Plant Breeding. 2005; 124 (2):
231 206-208.
- 232 15. Pandey KK, Pandey PK. Survey and surveillance of vegetable growing areas for prevalence
233 of major diseases. Vegetable Science. 2003; 30 (2):128-134.
- 234 16. Patel MD, Lal AA, Singh PP. Efficacy of certain bio agents and fungicides against root rot of
235 chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). The Bioscan. 2014; 9 (3):1273-1277.
- 236 17. Phansawan B, Prapamontol T, Thavornnyutikarn P, Chantara S, Mangklabruks A, Santasup C.
237 A sensitive method for determination of carbendazim residue in vegetable samples using
238 HPLC-UV and its application in health risk assessment. Chiang Mai Journal of Science. 2015;
239 42 (3): 681-690.

- 240 18. Raj ST, Christopher DJ, Rajakumar RS, Usharani S. Effect of organic amendments and
241 *Trichoderma viride* on growth and root rot incidence of sunflower. *Annals of Plant Protection*
242 *Sciences*. 2008; 16: 242-244.
- 243 19. Rehman SU, Lawrence R, Kumar EJ, Badri ZA. Comparative efficacy of *Trichoderma viride*,
244 *T. harzianum* and carbendazim against damping-off disease of cauliflower caused by
245 *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Kuehn Journal of Biopesticides*. 2012; 5 (1): 23-27.
- 246 20. Rohini HGG, Hariprasad P, Singh SB, Niranjana SR. Biological control of Phomopsis leaf
247 blight of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) with combining phylloplane and rhizosphere
248 colonizing beneficial bacteria. *Biological Control*. 2016; 101: 123-129
- 249 21. Saxena A, Raghuwanshi R, Gupta VK, Singh HB. Chilli anthracnose: The epidemiology and
250 management, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016; 7: 1527.
- 251 22. Sharma PN, Kaur M, Sharma OP, Sharma P, Pathania A. Morphological, pathological and
252 molecular variability in *Colletotrichum capsici*, the cause of fruit rot of chillies in the subtropical
253 region of north-western India. *Journal of Phytopathology*. 2005; 153 (4): 232-237.
- 254 23. Srinivas C, Niranjana SR, Kumar P, Chandra L, Nayaka S, Shetty MS. Effect of chemicals
255 and biological agents on seed quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Indian Phytopathology*.
256 2005; 59: 62-67.
- 257 24. Anand T, Bhaskaran R. Exploitation of plant products and bio-agents for eco-friendly
258 management of chilli fruit rot disease. *Journal of Plant Protection Science*. 2009; 49 (2): 196-
259 197.
- 260 25. Tiwari PK, Kasyap A, Awadhiya GK, Thrimurthy VS. Efficacy of bio-agents, neem based plant
261 products and plant extracts Against *Colletotrichum capsici*. *Indian Journal of Plant*
262 *Protection*. 2008; 36 (1): 97-97.
- 263 26. Yadav VK. Organic package of practices for chilli from Uttaranchal. *Organic Farming*
264 *Newsletter*. 2008; 4 (4): 3-8.

265

266

267