



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49779
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of BIM Adoption Efforts by Developed Countries as Precedence for New Adopter Countries
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is good. The author should briefly discuss the BIM adaption efforts by New Adopter Countries. Also, the study should discuss/shown the strength and weakness of BIM efforts as observed from selected countries studied in this research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction: State the source of BIM definition in Line 17 & 18; State the year of publication of CIOB publication on line 18-21; State what BIM new adopter countries stand for in this research and if possible, give examples of countries that belongs to this category. 2. BIM Adoption efforts by Developed Countries: Which aspect are you referring to in line 52-54? State the sources of the observations/writeup in lines 95-97; 112; Remove below (highlighted in red) in the statements found in line 144-145; 252-253;340-341; 364 Define clearly the content of BIM level-2 in line 117 and subsequent lines in that section. 3. Summary/Discussion Discuss the lesson learnt from tables 1 and 2 and how it would be of help to the new BIM adopter countries. 4. Conclusion: What are you recommending to the new adopter countries from your research? 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Generally, the manuscript is good and informative. The author needs to work on highlighted areas to improve the work.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>F.O. Ezeokoli</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria</i>